



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_50322
Title of the Manuscript:	HORMONAL CONTRACEPTIVE UPTAKE AND SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PATTERN OF ACCEPTORS IN A FAMILY PLANNING CLINIC OF A TERTIARY HEALTH FACILITY IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	----	
Minor REVISION comments	----	
Optional/General comments	The chosen topic is very much contemporary. Uptake of hormonal contraceptive amongst women(married and with higher educational level) were analyzed very well.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.V.Chandramathi
Department, University & Country	Symbiosis Law School, India