SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_45603
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF ETHANOL AND AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF Annano muricata LEAVES.
New title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCRENNING AND MICROCIDIAL ACTIVITY OF THE ETHANOLIC AND AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF Annona muricata AGAINST SOME PATHOGENIC BACTERIA
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2

PART 2:	
FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors made some suggested corrections, but some points	
were not clarified as:	
1- The authors do not mention how many times the	
experiments were performed, I suggest that at least	
triplicates be performed and the results be presented as	
mean and standard deviation.) The authors did not cite the	
figures in the results and discussion section, just as the	
figure captions need to be more elucidative about	
methodology and data. The microorganisms used were	
clinical isolates or some collection of microorganisms (eg	
ATCC).	
2- Conclusion: the paper presents a different conclusion from	
the abstract. Justify how the work results led to these	
conclusions "The results Thus support the use of the plants	
traditionally to treat chronic diarrhea, fever, diabetes, malaria	
and suggest its usage in the formulation of new antibacterial	
drugs", and only evaluated the antimicrobial activity against	
some bacterial species.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo Martins De Sousa
Department, University & Country	Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)