



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_50453
Title of the Manuscript:	Determining the Incidence of Complications and Their Causes after Stapedotomy in Patients with Otosclerosis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In some paragraphs it needs good English and good sentence formation to make more sense. Conclusion is too simple. Conclusion should enrich the readers.	
Minor REVISION comments	In line 130 Table 3 : it would be better if you expand what is OR and CI for better understanding.	
Optional/General comments	Study design is good. Nothing much is new to say outstanding.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jadi Lingaiah
Department, University & Country	Kaloji Narayana Rao University of Health Sciences (KNRUHS), India