### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_46300
Title of the Manuscript:	Teacher self-efficacy and its antecedents among Post Graduate Diploma in Teaching trainees of Dilla University: Implications for Ethiopian Secondary School Teacher Education
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul> <li>This paper presented a survey that investigates the level of self-efficacy belief of Post Graduate Diploma in Teaching (PGDT) trainees. The paper also targets to identify the factors that affect their belief with the intention of recommending possible improvement strategies to enhance effectiveness of the pre-service teacher education in program. The paper contributes to the literature by presenting the empirical data. Therefore, I think the topic of the paper fits to the scope of this journal.</li> <li>The paper is organized clearly and is easy to follow. The paper also presents the literature related to the topic. However, the presentation of the research design and the analysis can be improved. To better present the arguments, I would suggest the following:</li> <li>Remove the subtitle 1.1 Background of the study, as there is no other subchapter in section 1.</li> <li>Why the authors chose to use a 5-point Likert scale? Please add a reference supporting this decision.</li> <li>Line 389: For which reason is each statistical measure going to be used??</li> <li>Line 494: When presenting ANOVA's results, you have to add π<sup>2</sup> instead of R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> Adjusted</li> <li>Do not write significance level .000. This is what SPSS presents when the p value is less than 0.01.</li> <li>Attach the questionnaire used for data collection in the Appendix.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Marios A. Pappas
Department, University & Country	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)