
SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SAJSSE_50556 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The validity of Wagner's law in India: A post-liberalisation analysis |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Good composition but the technicality in research is missing. There is no theory yet to prove that GDP can be a proxy for Economic growth (Line 167). Therefore this is a big concern for me. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | On the basis of your modelling you have to revist so as to capture the main proxy for government expenditure and economic growth. Also trend analysis does not amount to model specification. Please outline your model | |
| Optional/General comments | You have to bring up literatures that support your assertion else the work currently lacks novelty. | |

PART 2:

| Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------|---|
|--------------------|---|

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? Mile down are damed issues here in details issues here in details | Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |
|---|--|---|--|
|---|--|---|--|

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Nwaorgu Innocent Augustine, |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Department, University & Country | Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-