SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Engineering Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_50171**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF MULTI-REGIME FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Citations/References:** Out of the 14 citations, 9 are very old – 1961, 1967, 1959, 1935, 1955, 1995, 1956, etc. The question is that, are there no improvements on these authorities (Models) till date? If there are, then author(s) should update the citations ranging from 1935 – 1995, and modify the paper accordingly. 2. Citations in **Results and Discussions** are required to corroborate your work. At least 3 citations needed. **3. Conclusion:** In conclusion you start what you did, the methodology used, your results and how your results contribute to the body of knowledge. As it stands, your conclusion is part of discussions. You need to rewrite the conclusion as stated above	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Figure 1: Characteristic Profiles for Edie's Model: The font size is different from the rest of the work. Check Figure 2, Figure 3, and Figure 4. 2. The main variables that characterize[d] vehicular traffic are speed, density and flow.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Jackson Akpojaro***
Department, University & Country	***University of Africa, Toru-Orua, Nigeria***