### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_50576
Title of the Manuscript:	Flood Management Model In Digital Era, Using SAST (Strategic Assumption Surfacing and Testing) and the Exponential Comparison Method (ECM): A Case Study in Jakarta
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	The topic is useful, as communication and community involvement play a vital role	
	in planning properly to lessen the impact of floods that have become an yearly	
	recurring phenomenon in many countries, including Indonesia.	
	The manuscript is full of typographic mistakes .Major content of the manuscript has	
	been taken from the published literature. Abstract and Introduction are not properly	
	structured. Title of the manuscript sounds good. Unfortunately, the contribution by the author is very limited. Since borrowed details from literature survey give useful	
	information the manuscript may be accepted after incorporating the changes	
	inserted by me in red.	
	moortou by mo mroun	
	It is difficult to pinpoint plagiarism, even though some of the references quoted in	
	the References subsection are not included / detailed in the main text. Sources of	
	figures are not inserted below the figure captions. This is essential.	
	Let the author realise that manuscript writing should be done with commitment and	
	focus. One can see how callously the manuscript has been structured, including	
	figure and table numbers.	
BE's as DEVIOLON as a second		
Minor REVISION comments	Diagon and the phase	
	Please see the above	
Optional/General comments		
	Please see column 1	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	P. R. Reddy
Department, University & Country	CSIR-NGRI, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)