SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_44924 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparison of Anxiolytic Effect of Aqueous Extract of Valeriana officinalis Root with Buspirone in Mature Male Mice |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | In abstract part, please write in same font as the rest of the manuscript. References should be in numbered style rather than author-date style. Please align paragraph ends. Line 73 and elsewhere in the manuscript, valeriana latin name should be italic. Line 103, the word effectively is repeated. Lines 107 to 145 are better situated in discussion part than the introduction part. Please add aim of the study at the end of the introduction part. Small details as glassware and cotton etc are not usually written in materials and methods part. No need for figure 1. Details of dosage calculation are not necessary. Figure 2, it is called bar chart rather than column chart. Tables should be without inner or side borders. There is no need for statistical analysis details. In references part, abbreviate journal names. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Esraa Ashraf Ahmed ElHawary |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt |

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)