



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_50248
Title of the Manuscript:	Dilation of Time and Newton's Absolute Time
Type of the Article	For the author to fill in

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract needs to be rewritten: I understand that the author wishes to review the cosmic membrane theory. This should be stated in the abstract. Also, please do not confuse the reader in the abstract by using strange acronyms, e.g., SR for Einstein's relativity. Please be specific regarding line width by indicating a numerical value. Finally, and in view of the conclusions that indicate reference to quantum mechanics, what would be the operator for time-measurements if one were postulated by the author.</p> <p>Please keep in mind that in the theory of gravitation, time and space coordinates are treated on the same footing, in other words, the metric tensor treats time and space equally. Consequently, if there were a t_0 then there must be a x_0, y_0, z_0.</p> <p>The conclusions start with "Accepting Newton's absolute space," – this is exactly why Newton's theory failed: Everything is "relative" and an absolute space is not required!</p> <p>In summary, the article confuses advancement in theoretical Physics especially during the last 150 years. Unless completely re-written as a "point of view" I would suggest to avoid publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christian Parigger
Department, University & Country	University of Tennessee., USA