

5 **The Level of *Nori*'s Relief Made From Raw**  
6 **Seaweed Mixed**  
7 ***Gelidium Sp* And *Euचेuma cottonii***  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 **ABSTRACT**  
15

**Aims:** This research aims to obtain the most preferred *Nori* made from the mixture of *Gelidium sp.* and *Euचेuma cottonii* seaweed.

**Study design:** Research was conducted experimentally

**Methodology:** The method used in this research was an experimental method with 5 mixed treatments of *Gelidium sp.* and *E. cottonii* with 20 semi-trained panelists involved in preference tests. The treatment was a mixture of *Gelidium sp.* and *E. cottonii* 90%: 10%, 80%: 20%, 70%: 30%, 60%: 40% and 50%: 50%. The observed variables consisted of hedonic tests namely appearance, aroma, texture, taste. Chemical tests were water content and crude fiber content.

**Results:** The results showed that the mixture of *Nori* made from seaweed *Gelidium sp.* and *Euचेuma. cottonii* at 70%: 30% proportions was the most preferred over other treatments, with a moisture content of 15.35% and the crude fiber content of 5.36%. The median value of hedonic *Nori* test of *Gelidium sp.* and *Euचेuma. cottonii* on appearance and texture 9 was very preferred, the value of aroma and taste 7 were also preferred.

**Conclusion** The mixture of *Nori* made from seaweed *Gelidium sp.* and *Euचेuma. cottonii* 70%: 30% was most preferred by panelists.

16  
17 *Keywords:* [Hedonic, Moisture, panelists, Seaweed]  
18

19 **1. INTRODUCTION**  
20

21 Seaweed is a marine plant that is classified as multicellular algae (algae) *thallophyta*  
22 division. Unlike perfect plants in general, seaweed has no roots, stems, and leaves.  
23 Seaweed lives on the ocean floor that can be penetrated by sunlight so that a variety of  
24 colors are then used to classify seaweed. In general, edible seaweed is a type of blue algae  
25 (*Cyanophyceae*), green algae (*Chlorophyceae*), red algae (*Rhodophyceae*) and brown algae  
26 (*Phaeophyceae*) [1].  
27

28 Considering the availability of abundant types of seaweed under still very limited  
29 management, the research of *Gelidium sp.* *Nori* products should be conducted to meet food  
30 products from local seaweed species. *Gelidium sp.* currently available in Indonesia is only  
31 used as a producer that is important in the food and non-food industries. Utilization of

32 *Gelidium* sp. apparently not only used as industrial raw materials but also can be developed  
33 into food products such as *Nori*.

34  
35 *Nori* is a traditional Japanese food made from *Phorphyra* sp. red seaweed. Japan produces  
36 600 thousand tons of *Phorphyra* sp. annually where 75% of the total production is processed  
37 into thin sheet *nori* which is consumed after drying and baking [2] but this seaweed is rarely  
38 cultivated in Indonesia because of *Porphyra* sp. live in a subtropical climate.

39  
40 Making *Nori* from seaweed type *Gelidium* sp. experiencing problems, constraints *Nori*  
41 *Gelidium* sp. this turned out not to merge or not stick between one fiber to another fiber, so it  
42 must be mixed with types of seaweed that contain more gel so that *Nori* from *Gelidium* sp.  
43 this can form textures and form *Nori* sheets.

44  
45 In general, *Gelidium* sp. contain 14 to 20 g of water, 0.4 g of fat from 16.1 to 12.5 g of  
46 protein, 10.5 to 13.5 g of fiber and 3.5 to 8.5 gr of mineral in 100 g [3]. *Gelidium* sp. including  
47 one type of seaweed that produces agar. which can be used in the food industry and  
48 chemicals, which are used in canning fish and meat to prevent damage, making ice cream,  
49 drinks, milk, cakes, sweets, cosmetic ingredients, the paint industry, insecticides and prevent  
50 cancer and anti agents aging. Agar content in *Gelidium* sp. ranges from 12-48% [4] The  
51 quality of agar depends on the strength of the raw material which can be influenced by  
52 several factors such as: intrinsic factor (type of seaweed), environmental factors  
53 (temperature and salinity during seaweed growth), harvesting factor (temperature, mixing  
54 with other types of seagrasses), post-harvest (seaweed) storage conditions used.

55  
56 *Euचेuma cottonii* is one type of red seaweed (*Rhodophyceae*) which is widely cultivated by  
57 the people of Indonesia. This type of red algae has been renamed *E.alvarezii* [5]. However,  
58 because the carrageenan produced is the carrageenan kappa fraction, this species is  
59 taxonomically changed to *Kappaphycus alvarezii*, the name of the region "cottonii" is  
60 generally better known in the world of national to international trade [6].

61  
62 Carrageenan, which is a hydrocolloid compound which is a long chain polysaccharide  
63 compound extracted from seaweed species of caraginophytes, such as *Euचेuma* sp.,  
64 *Chondrus* sp., *Hypnea* sp., and *Gigartina* sp. Carrageenan can be divided into three types  
65 namely iota-karaginan, kappa-carrageenan, and lambda-carrageenan. All three differ like of  
66 the type of gel and its reaction to protein. Kappa-carrageenan forms a strong gel (rigid),  
67 whereas iota-karaginan forms a gel that is smooth (flaccid) and easily formed. Also, each  
68 carrageenan is produced by different types of seaweed. The solubility of carrageenan in  
69 water is influenced by several factors, including temperature, the presence of other organic  
70 compounds, salt that dissolves in water, and the type of carrageenan itself [7].

71  
72 As a result, *Gelidium* sp. mixed with *Euचेuma cottonii*, because of the type of *Euचेuma*  
73 sp. used as a binder to form textures. These two types are not yet known for the best  
74 concentration, so research is needed regarding the best concentration of *Gelidium* sp. and  
75 *E. cottonii* which can be accepted by consumers.

## 76 77 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### 78 79 **2.1 Period and Place of Research**

80 The research was conducted from February 2019 until March 2019. Organoleptic tests were  
81 carried out in the Laboratory of Fisheries Product Processing Faculty of Fisheries and  
82 Marine Sciences, University of Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesian. Physical tests and  
83 chemical tests conducted at the Laboratory of Research and Biological Resources and  
84 Biotechnology Research Institute at the Society (LPPM), Bogor Agricultural Institute, Bogor.  
85 Indonesian. Between February 2019 and March 2019.

### 86 87 **2.2 Materials and Tools**

88 The equipment and materials used to make *Nori* in this research were as follows: Blender  
89 Basin, Baking sheet, Filter, Electric scales, Plastic Spatula, Oven, Beaker glass, Small bowl,

90 Label sticker, Seaweed (*Gelidium* sp.), Seaweed (*E. cottonii*), Rice water, Clean water, Salt  
91 pepper, Sugar Flavoring, Sesame oil, Olive oil, and Fish sauce.

92

### 93 **2.3 Treatments**

94 The formulation was divided into five constitutions, namely:

95

96 a. *Gelidium* sp. : *Eucheuma cottonii* at 90%: 10% respectively

97 b. *Gelidium* sp. : *Eucheuma cottonii* at 80%: 20% respectively

98 c. *Gelidium* sp. : *Eucheuma cottonii* at 70%: 30% respectively

99 d. *Gelidium* sp. : *Eucheuma cottonii* at 60%: 40% respectively

100 e. *Gelidium* sp. : *Eucheuma cottonii* at 50%: 50% respectively

101

### 102 **2.4 Procedures**

103 Research procedures were according to [8] that have been modified. This research  
104 procedure begins with the preparation of tools and materials, preparation of *Nori*, treatment  
105 and observation. The following are the stages. Preparation of dried seaweed material  
106 *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* weighed as much as 50 g and cleaned of dirt attached. The  
107 second stage, namely preparation for making *Nori*, starts from soaking in rice water with the  
108 aim to soften the dried seaweed network. Rice water used is 1000 mL, soaking is done for 1  
109 x 24 hours. Then the next day, soaked in clean water for 2 x 24 hours, every 1 x 24 hours  
110 clean water must be replaced immediately. Clean water used as much as 1500 mL.  
111 Seaweed that has been cleaned, then weighed according to treatment and added as much  
112 as 200 ml of clean water, then crushed seaweed using a blender for 2 minutes. The puree is  
113 put into a baking dish and seasoned with salt such as 0.2 g, 1 g sugar, 0.3 g flavoring,  
114 pepper 0.2 g, olive oil 2 mL, sesame oil 2 mL and fish sauce 2 mL. Puree is cooked using  
115 low heat for 10 minutes. Puree was measured using a measuring cup as much as 80 mL and  
116 poured on a baking sheet. The pan used has a size of 17 x 23 cm (outer size) and 15 x 20  
117 cm (inner size). The puree in a baking pan was flattened using a plastic spatula. The puree  
118 thickness is calculated approximately 1 mm. Put in the oven with a setting of 70 °C for 3  
119 hours. The final stage in this research is observation, testing is done that is the hedonic level  
120 test

121

### 122 **2.5 Observation Parameters**

123 The parameters for observing the level of preference included color, aroma, texture and  
124 taste. These were tested by 20 semi-trained panelists. Panelists can respond with varying  
125 degrees of liking. The scale used in organoleptic (hedonic) tests ranges from 1-9, namely: 1  
126 (very dislike), 3 (dislike), 5 (neutral), 7 (like), 9 (very like). The acceptance limit for panelists'  
127 preference level is  $\geq 5$ , i.e. if the test product has a value equal to 5 or more than 5 then the  
128 test product is liked by the panelists and if the product being tested receives a value of  $\leq 5$   
129 then the product is declared not accepted by the panelists [9].

130

### 131 **2.6 Data Analysis**

132

133 Analysis for organoleptic testing used a two-way analysis of the Friedman test variance with  
134 the Chi-square test [10]. If the price of  $H_c < x2\alpha (K-1)$ , then accept  $H_0$  and reject  $H_1$ , and if  
135 the price of  $H_c > x2\alpha (K-1)$ , then  $H_0$  is rejected and  $H_1$  is accepted. If  $H_1$  is accepted, then  
136 the treatment gives a real difference and the test is continued to find out the median values  
137 that are not the same and to find out the differences between treatments with multiple  
138 comparison tests. The test method used to determine the selected product was the Bayes  
139 method. The Bayes Method is one technique that can be used to analyze in the best  
140 decision-making of many alternatives to producing gains that take into account various  
141 criteria [11]. The results obtained are then discussed descriptively.

142

#### 143 **2.6.1 Hedonic Test**

144 Hedonic test is a test that aims to determine the level of consumer preferences for a product.  
145 There were 20 panelists in the hedonic test. Tests on the level of preference include  
146 appearance, aroma, taste and texture. Consumer favorite values, namely: 9 (really like); 7  
147 (likes); 5 (neutral / ordinary); 3 (don't like it); and 1 (very dislike) [12].

148

149 **2.6.2 Chemical Test**

150 Chemical tests are carried out for the most preferred products. Chemical tests on *Nori*  
151 include the following:

152

153 **2.6.2.1 Moisture Content (AOAC 1995)**

154 A total of 1 g of sample was weighed in a saucer. Put it in the oven at 105 ° C, for 8 hours,  
155 then weigh the water content calculated using the formula:

156

157 **Moisture Content =  $\frac{\text{sample weights (fresh-dried)} \times 100\%}{\text{fresh sample weights}}$**   
158

159

160 **2.6.2.2 Crude Fiber Levels (AOAC 1995)**

161 A total of 1 gram of sample was dissolved with 100 mL of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 1.25%, heated to boiling  
162 and then continued with destruction for 30 minutes then filtered with filter paper and with the  
163 Buchner curving deadlock. 3 times . The residue was redistributed with 1.25% NaOH for 30  
164 minutes. Then filter with the above method and rinse successively with 25 ml of boiling  
165 1.25% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 25 mL of water three times and 25 mL of residual alcohol and filter paper  
166 transferred to a porcelain cup and dried in a 130 °C oven for 2 hours after cold residue along  
167 with the porcelain cup are weighed (A), then put in a 600 °C furnace for 30 minutes, cooled  
168 and re-weighed (B).

169

**Information. Gross fiber weight =  $W - W^0$**

170

171

172 Information:

173 W = weight of residue before burning in the furnace

174 = A- (weight of cup filter paper): A: residual weight + filter paper + cup

175 W<sup>0</sup> = residual weight after burning in the furnace

176 = B - (cup weight): B: residual weight + cup

177

178 **Crude Fiber Levels =  $\frac{\text{weights crude fiber} \times 100\%}{\text{sample weight}}$**   
179

180

181

182

183 **3. Results and Discussion**

184 **3.1 Hedonic Test**

185 **3.1.1 Appearance**

186 Organoleptic tests included appearance, aroma, texture and taste. Preference test was  
187 conducted to determine the level of panelists preference of the products produced. Visibility  
188 is the first parameter that is pleasant to appreciate in a product. Observation of *Nori*  
189 appearance from seaweed *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* presented in table 1.

190

191 **Table 1. Average *Nori* Appearance Based on Seaweed mix**  
192 ***Gelidium* sp. and *Eucheuma cottonii***  
193

Condition Mix <i>Gelidium</i> sp.(%) and <i>E. cottonii</i> (%)	Median	Average
90 : 10	3	3,5 a
80 : 20	5	5,7 b
70 : 30	9	8,2 c
60 : 40	5	5,3 b
50 : 50	6	5,9 b

194 Note: The treatment that has a real level with the same letter shows no significant difference  
195 according to the F test at 95% confidence level  
196

197 The highest average value of panelists' preference level for the appearance of *Nori* from a  
 198 mixture of *Gelidium* sp. and *Eucheuma cottonii* , in *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* 70%: 30%  
 199 with a dark brownish brown appearance and a flatter surface or not many holes, while the  
 200 lowest average in the treatment of *Nori* mixture *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* containing at  
 201 90%: 10% with dark green appearance and uneven surface with many holes. *Nori* treatment  
 202 of *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* 80%: 20% with a green appearance and uneven surface and  
 203 many holes on the *Nori* sheets. *Nori* treatment of *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* 60%: 40%  
 204 with a rather light green appearance and uneven surface and many holes on the *Nori* sheets.  
 205 *Nori* treatment of *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* 50%: 50% with light green appearance and a  
 206 flat surface on *Nori* sheets.

207  
 208

### 3.1.2 Aroma

209 Aroma is one of the factors that influence panelists on a product. Aroma assessment aims to  
 210 determine the deliciousness of the product based on the sense of smell. The results of  
 211 observations of *Nori* aroma from mix of *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* seaweed are presented  
 212 in Table 2.

213  
 214  
 215  
 216

**Table 2. Average Aroma of *Nori* Based on a mixture of Seaweed *Gelidium* sp. and *Eucheuma cottonii***

Condition Mix <i>Gelidium</i> sp. (%) and <i>E. cottonii</i> (%)	Median	Average
90 : 10	5	5,4 a
80 : 20	5	5,7 a
70 : 30	7	6,1 a
60 : 40	6	6,0 a
50 : 50	5	5,6 a

217 Note: The treatment that has a real level with the same letter shows no significant difference  
 218 according to the F test at 95% confidence level

219

220 Panelist assessment of the average *Nori* aroma of *Gelidium* sp. and *Eucheuma cottonii* with  
 221 differences in addition have an average range of 5.4 to 6.1 the average value of the highest  
 222 aroma that is at the addition of 70%: 30% with a distinctive aroma of seaweed with a  
 223 flavoring aroma, while the lowest value is the treatment 90%: 10% with less fragrant aroma  
 224 but still specific seaweed odor. The aroma of seasoning in the manufacturing process is  
 225 slightly more dominant than the typical aroma of seaweed. The test results obtained from the  
 226 Friedman statistical test showed that the 90%: 10% treatment to 50%: 50% treatment were  
 227 not significantly different. It is suspected that in each treatment the scent that is less smelled  
 228 from the processing.

229

230 According to [13], seasonings are all additives that improve the flavor of the product and can  
 231 affect the aroma. However, according to [14] that the aroma that can be felt by the sense of  
 232 smell depends on the ingredients and ingredients added to the food. The aroma that can be  
 233 generated by volatile components, but the volatile component can be lost during the  
 234 processing process, especially heat

235

### 3.1.3 Texture

236 Texture is one of the parameters of consumer preference for food products. Evaluation of  
 237 this parameter aims to determine the level of panelist acceptance of the level of elasticity or  
 238 flexibility of a product that can be assessed by the sense of touch, namely from the  
 239 stimulation of touch. Hedonic test results on the average *Nori* texture of *Gelidium* sp. and  
 240 *Eucheuma cottonii* are presented in table 3.

241

**Table 3. Average *Nori* Surface Texture Based on Treatment of Seaweed Mix of *Gelidium* sp. and *Eucheuma cottonii***

242

243

244

245

Condition Mix <i>Gelidium</i> sp. (%) and <i>E. cottonii</i> (%)	Median	Average
90 : 10	3	4,0 a
80 : 20	7	6,7 bc
70 : 30	9	8,3 c
60 : 40	6	5,8 b
50 : 50	6	6,1 b

246 Note: The treatment that has a real level with the same letter shows no significant difference  
 247 according to the F test at 95% confidence level  
 248  
 249

250 Panelist assessment of the *Nori* texture of *Gelidium* sp. and *Eucheuma cottonii* averaged  
 251 between 4.0 and 8.3, meaning that the texture of some treatments on *Nori* mixture *Gelidium*  
 252 sp. and *E. cottonii* can still be accepted by people who are judges. The average value of *Nori*  
 253 texture of *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* with the addiction treatment of 60%: 40% and 50%:  
 254 50% were not significantly different from the treatment of 80%: 20%, while the treatment of  
 255 *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* 70%: 30% received relatively higher values and significantly  
 256 different from *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* 60%: 40% and 50%: 50% but not significantly  
 257 different from the treatment of 80%: 20%. The highest average value of mixed *Nori* textures  
 258 of 70%: 30% has a value of 8.3 with a median of 9 which has a flexible and elastic texture.  
 259 Whereas *Nori* mixture *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* with 90%: 10% treatment had the lowest  
 260 median value of 3 and an average of 4.0. This means that the treatment of 90%: 10% is  
 261 significantly different from the treatment of 80%: 20%, 70%: 30%, 60%: 40%, and 50%: 50%.  
 262

263 According to [7] the largest component of food is water 55-85%, so that the component can  
 264 affect the structure and texture of the processed foodstuff. *Eucheuma cottonii* ripening which  
 265 aims to remove carrageenan from the cell wall until the extraction process is modified to form  
 266 a film-like texture.  
 267

### 268 3.1.4 Taste

269 Taste is also an important factor in the organoleptic assessment of a product. Consumer  
 270 acceptance of food products on these characteristics is usually used as a determining factor.  
 271 Taste assessment aims to determine the panelist's assessment of a product using the taste  
 272 buds.  
 273

274 According to [15] the acceptance of each panelist to a type of product is generally strongly  
 275 influenced by the characteristics of taste, although the other parameters are good, if it has a  
 276 disliked taste then the product will be rejected. The average hedonic test on the *Nori* flavor of  
 277 *Gelidium* sp. and *Eucheuma cottonii* are presented in table 4.  
 278

279 **Table 4. Average *Nori* Surface Sense Based on Treatment of**  
 280 ***Gelidium* sp. and *Eucheuma cottonii***  
 281

Condition Mix <i>Gelidium</i> sp. (%) and <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (%)	Median	Average
90 : 10	5	5,0 a
80 : 20	7	6,4 bc
70 : 30	7	7,2 c
60 : 40	6	6,0 ab
50 : 50	7	6,0 ab

282 Note: The treatment that has a real level with the same letter shows no significant difference  
 283 according to the F test at 95% confidence level  
 284

285 Based on panelists' assessments of *Nori* flavors ranging from 5.0 to 7.2 it means that the  
 286 product is neutral or ordinary and preferred. The lowest average value occurred in the

287 treatment of adding 90%: 10% and the average is preferred in the treatment of 80%: 20%,  
 288 70%: 30%, 60%: 40% and 50%: 50%. *Nori* treatment of *Gelidium* sp. and *Euचेuma cottonii*  
 289 90%: 10% were not significantly different from the treatment 60%: 40% and 50%: 50% and  
 290 the treatment was not significantly different from the 80%: 20% treatment. However, this  
 291 treatment was not significantly different from 70%: 30% treatment. The 70%: 30% treatment  
 292 was significantly different from the 90%: 10% treatment 80%: 20%, 50%: 50% and the 70%:  
 293 30% treatment got the highest average of 7.2 score.

294  
 295 In general, *Gelidium* sp. has a protein content of 16.1 - 12.5 gr [16], *Euचेuma cottonii* has a  
 296 protein content of 2.69% [17] that can cause the formation of a good taste in the mixture's  
 297 *Nori*. During the heating process, the protein will be denatured into free amino acids and one  
 298 amino acid, glutamic acid so that it can cause a delicious taste [18].

300 Most seaweeds contain quite a lot of aspartic acid and glutamic acid in the total composition  
 301 of amino acids [19]. Types of seaweed tested in vitro from soluble base proteins and water  
 302 including green seaweed (*Chlorophyta*), red (*Rhodophyta*) and brown (*Phaeophyta*)

### 304 3.2 Bayes Method

305 The data of the pair comparison test results on the criteria of appearance, aroma, texture  
 306 and taste of the mixture of *Gelidium* sp. and *Euचेuma cottonii* from 20 panelists.  
 307 Completion of the results of the pairwise comparison was done by manipulating the  
 308 weighting matrix of the appearance, aroma, texture, and flavor characteristics of *Gelidium*  
 309 sp. and *E. cottonii*.

310  
 311

**Table 5. Weighted Criteria for *Nori Gelidium* sp. And *Euचेuma cottonii***

Criteria	Weight criteria
Appearance	0,228
Aroma	0,097
Texture	0,140
Flavor	<b>0,534</b>

312

313 Based on the table above, the calculation of criteria weights ranging from appearance to  
 314 taste from *Nori* mixture *Gelidium* sp. and *Euचेuma cottonii* produce the value that taste is  
 315 the most important criterion for determining the final decision of a panelist in *Nori Gelidium*  
 316 sp. and *E.cottonii* with a baseline weight criteria value of 0.534, while panelists assessed the  
 317 appearance and texture with criteria weights of 0.228 and 0.140 followed by aroma criteria  
 318 weight values of 0.097. This shows that other assessments are good but if the taste of the  
 319 mixture is *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* is not favored by panelists, the product will be rejected  
 320 by panelists.

321

322 Bayes method is one of the methods used to analyze in making the best decision of many  
 323 alternatives or treatments by considering criteria. The calculation results in determining the  
 324 best treatment by considering the criteria for appearance, aroma, texture and taste of the  
 325 mixture of *Gelidium* sp. and *E. cottonii* are presented in table 6.

326

**Table 6. Calculation of the Bayes Method**

327  
 328

The Conditions	Criteria				Alternative Value	Priority Value
	Appearance	Aroma	Texture	Flavor		
9 : 1	3	5	3	5	4.26	5
8 : 2	5	5	7	7	6.35	3
7 : 3	9	7	9	7	7.74	1
6 : 4	5	6	6	6	5.77	4
5 : 5	6	5	6	7	6.44	2

<b>Weighted Criteria</b>	0.228	0.097	0.140	0.534
--------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

The calculation table using Bayes method shows that the mixture *Gelidium sp.* and *Eucheuma cottonii* at 70%: 30% obtained the highest alternative value of 7.74 followed by *Gelidium sp.* and *E.cottonii* at 50%: 50% have an alternative value of 6.44, followed by *Gelidium sp.* and *E.cottonii* at 80%: 20% have an alternative value of 6.35, followed by *Gelidium sp.* and *E.cottonii* at 60%: 40% have an alternative value of 5.77, and the lowest value of 4.26 by *Gelidium sp.* and *E.a cottonii* at 90%: 10%. based on the observed preference test parameters, differences in the mixture of *Nori Gelidium sp.* and *E.cottonii* with a ratio of 70%: 30% is the best treatment and was most preferred by panelists.

### 3.3 Chemical Testing

#### 3.3.1 Moisture Content

Moisture test was carried out on *Nori* from *Gelidium sp.* mixed with *Eucheuma cottonii* at 70%: 30%. The results of the analysis of the water content obtained in the mixture of *Gelidium sp.* and *E. cottonii* was 15.35%. Drying *Nori* using oven results in some free water coming out and evaporating, resulting in a decrease in water content. The low moisture content of *Nori* products influences the texture of crispness or suppleness in *Nori*. When compared to general commercial *Nori* products (4.47%), the water content of the mixture of *Gelidium sp.* and *Eucheuma cottonii* obtained different results. This shows that the type of seaweed affects the water content of *Nori* products. Different seaweed affects the amount of water content that is bound to the fiber.

#### 3.3.2 Crude Fiber Levels

The level of crude fiber test was only carried out on the research of *Nori* mixture *Gelidium sp.* and *Eucheuma cottonii* selected or the best results in organoleptic (hedonic) tests. The results of crude fiber analysis are worth 5.36% while the fiber content in *Porphyra sp.* *Nori* is 7.5%. The difference in fiber content between *Nori* mixture *Gelidium sp.* and *E. cottonii* with *Nori* from *Porphyra sp.* caused by the fiber content in the product's raw material. Even this agrees with result of other research [16] that the mixture of *Eucheuma cottonii* and *Ulva lactuca* contains different ingredients from commercial *Nori* in general.

### 3.4 Overall Observation Results

The overall results of observations on the difference in comparison between *Nori* mixture of seaweed types *Gelidium sp.* and *Eucheuma cottonii* are presented in table 7 below.

**Table 7. Overall Observations per Treatment**

<b>Observations</b>	<b>90% :10%</b>	<b>80%:20%</b>	<b>70%:30%</b>	<b>60%:40%</b>	<b>50%:50%</b>
<b>Hedonic</b>					
Appearance	3	5	9	5	6
Aroma	5	5	7	6	5
Texsture	3	7	9	6	6
Flavor	5	7	7	6	7
<b>Moisture Content</b>	-	-	15,35%	-	-
<b>Crude Fiber Content</b>	-	-	5,36%	-	-

Alternative Value	4,26	6.35	7,74	5.77	5.44
-------------------	------	------	------	------	------

367

368

The hedonic test results showed that the treatment of making *Nori* mixture *Gelidium sp.* and *Eucheuma cottonii* 70%: 30% with a different mixture of each addition of *Gelidium sp.* and *E. cottonii* is the most preferred panelist and has the highest value. But in the treatment of mixture *Nori Gelidium sp.* and *E. cottonii* 90%: 10% appearance and texture of this treatment were rejected by the panelists, but the aroma and taste are still neutral.

373

374

Chemical test results stated 70%: 30% treatment on *Nori* mixture *Gelidium sp.* and *Eucheuma cottonii* has a moisture content of 15.35% and crude fiber content of 5.36%. This is because by drying using an oven at a temperature of 70 0C able to evaporate enough water and not damage the levels of crude fiber contained in *Nori*.

378

379

Based on all the later parameters observed, especially when seen from the hedonic test the results of the whole treatment with different treatments shows that. *Nori* mixture from *Gelidium sp.* and *Eucheuma cottonii* at 70%: 30% was the most preferred *Nori* compared to various other treatments.

383

384

#### 4. Conclusions

385

386

Based on these research results the treatment of mixing *Gelidium sp.* and *Eucheuma cottonii* at 70%: 30% wa the most, preferred panelists. *Nori* mixture of *Gelidium sp.* and *E. cottonii* at 70%: 30% had a value of appearance of 9 which is most preferred with dark brownish brownish characteristic, a flatter surface or not many holes, scent worth 7 means that it is liked by the distinctive smell of seaweed with flavoring, texture value 9 with flexible and elastic characteristics and taste worth 7 means it is preferred to have a bitter after-taste and flavoring.

392

393

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

394

Thank you for the people who provided assistance in the preparation of the manuscript and completion of this research.

397

398

#### Referensi :

399

400

[1] Atmadja, W.S. 2012. *Pengendalian Helopeltis secara Terpadu pada Tanaman Perkebunan*. Balai Penelitian Tanaman Rempah and Obat. Bogor. Indonesian. 25 hlm.

403

404

[2] Kuda T, Makiko T, Hishi T, Araki Y. 2004. *Antioxidant properties of dried "kayamo-nori" a brown alga Scytosiphon lomentaria (Scytosiphonales) Vinogradova*. J. Food Chem. 89:617-622

407

408

[3] Trono, G.C and Reine, W.F. 2002. *Plant Resources of South-East Asia. Prosea Foundation*. Bogor. Indonesian

410

411

[4] Kadi, A and Atmadja W.S. 1988. *Rumput Laut (Algae). Jenis-jenis Reproduksi Budidaya and Paca Panen*. Pusat Penelitian and Pengembangan Oseanografi-LIPI. Jakarta. Indonesian

414

415

[5] Doty, M.S. 1985. *Taxonomy of Economic Seaweeds: Eucheuma alvarezii sp.nov (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) from Malaysia*. California Sea Grant College Program. 37 – 45.

418

419

[6] Atmadja, W.S., A. Kadi, Sulistijo, and Radiamanias. 1996. *Pengenalan Jenis-Jenis Rumput Laut Laut di Indonesia*. Puslitbang Oseanografi. LIPI. Jakarta. Indonesian

421

422

- 422 [7] Istini, S., Jana T., Anggadiretdja., Achmad Zatnika., Heri Purwoto. 2006. *Rumput Laut*  
423 *Pembudidayaan, Pengolahan and Pemasaran komoditas perikanan potensial.*  
424 Penebar Swadaya. Depok. Indonesian  
425
- 426 [8] Teddy M,S. 2009. Pembuatan *Nori* Secara Tradisional Dari Rumput Laut Jenis *Glacilaria*  
427 *sp. Skripsi.* Program Studi Teknologi Hasil Perairan. Fakultas Perikanan and Ilmu  
428 Kelautan. Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor. Indonesian  
429
- 430 [9] Soekarto, S.T. 1985. *Penilaian Organoleptik (untuk Industri Pangan and Hasil Pertanian).*  
431 Penerbit Bharata Karya Aksara, Jakarta. Indonesian  
432
- 433 [10] Marimin, M.Sc., Prof., Dr., Ir. 2004. *Teknik and Aplikasi Pengambil Keputusan Kriteria*  
434 *Majemuk.* PT.Gramedia Widiasarana Indonesia. Jakarta. Indonesian  
435
- 436 [11] Soekarto, S.T. 1985. *Penilaian Organoleptik (untuk Industri Pangan and Hasil*  
437 *Pertanian).* Penerbit Bharata Karya Aksara, Jakarta. Indonesian  
438
- 439 [12] Rezekiana, M. 2015. *Pengaruh Penambahan Karagenan pada Pembuatan Nori*  
440 *Fungsional Lidah Buaya (Aloe barbadensis).* Skripsi. Fakultas Teknologi Pertanian,  
441 Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. Indonesian  
442
- 443 [13] Edwards, M. 1995. *Change in Cell Structure. In Physico-Chemical Aspects of Food*  
444 *Processing.* New York. edited by S.T. Beckett. Blackie Academic and Professional.  
445
- 446 [14] 2Soekarto, S.T. 1985. *Penilaian Organoleptik (untuk Industri Pangan and Hasil*  
447 *Pertanian).* Penerbit Bharata Karya Aksara, Jakarta. Indonesian  
448
- 449 [15] Sudrajat, M. 1999. Statistik Non Parametrik. Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas  
450 Padjadjaran. Indonesian  
451
- 452 [16] 2Trono, G.C and Reine, W.F. 2002. *Plant Resources of South-East Asia.Prosea*  
453 *Foundation.* Bogor. Indonesian  
454
- 455 [17] Yani, H. I. 2006. Karakteristik Fisik Kimia Permen Jelly dari Rumput Laut *Eucheuma*  
456 *spinosum and Eucheuma cottonii.* Skripsi. Program Studi Teknologi Hasil Perikanan,  
457 Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor. Indonesian  
458
- 459 [18] Winarno F.G. 1997. *Kimia Pangan and Gizi.* Gramedia Pustaka Utama. Jakarta.  
460 Indonesian  
461
- 462 [19] Sajida. 2016. Karakteristik Produk *Nori* Dari Rumput Laut Campuran *Ulva lactuca* and  
463 *Eucheuma cottoni.* Skripsi. Departemen Ilmu and Teknologi Pangan. Fakultas  
464 Teknologi Pertanian. Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor. Indonesian  
465
- 466 [20] Andarwulan, N., Kusnandar, F., Herawati, D. 2011. *Analisis Pangan.* Dian Rakyat.  
467 Jakarta. Indonesian  
468  
469
- 470 [22] AOAC. 1995. Official Methods of Analysis the Association of Official analytical And  
471 Chemis. 16 th editor. virginia. AOAC Inc Arlington.  
472
- 473 [23] Aslan, L. M. 1991. *Budidaya Rumput Laut.* Kanisius. Yogyakarta. Indonesian  
474
- 475 [24] Fleurence, J. 1999. Seaweed Protein: Biochemistry, Nutritional Aspects and Potential  
476 Uses. *Review of Trends in Food Chemistry,* 10 : 25-28  
477
- 478 [25] Handayani, T. 2006. Protein Pada Rumput Laut. *Jurnal Oseana.* Indonesian ISSN 0216-  
479 1877 Volume XXXI, No 4, tahun 2006 : 23-30

480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496

- [26] McHugh, Dennys J. 2003. *A Guide to the Seaweed Industry*. FAO FISHER. Canberra.
- [27] Rahmawati, A. 2016. Pengaruh Perbandingan Penambahan Daun Katuk and Lama Pengeringan Terhadap karakteristik Fruit *Nori* Pisang. *Skripsi*. Universitas Pasundan. Bandung. Indonesian.
- [28] Soegiarto A., W.S. Atmadja, Sulistijo and H. Mubarak, 1978. *Rumput Laut (Algae); Manfaat, Potensi and Usaha Budidayanya*. Lembaga Oseanologi Nasional LIPI. Jakarta. 61 hal. Indonesian
- [29] Santoso, D. 2007. Pemanfaatan Rumput Laut Gelidium sp Dalam Pembuatan Permen Jelly. *Skripsi*. Program Studi Teknologi Hasil Perikanan. Fakultas Perikanan and Ilmu Kelautan. Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor. Indonesian

UNDER PEER REVIEW