Short Research Article

1 2

3

4

5

Analysis of Climate Change Perception on Poultry Production in Imo State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

- This study aims at analyzing climate change perception of poultry production in Imo State,
- 7 Nigeria. Data used for the study were obtained using a structured questionnaire from eighty-four
- 8 (84) respondents who were randomly selected from twelve villages in the study area. Data were
- 9 analyzed using descriptive statistics, multiple regression models and Likert scale. Findings
- revealed that the mean age of the respondents was 45 years, mean household size was 6 persons,
- 11 60% were male, mean years of experience was 9.1 years, majority of them attended tertiary
- 12 education. The multiple regression analysis showed that ambient temperature, humidity, rainfall
- distribution, mortality and feed unavailability were statistically significant at 10% level of
- probability and were the key determinants of the effect of climate change. The coefficient of
- multiple determination R^2 was 0.725544 which implies that 72.55% variation in poultry output
- was accounted for by the regressors variables while the remaining 27.5% was due to random
- 17 disturbance. From the distribution of poultry farmers according to the perception of climate
- change, the result showed that 89% and 74% of the poultry farmers were aware that climate
- 19 change has an effect on egg and meat production, and also feed grain availability respectively.
- About 90% of them were aware that high sunshine harms egg production, also, 74% and 71% of
- 21 them were aware that high temperature and low rainfall leads to low egg quality. The study,
- therefore, recommended that relevant and up-to-date information on climate change should be
- 23 made available to poultry farmers.
- 24 **Keywords:** Climate change, Perception, Awareness, Determinants, Poultry Production

25

26

Introduction

- 27 In Nigeria, the poultry sector contributes about 58.2% of total livestock production (Amos,
- 28 2006). It also contributes over 25% of agricultural Gross Domestic Products Action Plan for
- 29 Poultry Commodity Transformation (APPCT, 2012). Poultry is domestic fowls raised for food
- 30 which include turkey, chicken, duck, quail, ostrich, goose etc. They are efficient converters of
- feed to egg and meat within a short period and the most environmentally efficient animal protein
- 32 production system. In terms of nutritive value, poultry egg ranks second to cow milk (Amos,
- 2006). It is rich in vitamins, essential amino acids, and minerals such as vitamin A, B6, B12,

folate, iron, chlorine, zinc etc. Recent research has reported that poultry eggs contain Lutein and Zeaxanthin which lowers the risk of Age-related Macular Degeneration (AMD) that causes blindness in adults above 65 years of age. According to Mammo (2011), the poultry production sector is characterized by its industrialization, fast growth in consumption and trade than any other agricultural sector in Nigeria and the whole world. This is because of the increase in population growth which accounts for the rise in the demand for animal protein mostly in the urban areas. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 2014) reported that growth in population, economics and income are gearing the tendencies towards high consumption of animal protein in many developing countries including Nigeria, thus, Poultry production is no doubt one of the essentials for alleviating poverty and the blight of protein deficiency in Nigeria and other developing countries (Bukunmi and Yusuf 2015) and Nayak et al., (2015).

Climate change has become a threat not only on the poor and developing economies but also to the developed as well. The adverse effect of climate change is not felt by humans alone but crops and animals as well. Climate change has been reportedly defined by several authors and agencies as a shift in average weather condition of a place or, a consistent change in climate factors such as temperature, rainfall, humidity and soil moisture owing to a variation in the composition of atmospheric gases (Alade and Ademola, 2013). It causes a rise in temperature which encourages fungal and bacterial growth and this greatly affects livestock and crops thus leading to a reduction in productivity. Poultry production has become a major source of animal protein. According to Heise et al., (2015), the return on poultry production is high and the cost of production per unit output, when compared to other types of livestock, is very low however, there is a record of greater losses and deficiency in its production as a result of low feed intake, low efficiency in feed conversion to meat and egg and low level of adaptive capabilities of the farmers to the adverse effect of climate change which consequently affects their performances and productivity(Tamiru and Fekadu 2019). Also, Adebisi et al., (2017) stated that climate change determines the level of feed intake of poultry as ingestion of feed is directly related to heat production as a result, any change in feed intake and energy density in their diet will alter the amount of heat produced by the birds which affect their growth, leading to low income of the farmers. This agrees with Ashish et al., (2019) and Sanou et al., (2017) who stated that poultry production in Nigeria has been stressed by heat from climate change which causes the death of chickens and reduces poultry production performance thereby decreasing the return from the enterprise.

Climate change is one of the major problems facing livestock production, through the spread of diseases in poultry production (Edame et al, 2011). According to Elijah and Adedapo (2006) as reported by Adebisi et al.,(2017) there exist some environmental conditions that affect poultry health, performance and productivity, these include temperature, relative humidity, light, sunshine prevailing at a given time, housing system, ventilation etc., moreover, high rainfall and relative humidity provides environment conducive for breeding of parasites which causes outbreak of disease thus, poultry are vulnerable to these occurrences as a result of climate change and this greatly influence their rate of meat and egg production. (Guis et al., (2011) also reported that change in climate alters the global spread of disease which affects poultry feed intake, promotes the outbreak of diseases which invariably affects poultry output (egg and meat) and also cost of production.

Climate change is a primary determinant of agricultural productivity, however, farmers' adaptive capacity and knowledge on its scourging effect towards crop and animal productivity are very low. Therefore it has become pertinent to examine poultry production perception of climate change as to further analyze its position to combat its challenges and increase performance and productivity of the poultry sector in the study area. Based on these aforementioned issues the following specific objectives which are to examine the socio-economic characteristics of poultry farmers, analyze the effect of climate change on poultry production and to determine poultry farmers' perception on climate change in Imo State were prompted.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in Imo state. It consists of twenty-seven (27) local government areas (Obasi*etal*,2015). Imo state is situated in the South-Eastern part of Nigeria. Imo State lies within the latitude 4^045^1 N and 7^015^1 N and longitude 6^050^1 E and 7^025^1 Ewith land area of about 5,100km² (National Bureau of Statistics, 2014). It is bordered by Abia State on the East, River Niger and Delta state on the West, by Anambra State to the North and Rivers State to the South. It has an annual rainfall varying from 1,500 mm to 2.200 mm, an average annual temperature above 20^0 C and an annual relative humidity of 75% with humidity reaching 90% in the rainy

- 93 season (National Bureau of Statistic, 2014). The estimated population is 4.8 million and the
- 94 population density varies from 230-1,400 people per square kilometre (National Bureau of
- 95 Statistics, 2014). The major occupations in Imo state are trading, civil service and agriculture
- 96 (Obasi et al, 2015). Most households cultivate food crops such as cassava, cocoyam, yam, maize,
- 97 melon, okra and vegetables (green, fluted pumpkin, water-leaf and bitter leaf), etc. and rear
- 98 livestock especially poultry and goats (Obasi*etal*, 2015).
- 99 The study made use of primary data which was collected with the aid of a well-structured
- questionnaire, personal interview and observation while the secondary information was gotten
- 101 from journals and relevant literature. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as
- mean, frequency distribution tables and percentages, Ordinary least squares regression model and
- Likert scale. Ordinary Least Squares Regression Analysis is a statistical tool used for evaluating
- the relationship between one or more independent variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_8 , to a single
- continuous variable Y. According to Iheke and Igbechina (2016), he used ordinary least squares
- regression to analyze the effect of risks on poultry production. The ordinary least squares model
- is expressed implicitly as:
- 108 $Y = a + X_1b_1 + X_2b_2 + X_3b_3 + ... + Xnbn + e$
- Where, Y = dependent variables (output)
- 110 X_1 = ambient Temperature
- 111 $X_2 = humidity$
- 112 $X_3 = rainfall$
- 113 X_4 = wind speed and direction
- 114 $X_5 = mortality$
- 115 $X_6 = \text{feed availability}$
- 116 e = stochastic error term

118 Results and Discussion

119

Table 1: socioeconomic characteristics of poultry farmers in the study area

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
24-33	20	23.81
34-43	19	22.62
44-53	21	25.00
54-63	14	16.67
64-73	10	11.90
Mean age=45.2years		
Gender		
Female	34	40.48
Male	50	59.52
Years spent in school		
0	2	2.38
1-6	8	9.52
7-12	17	20.24
13-18	57	67.86
Mean=13.7years		
Household size		
1-5	44	52.38
6-10	31	36.90
11-15	6	7.14
16-20	3	3.57
Mean=6 persons		
Marital status		
Single	23	27.38
Married	41	48.81
Divorced	8	9.52
Widow	12	14.29
Experience in poultry enterprise		
1-7	40	47.62
8-14	25	29.76
15-21	14	16.67
22-27	3	3.57
28-34	2	2.38
Mean=9.3years		

120 Source: Field Survey Data, 2019.

121

122

123

124

The result from the table above shows that the majority of the farmers were male with a mean age of 45.2year, this implies that poultry farmers are still at their active age and have uneven gender distribution. The table also showed that majority 67.86% of the farmers spent up to 13-18 years in school implying that they are literate farmers with an average household size of

6persons. The table also revealed that majority of the farmers' were married and has average farming experience of 9.3years.

Table 2

iables	Frequency	Percentage
e of poultry		
500	30	35.71
L-1000	18	21.43
)1-1500	10	11.90
)1-2000	12	14.29
000	14	16.67
an=250 birds		
es of poultry system		
ep litters	75	89.29
e-range	7	8.33
tery cage	2	2.38
ırce of capital		
sonal savings	40	47.62
operatives	2	2.38
nk	2	2.38
nily	31	36.90
ends	6	7.14
neylenders	3	3.57
irce of labour		
nily	26	30.95
ed	24	28.57
:h	34	40.48
mbership of cooperative		
	55	65.48
	29	34.52
reas field survey data 2010	29	

Source: field survey data, 2019.

The table above also shows that the majority (89.29%) of the farmers uses deep litter system of poultry production, with average poultry size of 250 birds. This implies that the deep litter system is the most favourable system to use in the study area. The result also reveals that 47.62% of the farmers use personal savings as their major source of capital. This implies that farmers have low access to credit facilities, therefore, their production is mainly on subsistence bases. The table also shows that 40.48% of the farmers use both families and hired labour implying that they have more advantages than those that use only one source of labour. 65.48% of the farmers belong to cooperatives.

Table 3: Regression results of the effect of climate change on poultry production

Variables	Linear	Exponential+	Semi-log	Double-log
Constant	-44.78882	5.438987	-2186.269	3.805063
	(-0.0146)	(7.5460)	(-0.6444)	(5.3450)
Ambient Temperature	-2031.055	-1.389814	1341.447	-2.821556
	(-2.6487)***	(-2.1340)**	(0.4843)	(-4.8546)***
Humidity	1300.083	0.59761	1947.9577	0.361906
	(0.8458)	(1.6517)*	(3.6294)***	(1.1224)
Rainfall Distribution	428.9926	0.736218	227.7349	-0.179186
	(0.2440)	(1.7787)*	(0.1278)	(-0.4791)
Wind-speed	-896.1609	-0.102871	-526.5912	0.160484
	(-0.6684)	(-0.3259)	(-0.3899)	(0.5664)
Mortality	-10.439902	-0.01077	840.3008	-0.611492
	(-2.0666)**	(-9.0580)***	(1.3865)	(-4.8088)***
Feed availability	314.8407	0.652438	-14.26986	0.411722
	(0.2180)	(1.9195)**	(-0.0099)	(1.3572)
R-squared	0.623139	0.725544	0.547144	0.552305
Adjusted R-squared	0.539812	0.656508	0.528082	0.528803
S.E. of regression	5834.311	1.373337	5762.183	1.208996
Sum squared resid.	2.59E+09	143.3401	2.52E+09	111.0871
Log likelihood	-833.8509	-140.4466	-832.8184	-129.8681
F-statistic	9.300043	11.818527	6.626696	8.024151

139 Source: Field Survey Data, 2019

From the above table, it could be seen from the result that output of the exponential form gave the best result in terms of number and sizes of significant parameter estimates and largest R² hence was therefore chosen as the lead equation. Out of the six regressors, five namely ambient temperature, humidity, rainfall distribution, mortality and feed unavailability were statistically significant at 10% level of probability. The coefficient of multiple determination R² is 0.725544, implying that 72.55% variation in the poultry output was accounted for by the predictor/regressors variables, hence the remaining 27.5% was due to random disturbance. The F-statistics value of 11.818527 was significant, an indication of the overall significance of the regression. The coefficients of humidity, rainfall distribution and feed availability were found to have a positive relationship with poultry output at 10% level of probability. This implies that the

^{*** =} sign @ 1%, ** = sign @ 5% and * = sign @ 10%.

T-values are reported in parentheses

^{+ =} Lead equation.

increase in these variables increases the production output while ambient temperature and mortality reduce the output.

Table 4: Distribution of poultry farmers according to perception on climate change

	strongly			strongly			
	agreed	Agreed	Disagreed	disagreed			
Perception of climate change	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	Total	Mean	Remark
Climate change affects egg and meat							
production	38(45.24)	37(44.05)	9(10.71)	0(0.00)	197	2.35	Agreed
High temperature makes birds feed							
less and drink more	49(58.33)	27(32.14)	8(9.52)	0(0.00)	209	2.49	Agreed
High temperature and low rainfall							
leads to low egg quality	31(36.90)	31(36.90)	19(22.62)	3(3.57)	174	2.07	Agreed
High sunshine affect egg production	30(35.71)	21(25.00)	18(21.43)	15(17.86)	150	1.79	Agreed
High temperature and low rainfall							
resulted in high food availability	19(22.62)	38(45.24)	24(28.57)	3(3.57)	157	1.87	Agreed
Prices of feed-grains increases during							
hot and dry seasons	22(26.19)	28(33.33)	32(38.10)	2(2.38)	154	1.83	Agreed
High temperature and low rainfall							
conditions reduce the quality of grains	22(26.19)	38(45.24)	17(20.24)	7(8.33)	159	1.89	Agreed
Climate change affects feed grain							
availability	23(27.38)	39(46.43)	16(19.05)	6(7.14)	163	1.94	Agreed

158 Source: Field Survey Data, 2019

159 If mean ≥ 1.5 , we agreed otherwise disagree.

The above table showed the perception of poultry farmers on climate change in Imo state, about 45.24% of them strongly agreed that climate change affects egg and meat production, 44.05% of them agreed that climate change affects egg and meat production, about 10.71% of them disagree that climate change affects egg and meat production. On the perception about high temperature make birds to feed less and drink more, 58.33% of them strongly agreed, 32.14% of them agreed, 9.52% of disagreed respectively. About 36.90% of them responded that they strongly agreed and agreed respectively that that high temperature and low rainfall lead to low egg quality, 22.62% of them disagreed and 3.57% of them strongly disagreed that high temperature and low rainfall lead to low egg quality.

About 35.71% of them strongly agreed, 25% of them agreed, 21.43% of them disagreed and 17.86% of them strongly disagreed that high sunshine affects egg production respectively. On the issue of high temperature and low rainfall resulting to high food availability, about 22.62% of them strongly agreed, 45.25% of them agreed, 28.57% of strongly disagreed and 3.57% of them disagreed respectively. In the same vein, about 26.19%, 33.33%, 38.10% and 2,38% of them strongly agreed, agreed, strongly disagreed and disagreed respectively that prices of feed grains increases during the hot and dry seasons.

About 26.10%, 45.24%, 20.24% and 8.33% of them strongly agreed, agreed, strongly disagree and disagreed that high temperature and low rainfall conditions reduce the quality of grains respectively. Finally, on the issue of climate change affecting feed grains availability, 27.38%, 46.43%, 16.05% and 7.14% of them strongly agreed, agreed, disagreed and strongly disagreed respectively. This implies that poultry farmers have the unfavourable perception of climate change in the study area which suggests that they would also have a positive attitude to adapting to climate change to increase their level of poultry production. This further attests to the unfavourable perceptions that farmers have of the various effects of climate change on their enterprise in the area.

Conclusion

The study revealed that majority of the respondents are aware of the climate change and hence, most likely to make observation on how it affect poultry production pattern, effects of climate change which results in temperature fluctuation, increased in sunshine intensity and global warming has negative effects on poultry production which many at times result to high mortality rate of the chickens, low egg and meat production and prices of feed grains are usually high in hot and dry seasons as result of effects of climate change which may affect cost of production and number of birds to raise for egg and meat production in the farm.

Recommendation

There is dire need to intensify awareness campaign to poultry farmers on how to reduce the effects of climate change on poultry production. Extension staff and other development agencies need to educate the poultry farmers more about the effects posed by climate change on poultry production and possible means of combating the problem of climate effect on poultry production.

200	
201 202	References
203	Action Plan for Poultry Commodity Transformation in Nigeria (APPCT) (2012). Poultry
204	transformation Report. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Abuja
205	Nigeria.Pp 1-30.
206	Adebi, G.L, Oyebode L.A and Owosibo I.I (2017). Perceived effects of climate change or
207	commercial poultry farming in oyo state, Nigeria. Agricultural & Veterinary Sciences
208	Vol.1, No.3, 2017, pp.163-171
209	Adesiji, G.B., Baba, S.T., and Tyabo, I.S.(2012). Effects of Climate change on poultry
210	production in Ondo State. Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences
211	2(14); 242 – 248.
212	Alade, O.A. and Ademola, A.O. (2013). Perceived Effect of Climate Variation on Poultry
213	Production in OkeOgun Area of Oyo State. Journal of Agricultural Science, vol.5, No.10.
214	Amos, T.T (2006), Analysis of Backyard Poultry Production in Ondo State, Nigeria
215	International Journal of Poultry Science, 5(3), 247 – 250.
216	Ashish R, Ranajana S, Indu D, Abudul R and Shiwani T (2019). Effect of Heat Stress on Poultry
217	Production and their Managemental Approaches.International Journal of Current
218	Microbiology and Applied Sciences
219	Bukunmi, F.R and Yusuf, H.A (2015). Analysis of socio-Economic Factors Influencing Poultry
220	Egg production among poultry farmers in Ondo State, Nigeria. British Journal of
221	Applied Science and Technology, 10(3):1-7.
222	Edame G.E,; Ekpenyong V.; Fonta W M. and E.J.E Duru, (2011). Climate Change, Food Security
223	and Agricultural Productivity in Africa: Issues and policy directions. International
224	Journal of Humanities and Social Science Vol. 1 No. 21 [Special Issue
225	December 2011] 205
226	
227	Elijah, O.A., Adedapo, A. (2006). The effect of climate change on poultry productivity in Ilorin
228	Kwara State, Nigeria, International Journal of Poultry Science, 5(11), 1061-1068.

230	Food and Agriculture Organisation.(FAO), (2014). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture
231	2006. Rome, FAO: 162pp
232	Guis, H.; Caminade, C.; Calvete, C.; Morse, A.P.; Tran, A. and M. Baylis, (2011), Modelling
233	Theeffects of past and future climate on the risk of bluetone emergence in
234	Europe. Journal of Rural Sociology Interface 10. 1098/rsif. 2011.0255 India
235	Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), 2010 -11 annual Report Pp 13.
236	Heise H, Alexandra C, and Ludwig T(2015). The Poultry Market in Nigeria: Market Structures
237	and Potential for Investment in the Market. International Food and Agribusiness
238	Management (IFAMA) Review volume 18special issue A, 2015.
239	NationalBureauof Statistics.(2014).ImoState Information.Retrieved
240	fromhttp://nigerianstat.gov.ng/information/details/Imo.
241	National Action Plan for Egg and Poultry -2022 for doubling farmers Income by
242	2022. Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying & Fisheries Ministry of
243	Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Government of India
244	Nayak G.D, Behura N.C, Sardar K.K and Mishra P.K (2105). Effect of climatic
245	variables on production and reproduction traits of colored broiler breeder
246	poultry.
247	Obasi, P.C., Henri-Ukoha, O.N., Anosike & Ibekwe, U.C. (2015). Netreturns to cassava - based
248	cropmixtures in Imostate, Nigeria. European Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Research, 3(1), 15-100000000000000000000000000000000000
249	21.
250	Sanuo A, Bukola O, SawedaL .T and Thomas R (2017).Climate change and the poultry value
251	chain in nigeria:Issues, emerging evidence, and hypotheses.Nigerian Journal of
252	Agricultural Economics (NJAE). Volume 7(1), 2017: Pages 45-53.
253	TamiruLemi and FedaduHailu (2019). Effects of Climate Change Variability on
254	Agricultural Productivity.Natural Resource Management Specialized in Forest and Nature
255	Management, Ethiopia.
256 257	
258	
259	
260	
261	