SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_50633
Title of the Manuscript:	Materials Management As A Panacea For The Performance Of SMEs: Evidence from Kogi State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is good, however I recommend the following: In the literature review section, it is convenient to add the development and justification of the hypotheses. In addition, they might consider supporting and substantiating the study in agency theory (Mitnick, B. M. 2015). Agency theory Wiley encyclopedia of management, 1-6.) And supply chain management (Fayezi, S., O'Loughlin, A., & Zutshi, A. 2012). Agency theory and supply chain management: a structured literature review. Supply chain management: an international journal, 17 (5), 556-570.) In the methodology section, it is convenient to add first: 1. How have you measured the variables, how many questions and what kind of questions were used? 2. Show the tests of normality and the homocedasticity of the data.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Enrique Valdez Juárez
Department, University & Country	Technological Institute of Sonora in Mexico, Mexico

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)