



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_50051
Title of the Manuscript:	The Big Bang or the Conductivity of the Interstellar Space
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line 56: These terms were not explained in the paper. Define them</p> <p>Line 125: How the frequency will change? There is no mechanism, He should explain why?</p> <p>Line 135: CMB is radiation in Microwave region of light from stars, Galaxies etc, he should check mathematically, No Bigbang generated CMB found yet..</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Line 230: Yes he correctly said that , our knowledge about the universe is limited.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	SNP Gupta
Department, University & Country	JNT University, India