



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_46004
Title of the Manuscript:	ANAEROBIC TREATMENT OF COW DUNG TO GENERATE BIOGAS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The review of literature is rather poor. The existing scientific investigations offer more details on the processing of food and agricultural waste: Recently published book on the treatment of agricultural waste and residues can be found here: https://www.intechopen.com/books/agricultural-waste-and-residues</p> <p>On the anaerobic digestion and the use of its product as biofuel and soil fertilizer:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Zahariev, D. Penkov and A. Aladjadjyan (2014). Biogas from Animal Manure – Perspectives and Barriers. <i>Annual Research & Review in Biology</i>, 4(5): 709-719, 2014 2. Zahariev, Sv. Kostadinova and A. Aladjadjyan (2014). Composting Municipal Waste for Soil Recultivation. <i>International Journal of Plant & Soil Science</i> 3(2): 178-185, 2014 3. A. Aladjadjyan, D. Penkov, Ann Verspecht, A. Zahariev, N. Kakanakov (2016) Biobased Fertilizers - Comparison of Nutrient Content of Digestate/Compost. <i>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</i>, v.8, issue1, p.1-7 	
Minor REVISION comments	The English of manuscript can be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anna Aladjadjyan
Department, University & Country	Bulgaria