



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_48068
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTI – DIARRHEAL ACTIVITY OF <i>AEGLE MARMELOS</i> – A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Page 1: TITLE- Incomplete ABSTRACT – Omitted- i.e. the caption “Abstract” not written Line 3: Consider using – due to its various medicinal properties Alternate and NOT Alternative arrangement. Consider the following order of presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aim • Introduction, which includes • Plant name • Where found • Description – It has alternate leave arrangement, Its flowers are greenish white etc. • Claims in relation to Diarrhoeal diseases • Methods • Results • Conclusion <p>- Bitter, astringent taste etc. as stated are not pharmacological properties. - Should be: Data was collected from and NOT “by” - Should be: internet NOT “website” - Delete “in order to do the main research” - The conclusion “The above information suggests that” is not coherent with the statements referred to.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider revising the abstract <p>KEYWORDS- I suggest you include the word “Siddha”</p> <p>Pages 2 - 8: CITATIONS-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re-arrange in order of use eg. [1] the next should be [2] and not [43] then [21], [30]. • This affects the bibliography as well. Please adjust. <p>PARAGRAPHING Consider paragraphing the article as illustrated below:</p> <p>1.0 INTRODUCTION 2.0 PATHOGENESIS OF INFECTIOUS DIARRHOEA 3.0 CHARACTERISTICS OF AEGLE MARMELOS 3.1 CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS 3.2 PHARMACOLOGICAL ACTION 3.3 NUTRITIONAL PROPERTIES 3.4 BIOACTIVE COMPOUNDS 3.4.1 Alkaloids 3.4.2 Carotenoids 3.4.3 Coumarins 3.4.4 Polysaccharides 3.4.5 Tannins 4.0 TRADITIONAL USES</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>5.0 HOME REMEDIES 6.0 TOXICITY STUDY 7.0 PHARMACOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES 7.1 Antiulcer activity 7.2 Antibacterial activity 7.3 Anti-diarrhoeal and anti-dysenteric activities 8.0 DISCUSSION 9.0 CONCLUSION</p> <p>* The content of the pharmacological action is not appropriate. It should include the MOA, P'dynamics, P'kinetics etc.</p> <p>Page 3: Nutritional Aspects of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delete – “also reported” Start with The Riboflavin content <p>Page 4: Carotenoids:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principal NOT “principle” <p>Traditional Uses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pharmacological properties NOT “pharmacology properties” Bitter, astringent taste, hot potency etc. are not pharmacological properties. Consider revising. <p>Page 5: Right column, Paragraph 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 6: “action & Knowles the chief” ?. Consider revising the sentence <p>Page 6: Toxicity Studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 7- should be extract did not SHOW <p>Page 8: Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 2: “infectious diseases IN worldwide” Delete the word IN <p>Page 9: Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Last three lines should be revised. Dwell more on the anti-diarrhoeal activities to conclude and NOT “The above collected information suggests ...” Same should be reflected in the abstract when re-phrased. The needed information are found in pages 7 and 8 of the article <p>Bibliography [26] : Not found in the body [31]: Not found in the body</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Commendable Article with timely contribution to the field and general audience. It also has good content and supporting evidence.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ogundeko, Timothy Olugbenga
Department, University & Country	Bingham University, Nigeria