SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_46178
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Irrigation Solutions on Coronal Microleakage in Endodontically Treated Teeth (An In Vitro Study)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although the experimental part of the study has been adequately conducted The manuscript contains confusing statements; e.g. "Dye penetration was seen in 0 %, 5% and 15% of EDTA, Alcohol and Saline group, respectively." This sentence does not reflect the real situation. In fact, the dye penetration was seen in 95%, 95% and 100% of the EDTA, alcohol and saline groups, respectively. The English language in the submitted article is not clear and correct.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guven Kayaoglu
Department, University & Country	Gazi University, Turkey

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)