

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSE_50556
Title of the Manuscript:	The validity of Wagner's law in India: A post-liberalisation analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 The literatures used consulted in this study are out dated. This is 2019, but the most recent literature cited herein is 2010. The author(s) has/have to update this study with more recent citation between 2015 and 2019. The VAR technique requires the Impulse response and Variance decomposition analysis. This is absent in the study. All tables should be presented in modern format as shown in Table 1. 	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Check for grammatical corrections.	
Optional/General comments	I recommend the authors consult with English expert for thorough proof reading. This would enhance the quality of the article. Good Job!	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stanley Emife Nwani
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University, Nigeria

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

I with reviewer, correct the manuscript and script. It is mandatory that authors should write