SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_50131
Title of the Manuscript:	EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDIES OF WATERBORNE DISESASES IN RELATION TO BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF WATER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 English language editing is required. Grammatical corrections & thorough revision of the manuscript by an independent person for better readability is strongly recommended. Please make clear about your study design. Is it cross-sectional or retrospective or experimental? How do you relate all these three study in your single paper? When was the sample collected? Morning, evening or day? How was the sample carried to labrotary? ANOVA test is not performed as mentioned in methodology part. Do not use other's version in your manuscript directly. Rewording should be done before using others intellectual property. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 Write all the abbreviations in capital letter enclosed with brackets. American Public Health Association (APHA). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water. American Workers Association Water Environment Federation Edited by Arnold E. Greenberg, Lenore S. Clesceri, and Andrew D. E., 1992. APHA 1015 Fifteenth Street, NW Washington, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water 200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460 EPA 816-K-02-003. 9-53. This Reference is quite old. It would be better if you use new reference. Introduction part is too long and boring. Make it brief and specific. Better advised to use short paragraphs. Check the spelling of diarrhoea. It is different in different places. uniform font, font size and spacing throughout the manuscript is strongly recommended. Table 2 and table 1 should be merged together. Source of funding should be included in the nmanuscript. 	
Optional/General comments		
	While going through the paper I found that this manuscript has been written as the form of academic research thesis. Please make it more brief, precise and beautiful.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is
		mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>here in details)</u>	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related	No, but the sentences required to be rephrased and	
proofs or web links.	reworded before using it, mainly in introduction	
proofs of web lilins.	and discussion part.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maginsh dahal
Department, University & Country	Nanjing Medical University, China

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)