



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_43979
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of the International Court of Justice in environmental issues
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	---	
Minor REVISION comments	----	
Optional/General comments	The subject area is very important in the present day context. It is one of which the author has made significant contribution. The manuscript is well-written in an engaging and lively style. This is a well-written article that does identify an important gap. The paper is suitable for publication in its current form.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.V.Chandramathi
Department, University & Country	Symbiosis Law School, India