

## Original Research Article

# **Securing livelihoods through Analysis of pond fisheries management in climate change scenario : Evidence from in the haor region of Bangladesh**

Comment [FE1]: Recast the title please

Comment [WU2]: New Title inserted

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** To identify the potential environmental impacts and influence of climate change on the pond fishery in *haor* region and impact of exotic species on the indigenous species through aquaculture. **Study Design:** An investigation on the influence of the pond fishery approach to determine whether the approach is successful with respect to the climate change impacts in the *haor* area. **Place and Duration of Study:** The study sites are located in five *haor* districts in Bangladesh for a period of one-year (May 2018 – April 2019) covering HILIP working area. **Methodology:** The study includes collection and analyses of both quantitative and qualitative data. For quantitative study, 92 sample households in 58 unions of 28 upazilas (sub-districts) in five project districts were randomly selected. Fish catch data, including information on species composition, production and sale were collected from perennial and seasonal ponds. The qualitative primary data obtained through in-depth interviews, key informants interviews and focus group discussions have been used in this paper. **Results:** Fish production was found to be better at perennial ponds, but not significantly more than that in the seasonal ponds. However, biodiversity was found to be better in seasonal ponds and greater than that in the perennial ponds. The findings clearly support the observation that pond fish culture is an attractive activity for *haor* people, especially those who are able to run both perennial and seasonal ponds and manage minimum feeding requirements, maintain those and market the outputs effectively. The present study fills gaps in existing knowledge of fish pond culture diffusion in *haor* region of Bangladesh. **Conclusions:** Pond fishery appears to perform better so, aquaculture production would mitigate some lost capture fisheries in the *haor* area of Bangladesh. Existing cultural practices could support experimentation and learning under future initiatives in the *haor* area. Pond fishery in the *haor* area mainly has an income-generating feature and less probability of being affected by climate change impacts. However, future initiatives should emphasize on culturing fish, which has the potential of balancing the *haor* ecosystem.

**Keywords:** *Haor*, Climate change, Perennial pond, Seasonal pond, Species composition

Comment [FE3]: They should be merged together

Comment [WU4]: Done accordingly

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The hydrology and topography of the Meghna Basin have led to the development of *haor* ecosystem in the upper Meghna Basin. *Haors* are low-lying, marshy depressions that turn into a vast expanse of water during the monsoon [1]. Water of the *haors* recedes as the monsoon rains taper down, providing fresh nutrient rich lands for seasonal cultivation including aquaculture. Bangladesh is a country of vast *haor* resources covering an area of about 1.99 million hectares (19,998 sq. km) with a human population of about 19.37 million [2]. Bangladesh is characterized by a tropical monsoon climate with significant variations in rainfall and temperature throughout the country. There are four main seasons in Bangladesh: i) the pre-monsoon during March through May, which has the highest temperatures and experiences the

43 maximum intensity of cyclonic storms; ii) the monsoon from June through September, when the bulk of  
44 rainfall occurs; iii) the post-monsoon during October through November which, like the pre-monsoon  
45 season, is marked by tropical cyclones on the coast and iv) the cool and sunny dry season from  
46 December through February [1].

47 In 2016, global fish and shellfish production reached a record 171 million tons and employed around 200  
48 million people either directly or indirectly [3]. The quantity of finfish and shellfish used for direct  
49 consumption from aquaculture has surpassed that from wild fisheries and this gap is expected to widen  
50 as aquaculture continues to expand [3].

51 In 2016-17, Bangladesh fish and shellfish production reached a record 4.13 million tons and more than  
52 11% of the total population of Bangladesh is employed either directly or indirectly in the fishery [4]. This  
53 sector is contributing significantly to food security through providing safe and quality animal protein;  
54 almost 60% animal protein comes from fish. The *haor* fisheries of Bangladesh support the livelihoods of  
55 millions of poor people, but landings and species diversity are believed to be declining because of high  
56 rates of exploitation and habitat degradation [5].

57 Bangladesh is extremely vulnerable to climate change impacts because of its geographical location, high  
58 population density, high levels of poverty and reliance of many households on, particularly, fisheries and  
59 agriculture. These impacts fall more heavily on the poor fisher and farmer communities. This is due to  
60 high influence of monsoon, too much water in the monsoon and too little water in the dry season. These  
61 have significant impacts on fish stocks in the rivers and wetlands. Besides, water management puts more  
62 difficulties towards the coping with climate change, especially, where riverbank erosion is threatening the  
63 embankments in addition.

64 Bangladesh has always been vulnerable to climate changes and the climate of the country is strongly  
65 influenced by the monsoon. Accurate information about the climate change situation at the national or sub  
66 national level is limited in the *haor* areas. Bangladesh is expected to experience an increase in mean  
67 annual temperature over the next century. The Implications of these climate change scenarios are that  
68 about 18% of current lowly flooded areas will be susceptible to higher levels of flooding, while 12-16%  
69 new areas will be at risk to inundation. This will increase the risk of estuarine salinity as well as inland  
70 water fisheries. Bangladesh' freshwater resources are at most risk from droughts and drainage  
71 congestion as well as lower dry season trans-boundary flows. Located on the floodplains of three major  
72 rivers, fed by an annual monsoon, Bangladesh is also under risk of more severe floods and cyclones.  
73 Backwater effect is pronounced in Bangladesh, particularly in the Meghna River Estuary, through which  
74 about 90% of the river water in the country discharges into the Bay of Bengal. It is important during the  
75 flood seasons. Acute situations are likely to occur all along the coastal area of Bangladesh, thus making  
76 the situation even worse. Simultaneously, increasing river morphological activities have resulted in  
77 erosion and loss of land at some locations and sedimentation at other places. Sedimentation and  
78 drainage congestion is hampering the withdrawal/flow out of the water from flooded areas, thus  
79 increasing the period of inundation.

81 Climate change (CC), particularly global warming, is having a demonstrable effect on the distribution and  
82 regional productivity of both terrestrial and aquatic organisms [6]. The projected effects of climate change  
83 on aquatic habitats and species, although fraught with uncertainty [7] are particularly relevant to society  
84 because of the importance of finfish and shellfish to food security, cultural heritage and/or the economics  
85 of dependent human communities [8], [9], [10], [11], [12].

86  
87 In fact, Bangladesh has a couple of projects aiming at addressing climate change. However, none of  
88 those projects have any objective on fish stocks and the vulnerability of poor fisher's livelihoods,  
89 especially, those who heavily depend on fisheries and aquatic resources. Bangladesh *haor* pond  
90 aquaculture has ample scope of development to strengthen the national economy. Haor Infrastructure  
91 and Livelihood Improvement Project (HILIP)-LGED has been involved in *haor* pond aquaculture not for

**Comment [FE5]:** Source?

**Comment [WU6]:** Reference No. 1 is the source of this information; Sinha V, Glémet R, Mustafa G. IUCN BRIDGE GBM. Benefit sharing opportunities in the Meghna Basin. Profile and preliminary scoping study, Bangladesh and India. Bangkok, Thailand: IUCN, 1018. vi+53pp.iven

92 the sake of aquaculture production increases alone; rather its goal has been to improve the socio-  
93 economic position and physical well-being of poor farmers involved in pond fishery. HILIP has been  
94 working within *haor* area by building the capacity of poor farmers with a view to improving the quality and  
95 quantity of their pond production.

96 In the recent years, small-scale floodplain aquaculture has become popular and is contributing,  
97 significantly, to country's total fish production. However, mass mortality of fishes in nature is not especially  
98 rare, but most often the phenomenon develops so unexpectedly that no biologist is on hand to trace its  
99 course or to identify the cause, except by inference [13].

100 Pressure on the *haor* fishery is gradually increasing; consequently, natural fisheries are facing problems  
101 leading to the gradual depletion of indigenous species [14]. Until recent time, there has been less focus  
102 on *haor* ponds, which are rapidly growing to support aquaculture practice in the region. The study aims to  
103 identify the potential environmental impacts and influence of climate change on the scaling up of pond  
104 fisheries in *haor* region of Bangladesh. The present study has been conducted to assess the impact of  
105 large-scale expansion of both perennial and seasonal pond aquaculture to due to climate change and  
106 implementation effects in the *haor* areas of Bangladesh.

107 The major challenges of this fast growing sector include [14] –

- 108 • Depletion brood stock of potential species
- 109 • Scarcity of good quality fry and larvae
- 110 • Expansion of good aquaculture practices for ensuring food safety
- 111 • Climate change impacts on fisheries and aquaculture
- 112 • Gradual resource depletion of fishes in inland open water sector
- 113 • Increasing water logging, blocking migratory routes of many fish species

114

## 115 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 116 2.1 Study Area

117 The study area comprises five *haor* districts namely Netrokona, Sunamganj, Habiganj, Kishoreganj and  
118 Brahmanbaria in the North-Eastern Bangladesh, wherein lies 165 unions under 28 upazilas (sub-districts).  
119 The waters of these five districts are hydrologically connected and function as a unique ecosystem (Figure  
120 1). The study has purposefully selected all five districts covering 28 upazilas (Table 1). Thereafter, a total  
121 of 92 *haor* ponds have been selected in 58 unions randomly. Two unions were randomly selected from  
122 each upazila and the ponds were distributed within the selected unions. The study employed data  
123 collection from June 2018 to April 2019. Status of *haor* ponds was examined in three ways. Firstly, the  
124 production from pond fishery was estimated by using data from household survey; secondly, by  
125 conducting Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) at upazila level with the help of a checklist and finally, by  
126 Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) at district level with the help of a KII checklist.

127

128

**Comment [FE7]:** Conclude your introduction with a brief literature review, Statement of problem, aim and Objectives.

**Comment [WU8]:** New paragraph inserted accordingly

**Comment [FE9]:** Source

**Comment [WU10]:** Source provided i.e., Reference No. 14 (inserted)




Fig. 1: Study Area Shown on Bangladesh Map

129  
130  
131  
132

Table 1: List of Upazilas covered under HILIP.

| Division                 | District     | Name of Upazilas  |
|--------------------------|--------------|---|
| Mymensingh               | Netrokona    | Khaliajuri, Kolmakanda, Madon, Mohanganj  |
| Sylhet                   | Sunamganj    | Sunamganj Sadar, DakshinSunamganj, Dherai, Bishwambarpur, Tahirpur, Jamalganj, Sulla, Dowarabazar, Dharmapasha, Chhatak, Jagannathpur |
|                          | Habiganj     | Azmiriganj, Lakhai, Baniachong  |
| Dhaka                    | Kishoreganj  | Itna, Mithamoin, Astagram, Nikli  |
| Chattogram<br>Chittagong | Brahmanbaria | Nasirnagar, Nabinagar, Sarail, Ashuganj, Brahmanbaria Sadar, Bancharampur   |

133  
134

## 2.2 Data Collection

135 Source of data: Both primary and secondary data are used for the study. Primary data were collected  
136 through the survey using random sampling method (questionnaire, IDI - In Depth Interview) from four (4)  
137 upazilas in Netrokona, nine (9) upazilas in Sunamganj, four (4) upazilas in Kishoreganj, three (3) upazilas  
138 in Habiganj and six (6) upazilas in Brahmanbaria. In addition, Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were held  
139 in 28 upazilas and Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) held with policy planning and implementation  
140 personnel in five districts (DoF, HILIP and WorldFish). Besides, reviews of published articles, government  
141 websites and policy documents were conducted to gather information on the local issues and initiatives in  
142 the *haor* areas. Collected data have been stored using MS-Access and MS-Excel. Data and information  
143 have been analyzed using SPSS and other software.

144  
145 Data collection method: Primary data from household respondents were collected using questionnaire  
146 interview and in Depth Interviews (IDIs), Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) and cross-check interviews  
147 with Key Informants. The interview schedule was developed in a logical sequence, so that local people  
148 and pond owners could answer, systematically. The questionnaire, interviews were conducted during the  
149 study period at the households in five districts to the randomly selected 13 pond owners in 7 unions of

150 Netrokona, 34 pond owners in 25 unions of Sunamganj, 14 pond owners in 7 unions of Kishoreganj, 9  
151 pond owners in 7 unions of Habiganj and 22 pond owners in 11 unions of Brahmanbaria. A total of 28  
152 FGD sessions was conducted, where each group size of FGD was 10 to 16 participants. After collecting  
153 data through questionnaire, interviews (IDIs) and FDGs, cross-check interview were conducted with key  
154 informants at their offices.

155

## 156 2.3 Data Analysis

157 Mainly descriptive statistics were employed in analyzing the data. The collected data were verified to  
158 eliminate errors and inconsistencies. Any kind of inconsistency in the collected data was searched and  
159 avoided from the relevant data. The data were entered into the computer using MS Excel (Microsoft  
160 Excel) and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) by tabular and graphical  
161 method to attain the objectives of the study.

162

## 163 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 164 3.1 Demographic Characteristic of Pond Owners

165 The average sizes of the sampled households were 5.73, 5.57, 5.62, 6.89 and 5.38 in Brahmanbaria,  
166 Kishoreganj, Netrokona, Habiganj and Sunamganj districts respectively. The overall size of sampled  
167 households was 5.67, which was higher than the national average of 4.06 [4415]. Population per  
168 household was found highest in Habiganj (6.89). However, national statistics reveal that household size is  
169 highest in Sunamganj, Habiganj and Brahmanbaria districts (5.29-5.86) and higher in Netrokona and  
170 Kishoreganj districts (4.85-5.28) [4516]. Table 2 shows the demographic characteristic of sampled  
171 households, i.e. pond owners' household and distribution of males and females. The distribution shows  
172 that in these fish farming households there are 118 males for every 100 females.

173

174

**Table 2: District wise distribution of household members according sex and family size**

| Demographic characteristics | B. Baria | Kishoreganj | Netrokona | Habiganj | Sunamganj | All districts |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------------|
| Total sampled household     | 22       | 14          | 13        | 9        | 34        | N=92          |
| Male                        | 69       | 40          | 42        | 36       | 96        | 283           |
| Female                      | 57       | 38          | 31        | 26       | 87        | 239           |
| Total population            | 126      | 78          | 73        | 62       | 183       | 522           |
| Population per household    | 5.73     | 5.57        | 5.62      | 6.89     | 5.38      | 5.67          |

175

### 176 3.2 Main Occupation of Pond Owners

177

178 Respondents at households were asked to describe their main occupations and income from different  
179 sources prior to the IDIs. The main occupation was found to be fish culture and 64% of households were  
180 occupied with it. However, agriculture, business, fish trading, service, skilled labour sale, pottery, and  
181 fishing comprised occupation of about 34% households. Besides 2% households reveals dependents and  
182 students. **Figure 2** shows details status of main occupation of pond owner's.

183




Figure 2: Main occupation of Pond owner's in HILIP sites

184

185

186

187

188

### 3.3 Status of Perennial and Seasonal Ponds

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

In *haor* areas, fish are cultivated in both perennial and seasonal ponds to meet the demand of present food supply of the area as well as of the country. The optimum production of fish per hectare in fishponds is vital for benefitting the farmers. Fish production in *haor* ponds (perennials and seasonal) remains vital in providing food, income and employment opportunities for millions of poor people. Recently, Bangladesh's aquaculture sector has developed rapidly; consequently, the production and system diversity continue to grow. Many people regard aquaculture as the most realistic way to secure the fish supply needs. Besides, production techniques are well established: inputs such as seed and feed are widely available.

Present study determines the average area (decimal) of both perennial and seasonal ponds and it reveals that the average area of perennial ponds in Brahmanbaria, Kishoreganj, Habiganj, Sunamganj and Netrokona districts are 79, 71, 42, 34.5 and 71 decimals respectively. Simultaneously, the average of area of seasonal ponds are found to be 43.6, 80, 92, 54.1 and 42.4 decimals in Brahmanbaria, Kishoreganj, Habiganj, Sunamganj and Netrokona districts respectively. Maximum perennial pond area (decimal) was found in Brahmanbaria district and minimum pond area in Sunamganj district. Besides, maximum seasonal pond area was found in Habiganj district and minimum pond area in Netrokona district.

206

207

208

209

210


211

212

213

Typical fish production yields from perennial pond aquaculture are between 23.2 and 30.3 Kg/decimal compared to fish yields of 12.6 – 26.8 kg/decimal from seasonal pond aquaculture. *Haor* ponds yields are comprised of both exotic and indigenous fish species. Besides, a small percentage, (usually 8% in Perennial ponds and 15% in seasonal ponds) of the total catch weight is made up of indigenous *haor* fish species. Pond fish culture in seasonal ponds shows a maximal production in Kishoreganj district and minimal production in Brahmanbaria district. Fish culture in perennial ponds shows maximum production also in Kishoreganj district and minimal production in Netrokona district. Figure 3 shows the average production (Kg/decimal) of both perennial and seasonal ponds in the study areas.

214



215

216

Figure 3: Average fish production (Kg/decimal) in Perennial and Seasonal ponds

217

### 218 3.3 Assessment of Impact of Pond Fish Culture

219

220 Local economies can gain significantly from both direct benefits of *haor* pond aquaculture activities, (i.e.  
221 increased production, profits, incomes, etc.) and indirect benefits of employment and service provision  
222 linkages created by the aquaculture activities. The average fish price (per Kg) from perennial pond  
223 aquaculture are between Tk. 97 and Tk. 123 per Kg compared to fish price (per Kg) of Tk. 106 – 172 per  
224 Kg from seasonal pond aquaculture. Using available information on cost and benefit the study reveals  
225 that pond fish farming provided an acceptable benefit in both perennial and seasonal ponds. The average  
226 benefit per decimal per year from perennial ponds varies between Tk. 1134 and Tk. 2113, and that from  
227 seasonal ponds varies between Tk. 1143 and Tk. 1664. Pond fish culture in perennial ponds shows least  
228 benefit in Netrokona district and highest benefit in Habiganj district. In contrast, pond fish culture in  
229 seasonal ponds shows least benefit in Sunamganj district and most benefit in Habiganj district. Figure 4  
230 shows a variety of benefits per decimal at different districts for both perennial and seasonal ponds.

231

232 Using cost benefit information for both perennial and seasonal ponds the study reveals that the maximum  
233 benefits from perennial and seasonal ponds were found to be Tk. 103,956 (US\$ 1268) and Tk. 130,247  
234 (US\$ 1588) and, minimum benefits were found to be Tk. 40,377 (US\$500) and Tk. 61,843 (US\$ 754)  
235 respectively.

236






Figure 4: Average benefit (Taka) from fish culture at per decimal area

237

238

239

### 240 3.4 Assessment of Fish Culture: Impact of Exotic Species on Natural Fish Production

241

242 *Haor* pond aquaculture yields are mostly comprised of both indigenous and exotic fish species. The study  
 243 area comprises exotic species, e.g., Tilapia, mono-sex Tilapia, Silver carp, Thai pangus, Common carp,  
 244 Thai sarputi, Grass carp and most of these are available in culture fishery. Production in floodplains and  
 245 *beels* has increased due to stocking with carp fingerlings, *Beel* nursery program and the strengthening of  
 246 conservation measures. Besides, the production of *haor* pond fishery has gradually been increasing due  
 247 to training provided through several projects, mostly HILIP and Climate Adaptation and Livelihoods  
 248 Protection Project (CALIP) and stocking with carp fingerlings. Many inland aquaculture species used in  
 249 Asia are exotic. Exotic fishes are those species of fish, which are not native and introduced from other  
 250 countries to the local areas. Exotic animals are defined as "species occurring outside of its natural range".  
 251 Among the numerous reasons for the introduction of exotic aquatic animals into countries, aquaculture  
 252 development is said to be a main motive [4617].

253

254 Fish production yields from perennial ponds are comprised of 24% indigenous cultured fish, 68% exotic  
 255 fish and 8% indigenous non-cultured fish. Fish production yields from seasonal ponds are comprised of  
 256 18% indigenous cultured fish, 67% exotic fish and 15% indigenous non-cultured fish. Overall fish  
 257 production yields from both perennial and seasonal ponds are comprised of 22% native cultured fish,  
 258 67% exotic cultured fish and 11% indigenous non-cultured fish (Figure\_5).The predominance of 6-7exotic  
 259 fish species are found in the *haor* ponds. Some of these species may pose a threat to indigenous  
 260 biodiversity, through their escape and establishment of feral populations in adjacent *haor* water bodies.

261

262 Mola carplet (*Amblypharyngodon mola*) is a nutrient-rich small fish that provides essential nutrients, in  
 263 particular, vitamin A, calcium, iron and zinc and used as food fish in Bangladesh. HILIP also introduced  
 264 Mola carplet fish along with other natural indigenous species in *haor* ponds. Consequently, a good  
 265 harvest of mola fish reveals successful HILIP intervention in both perennial and seasonal ponds. Overall,  
 266 the mola comprised of about 1% and 2.88% in perennial and seasonal ponds respectively. However, in  
 267 Sunamganj and Habiganj districts mola fish contributed 5.95% and 3.75% of production in seasonal and  
 268 perennial ponds respectively. The mola culture has no adverse environmental impact and does not  
 269 hamper existing fish. The mola fish culture has become popular among farmers in *haor* region in  
 270 Bangladesh. This fish is available in the rivers, streams, *beels* and lakes and inundated fields throughout  
 271 Bangladesh. However, there has been a decline in the areas of inland water and inundation that



272 significantly reduced the vital habitat for its recruitment and stocking. The taxonomic group used in the  
 273 catch analysis of the pond fishery and taxa contributed to each group (Native cultured fish, Exotic cultured  
 274 fish and natural non-cultured fish) by % to the catches is given in Annexure 1.  
 275




Figure 5: Overall Fish Production Yields from both Perennial and Seasonal Ponds

### 279 3.5 Empowerment of Women through Pond Fish Culture

280 Traditionally, Bangladeshi women have been involved in fish culture or fishing related activities,  
 281 especially, it has been at the post-harvest stage of the production process. At the pond aquaculture level  
 282 the skills and knowledge from training are still very much in evidence for men and much of the  
 283 methodologies and protocols are being practiced [47-18]. However, haor pond aquaculture does create  
 284 the situations for a diversification of their involvement, through the service provision opportunities, such  
 285 as cleaning weeds, carrying soil up pond bank, pond cleaning, testing water quality (colour), applying fish  
 286 feed, fertilizer and lime and participating in the decision making process. In a perennial pond, women  
 287 were observed having a more significant role in the process, either as pond culture operators or as  
 288 household heads. In Depth Interviewed revealed that the haor pond culture has greatly enhanced their  
 289 involvement in the pond culture leading to new economic opportunities.

291 Among various roles, feeding is vital for women and it has been revealed that 48% and 15% women are  
 292 directly involved with feeding fish and mixing up feeds respectively. Besides, they are also involved with  
 293 guarding, cleaning water hyacinth, examining water quality (colour) and looking after other related  
 294 activities. During the dry season, post-harvest processing and management needs significant contribution  
 295 of women in the haor area. Grading and drying are the most laborious but important economic post-  
 296 harvest activities and it has been revealed that 76% and 11% women are directly involved with grading  
 297 and fish drying respectively. Besides, they are also directly involved with cleaning the fish, maintaining  
 298 accounts and helping during catching fish. The roles of women in overall pond fish culture and post-  
 299 harvest management over the study area is shown in Figure 6.

300




Figure 6: Overall Roles of Women in Pond Fish Culture

301

302

303

304 **3.6 Environmental Impact**

305 Impact of Flash Flood: *Haor* is a basin like structure where water remains either stagnant or in flash  
 306 flooding condition during early monsoon. Flash flood damages Boro crop and pond aquaculture, so that  
 307 the present study was conducted to know the impact of flash flood. Primary data were collected through  
 308 IDIs from 92 pond owner households covering 28 upazilas. Most of the respondents were pond owners  
 309 as well as farmers. Among the different categories of flood, flash flood damages the pond fishery most.  
 310 Among the respondents, 23%, 28% and 20% revealed that it damaged, washed out fishes and destroyed  
 311 banks of the pond respectively. Only 3% respondents revealed that ponds were submerged by flash  
 312 flood. However, 26% respondents stated that no impact occurred on pond aquaculture due to flash flood.  
 313 As flash flood often causes considerable, localized damage to pond fishery, particularly in the north,  
 314 northeast part of the *haor* districts so, 26% respondents does not face any impact on their perennial pond  
 315 aquaculture. Flash flood is the common phenomena in the *haor* area and usually it damages pond fishery  
 316 and create negative impacts on the local economy. Figure 7 shows the impact of flash flood on pond fish  
 317 in the HILIP areas.

318 The *haor* area in Bangladesh is susceptible to flash flooding from water coming down hilly streams  
 319 emerging out of Khasia- Jaintia Hills located in the Indian Territory. There are many *haors* in Bangladesh,  
 320 where remains either stagnant or in flash flooding condition during the months of June to November  
 321 [4819]. Flash floods occurring at intervals damage crops and flashes out fish in ponds into the *haor* area.  
 322 Exotic species of fish cultured in ponds escape, quite often during a flash flood, to wide *haor* area,  
 323 exposing the local species to be affected by these species.  
 324




Figure 7: Communities' Perception on Sudden Flash Flood

325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345

### 3.6.1 Impact when Number of Fish Culture Ponds Increase

*Haor* area is very important for the production of fishes, especially open water fishes. However, recently pond aquaculture production, both perennial and seasonal has increased. Overall, 29% of the respondents, who make the major portion of pond fish culturist, said that no detrimental effect will occur, if pond fish culture is extended in the *haor* area. However, 22% respondents' reveals that this increased may affect local natural species of fishes and 21% respondents view that agricultural land will decrease if pond aquaculture increased in *haor* area. Besides 6% respondents, views that this might destroy the environmental balance and may cause of decrease water lily, which is very common in *haor* area in Bangladesh.

### 3.6.2 Impact when Cultured Fish Escape to *Haor* Water

According to the study, 67% of the respondents said that financial loss would occur when cultured fish escapes into *haor* water due to any environmental impact. However, 27% respondents reveal that no impact will occur. Besides, 8% respondents expressed that people will lose interest to fish culture. Figure 8 shows respondents' views regarding impacts if cultured fish escape to *haor* waters.




Figure 8: Communities' perception regarding impact of fish culture when number of pond increased

346  
347  
348

349 **3.6.3 Impact on Pond Fish Culture – when Insecticide Applied in Agriculture**

350 Aquaculture in general is highly sensitive to adverse environmental changes. According to the study, 45%  
351 respondents reveal that there will be no impact on pond aquaculture, if insecticides are applied to  
352 agricultural field. However, 22% respondents revealed that agricultural insecticide will reduce fish growth  
353 and about 22% respondents' viewed that fish disease will occur because of agricultural insecticide.  
354 Opinions on different types of impact that may occur due to application of insecticide in agricultural field  
355 revealed that 5%, 2% and 3% respondents thought that as a consequence, dead fish will float on water,  
356 water be polluted and infection in fish body will occur respectively. Only 1% respondents stated that eggs  
357 of local fish will be destroyed due to insecticide use in agriculture field.  
358

359 **3.6.4 Summary of Environmental Impact related KII Results**

360 Summary of opinions of Key Informants on environmental impact includes the following:

- 361 i. Intensification of fish culture in both perennial and seasonal ponds is a lower area in *haor* districts  
362 will not create any adverse or conflicting impact on ecology;
- 363 ii. Pond culture interventions did not produce as of now any negative effects on the environment;
- 364 iii. Water level rise in lean season (winter) due to sea level rise is not clearly perceptible as yet in the  
365 *haor* region, so question of adverse effects does not arise;
- 366 iv. Climate change, especially temperature has adverse effect on spawning of fish species. Due to  
367 siltation in the *haor* area water depth is reducing chronologically and water temperature is  
368 perceived to be rising nowadays, especially in the lower *haor* area; high temperature has adverse  
369 effect on spawning of fish. On the other hand, optimum temperature (20 – 39°C) enhances  
370 spawning of fish and the maximum temperature hardly exceeds the upper limit and
- 371 v. African magur (*Clarias gariepinus*), Piranha (*Pygocentrus nattereri*) and other exotic carnivorous  
372 species should not be attempted to be cultured in the *haor* ponds. Besides, Roho labeo (*Labeo*  
373 *rohita*), Catla (*Catla catla*), Mrigel carp (*Cirrhinus cirrhosus*), Orange fin labeo (*Labeo calbasu*),  
374 Tilapia (*O. mossambicus*), Striped catfish (*Mystus tengara*) and Pabda catfish (*Ompok pabda*)  
375 are the popular environmental friendly fish species that can be cultured in *haor* ponds.

376 **3.6.5. Summary of Key Findings of the FGDs**

377 Summary of key findings of the FGDs are presented below:

- 378 1. Due to fish culture in the *haor* pond, the income of local fish farmers has increased, employment  
379 has been generated for both male and female members of the households, nutrition intake has  
380 increased, some fish-centered business have been generated etc.;
- 381 2. Financial support for digging and raising the dikes of the pond should be arranged and  
382 aquaculture training should be imparted;
- 383 3. Fish sanctuaries are to be established and this measure is the best for preventing extinction of  
384 different varieties of local fish and increase production in general and
- 385 4. Frequency of the early flood/flash flood was has increased in the *Haor* area and the perceived  
386 causes include onrush of water from the Indian hills, excessive rainfall and disruption of link with  
387 the local rivers.  
388

389 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

390 The study has provided evidence that *haor* pond aquaculture approach aimed at improving the lot of the  
391 poor and vulnerable is effective in the study area. The intervention has resulted in the improvement of

392 yield from ponds and generated higher income and nutritious food for the fish farmers. Existing cultural  
393 practices could support experimentation and learning under future initiatives in the *haor* area. Pond  
394 fishery in the *haor* area mainly has an income-generating feature and less probability of being affected by  
395 climate change impacts on culture fishery. The approach should be extended beyond study areas and be  
396 adopted as a key strategy for development of *haor* fisheries resources in Bangladesh.

397

398

#### 399 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

400 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

401

#### 402 **REFERENCES**

- 403 1. Sinha V, Glémet R, Mustafa G. IUCN BRIDGE GBM. Benefit sharing opportunities in the Meghna  
404 Basin. Profile and preliminary scoping study, Bangladesh and India. Bangkok, Thailand: IUCN,  
405 1018. vi+53pp.
- 406 2. BHWDB. Master Plan of Haor Area. Vol. 1. Bangladesh Haor and Wetland Development Board &  
407 CEGIS, Ministry of Water Resources, Bangladesh, 2012.
- 408 3. FAO. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018. Meeting the sustainable development  
409 goals. FAO, Rome, 2018.
- 410 4. DOF. National Fish Week 2017 Compendium (in Bengali). Department of Fisheries. Ministry of  
411 Fisheries and Livestock, Bangladesh, 2017. 160p.
- 412 5. Halls AS, Mustafa MG. Empirical Yield effort Models for Bangladesh Inland Fisheries. *Journal of*  
413 *Applied Life Sciences*. 2017;12(2):1-10. DOI: 10.9734/JALSI/2017/33858.
- 414 6. IPCC. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the  
415 Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter governmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, 2014.
- 416 7. Payne MR, Barange M, Cheung WWL, MacKenzie BR, Batchelder HP, Cormon X, Paula JR.  
417 Uncertainties in projecting climate-change impacts in marine ecosystems. *ICES Journal of Marine*  
418 *Science*, 2016,73, 1272–1282. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv231>.
- 419 8. Allison EH, Perry AL, Badjeck MC, Neil Adger W, Brown K, Conway D, ...Dulvy NK. Vulnerability  
420 of national economies to the impacts of climate change on fisheries. *Fish and Fisheries*,  
421 2009, 10(173–196). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2008.00310.x>.
- 422 9. Callaway R, Shinn AP, Grenfell SE, Bron JE, Burnell G, Cook EJ, ..... Shields RJ. Review  
423 of climate-change impacts on marine aquaculture in the UK and Ireland. *Aquatic Conservation:*  
424 *Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 2012, 22: 389–421. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2247>.
- 425 10. Cinner JE, McClanahan TR, Graham NAJ, Daw TM, Maina J, Stead SM, ... Bodin O.  
426 Vulnerability of coastal communities to key impacts of climate change on coral reef fisheries.  
427 *Global Environmental Change*, 2012, 22: 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.09.018>.
- 428 11. Hidalgo M, Mihneva V, Vasconcellos M, Bernal M. Climate change impact's, vulnerabilities and  
429 adaptations: Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea marine fisheries. In M. Barange, T. Bahri,  
430 MC. Beveridge, K. Cochrane, S. Funge-Smith & F. Poulain (Eds.). *Impacts of Climate Change on*  
431 *Fisheries and Aquaculture: Synthesis of Current Knowledge, Adaptation and Mitigation Options*  
432 (pp. 139–159). Rome, Italy: FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture, 2018. Technical Paper No. 627, 628  
433 Pp. FAO.
- 434 12. Peck MA, Pinnegar JK. Climate change impacts, vulnerabilities and adaptations: North Atlantic and  
435 Atlantic Arctic marine fisheries. In M. Barange, T. Bahri, MC. Beveridge, K. Cochrane, S. Funge-  
436 Smith & F. Poulain (Eds.), *Impacts' of Climate Change on Fisheries and Aquaculture: Synthesis*  
437 *of Current Knowledge, Adaptation and Mitigation Options* (pp. 87–111). Rome, Italy: FAO  
438 Fisheries and Aquaculture, 2018. Technical Paper No. 627. 628 Pp. FAO.
- 439 13. Reeve MB. Differential mortality from the high temperature in a mixed population of fishes in southern  
440 michigan. *Ecology*. Vol. 36, No. 3 (Jul, 1955), pp. 526-528.
- 441

- 442 | [14. DoF. Yearbook of Fisheries Statistics of Bangladesh 2016-17. Fisheries Resources Survey System](#)  
443 | [\(FRSS\), Department of Fisheries. Bangladesh: Director General, DoF, 2017. Vol. 34: p.129.](#)
- 444 | ~~44~~15. Bangladesh HIES. Bangladesh Household Income and Expenditure Survey, 2016.  
445 | [https://www.ceicdata.com/en/bangladesh/household-income-and-expenditure-survey-number-of-](https://www.ceicdata.com/en/bangladesh/household-income-and-expenditure-survey-number-of-household-by-size/hies-average-household-size)  
446 | [household-by-size/hies-average-household-size](https://www.ceicdata.com/en/bangladesh/household-income-and-expenditure-survey-number-of-household-by-size/hies-average-household-size)
- 447 | ~~45~~16. BBS. Population Monograph of Bangladesh. Population density and vulnerability: A challenge for  
448 | sustainable development of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS), 2015. Statistics  
449 | and Information Division, Ministry of Planning. 129p.
- 450 | ~~46~~17. Welcomme R. Evaluation of stocking and introductions as management tools, pp. 397-413. In  
451 | Stocking and Introduction of Fish, (ed.), Cowx, IG. Fishing News Books, A division of Blackwell  
452 | Science Ltd. 1998; 456 pp.
- 453 | ~~47~~18. Danida. Impact of Evaluation of Aquaculture Interventions in Bangladesh. Evaluation Department,  
454 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark, 2008.
- 455 | ~~48~~19. Khan MNH, Mia MY, Hossain MR. Impacts of Flood on Crop Production in Haor Areas of Two  
456 | Upazillas in Kishoregonj. J. Environ. Sci. & Natural Resources, 2012; 5(1):193-198.  
457 |  
458 |

UNDER PEER REVIEW

459 Annexure 1. The taxonomic group used in the catch analysis of the pond fishery & taxa contributed to  
 460 each group (Native cultured fish, Exotic cultured fish & natural non-cultured fish) by % to the catches.

| Group                     | Scientific name                    | Local name       | Common name                        | Percentage composition of the total |           |             |          |         | Overall % |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------|-----------|
|                           |                                    |                  |                                    | Netrakona                           | Sunamganj | Kishoreganj | Habiganj | B.Baria |           |
| Native cultured Fish      | <i>Labeo rohita</i>                | Rui              | Roho labeo                         | 15.5                                | 7.88      | 12.95       | 9.67     | 11.56   | 10.82     |
|                           | <i>Chrrhinus cirrhosus</i>         | Mrigal           | Mrigal carp                        | 5.95                                | 3.48      | 3.42        | 8.33     | 7.56    | 5.29      |
|                           | <i>Catla catla</i>                 | Catla            | Catla                              | 5.31                                | 0.91      | 2.67        | 3.33     | 5.81    | 3.23      |
|                           | <i>Amblypharyngodon mola</i>       | Mola             | Indian carplet                     | 1.53                                | 2.88      | 0.48        | 2.78     | 0.21    | 1.66      |
|                           | <i>Labeocalbasu</i>                | Kaliboush        | Orangefin labeo                    | -                                   | -         | 0.62        | -        | 0.71    | 0.27      |
|                           | <i>Mystus</i> sp.                  | Tengra           | Striped catfish                    | 0.4                                 | -         | -           | -        | 0.53    | 0.19      |
|                           | <i>Ompok pabda</i>                 | Pabda            | Pabdah catfish                     | 1.06                                | -         | -           | -        | -       | 0.15      |
| Exotic cultured Fish      | <i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i>     | Tilapia          | Mozambique tilapia                 | 1.62                                | 28.18     | 4.52        | 43.33    | 12.62   | 18.49     |
|                           | <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>       | Mono-sex tilapia | Nile tilapia                       | 40.41                               | 4.09      | 40.57       | -        | 12.83   | 16.59     |
|                           | <i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i> | Silver carp      | Silver carp                        | 9.68                                | 7.88      | 10.47       | 6.11     | 14.26   | 9.9       |
|                           | <i>Cyprinus carpio</i>             | Common carp      | Common carp                        | 4.02                                | 13.64     | 4.74        | 2.22     | 6.07    | 7.94      |
|                           | <i>Barbonymus gonionotus</i>       | Thai sarputi     | Thai sarputi                       | 4.74                                | 11.82     | 2.88        | 12.78    | 1.99    | 7.15      |
|                           | <i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>     | Grass carp       | Grass carp                         | 2.24                                | 10.3      | 2.97        | 4.78     | 2.88    | 5.68      |
|                           | <i>Pangasianodon hypophthalmus</i> | Thai pangus      | Thai pangus                        | -                                   | 3.18      | 0.38        | -        | 1.68    | 1.62      |
| Natural non-cultured fish | <i>Puntius</i> sp.                 | Puti             | Barb                               | 2.88                                |           | 2.72        |          | 4.28    | 1.86      |
|                           | <i>Channa striata/C. marulius</i>  | Shol/Gozar       | Striped/ Great snakehead           | 0.87                                | 2.27      | 1.82        |          | 1.37    | 1.56      |
|                           | <i>Heteropneustes fossilis</i>     | Shing            | Stinging catfish                   | 1.08                                |           | 1.6         |          |         | 1.07      |
|                           | <i>Clarias batrachus</i>           | Magur            | Magur                              | 0.47                                |           | 1.2         |          |         | 0.37      |
|                           | <i>Channa punctata</i>             | Taki             | Spotted snakehead                  | 2.13                                |           | 0.61        |          |         | 0.63      |
|                           | <i>Anabas testudineus</i>          | Koi              | Climbing perch                     | 0.08                                |           | 0.67        |          |         | 0.59      |
|                           | <i>Mastacembelus</i> sp.           | Baim             | Eel                                | -                                   |           | -           |          | 0.11    | 0.03      |
|                           | <i>Wallago attu</i>                | Boal             | Wallago                            | -                                   |           | -           |          | 0.08    | 0.02      |
|                           | <i>Palaemon</i> sp.                | Prawn            | Prawn                              | 0.05                                |           | 0.41        |          |         | 0.07      |
|                           |                                    | Local small fish | Loach, small catfish, eel, garfish | -                                   |           | 0.46        |          | -       | 0.07      |
|                           |                                    | Others           | small barb, catfish, flying barb,  | -                                   | 2.88      | 3.85        | 6.67     | 10.02   | 4.72      |

461

462



463 **List of Tables:**

464 Table 1. List of Upazilas covered under HILIP.

465 Table 2. District wise distribution of household members according sex and family size

466

467 **List of Figures:**

468 Figure 1. Study Area Shown on Bangladesh Map

469 Figure 2. Main occupation of Pond owner's in HILIP sites

470 Figure 3. Average fish production (Kg/decimal) in Perennial and Seasonal ponds

471 Figure 4. Average benefit (Taka) from fish culture at per decimal area

472

473 Figure 5. Overall Fish Production Yields from both Perennial and Seasonal Ponds

474 Figure 6. Overall Roles of Women in Pond Fish Culture

475

476 Figure 7. Communities' Perception on Sudden Flash Flood

477

478 Figure 8: Communities' perception regarding impact of fish culture when number of pond increased

479

480 **List of Annexure:**

481 Annexure 1. The taxonomic group used in the catch analysis of the pond fishery & taxa contributed to  
482 each group (Native cultured fish, Exotic cultured fish & natural non-cultured fish) by % to the catches.

483

484