



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_44224
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF FREE DAY SECONDARY EDUCATION FUNDING ON STUDENT ENROLMENT KCSE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT IN GUCHA SOUTH SUB-COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Provide readers with more details about FDSE</li> <li>2- Rewrite the details about the sample to be included in one table according to the demographic variables.</li> <li>3- The researcher need to provide the methods used in constructing the questionnaire</li> <li>4- The researcher needs to provide the psychometric characteristics of the instrument.</li> <li>5- The discussion is too weak.</li> <li>6- The researcher needs to elaborate more about the effect of FDSE upon the educational reform either in discussion or in the conclusion.</li> <li>7- The researcher needs to justify that the increase in the students' number is resulted from the FDSE not from the increase of population growth rate.</li> <li>8- The conclusion needs to expand.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- The researcher needs to make sure that he/she used the journal rules in writing references (italic, ...).</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The researcher needs to write the procedures he / she used to make sure that he /she applied the ethical issues</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Ahmad M. Thawabieh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Tafila Technical University, Jordan</i>