SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_47917
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of in vivo Synergistic Hypoglycemic & Hypolipidemic Activity of Ethanolic Extract of Calotropis gigantean Leaves in Combination to Metformin in Alloxan Induced Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction is poor. Kindly REWRITE the introduction to improve the readability of the manuscript. Verify, harmonize and structure the introduction to achieve what an introduction does to an article.	
	What are the following: ICDDR, DMSO, FBGL, SPSS? Write in full.	
	What is the unit for the FBGL for the days of treatment?	
	Establish the rational for a 7 days experimental research. Justify the study model.	
	Why subject the analysis to P=.05, =.01 and =.001? Are you trying to establish a significance difference which should not be done in experiment like the present one? Perhaps, what are the values express below some of the values in bracket in the table? Attend the issues above for each of the table.	
	Choose between figure 1 and table 1 for the presentation of the result. You can not present both. Do same for table 2 and 3, figure 2 and 3.	
	What is the rational for placing organ weight in table 2? You cannot place it there.	
	How possible is it for TC to be higher than TG? And even the HDL-C is now higher than TC and TG. This is unaccepted. I totally disagree with such result.	
	Why the emphasis on liver weight?	
	There are lots of grammatical errors. Read through and correct them.	
	The statement "Sequential injection of alloxan caused a significant increase (p<0.05) in blood glucose concentration for 7 days in all group of rats compared with their respective baseline blood glucose and to control values" is not true. Verify the P- values.	
	The discussion is not lucid, it is ambiguous and vague. The author needs to organize the section. Meanwhile the highlighted areas in red are to be verified and presented in better form.	
	The conclusion should also be improved.	
	The references are not consistent. See the authors' guideline to effect the correction.	
	There are lots of grammatical error and shoyld be fixed.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		
		1

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uchendu, Mbah Okuwa
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)