



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_50392
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Different Families of Commercial Antibiotics on Controlling Nosocomial Infections</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>General Revision The scope and the methodology were published elsewhere, so this paper is not accepted as an original research article, but accepted as a case study after revision.</p> <p>Individual Introduction delete starting who deal with severally ill patients.</p> <p>Delete starting Additionally, NIs could be -----an operation [4]. This is the reason this kind of definition can be seen in the term of NI. This is the definition of only the author of citation 4.</p> <p>Hand to hand transmission is missing in the route of NI.</p> <p>Ps. putida and Ps. aeruginosa change to P. putida and P. aeruginosa in italic.</p> <p>Generally, antibiotics were selected-----glycopeptides. Delete these sentences because this is seen in the text book.</p> <p>Ps. aeruginosa change to P. aeruginosa in italic.</p> <p>Ps. putida changes to P. putida in italic.</p> <p>I cannot find out your originality in this paper, please declare your originality is in this paper.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hideharu Shintani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chuo University, Japan</b>