



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48019
Title of the Manuscript:	Intensity of Pruning With and Without Detachment in Fig Plants in the Semiarid Potiguar
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. Good statistical support and the parameters evaluated are adequate. However, it is necessary to make some corrections.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Certain grammatical errors can be corrected 2. In all manuscript is necessary improve writing (to write technical word to replace unfolding, emergence, detachment, rise, driving, unpeeled, etc) 3. Authors can include some photographs which will add more value to the article. <p>Title: Some corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In this section add the main results obtained in this experiment. 2. Explain better the treatments evaluated. 3. Some corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is ok, good explication about fig production in Brazil. 2. Some corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In experimental site add Potiguar, Brazil 2. Figure 1 is not clear, also to write subtitles in English. 3. Add the fig cultivar used in this experiment and to describe the main agronomic characteristics of this cultivar. 4. To describe agronomic management done during the experiment such as plant density, fertilization, growth regulators applied, fertilization and pest and disease control. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>5. Little corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p>Results and discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Figures 3, 4 and 5 to write subtitles in English 2. Tables and Figures are clear. Results and discussion are good with proper evidences 3. Is necessary improve writing in this section <p>Conclusion: Is ok, little corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p>Reference: Are complete. Some corrections were done in this section</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Raúl Leonel Grijalva Contreras</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Instituto Nacional De Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias, México</i>