# **Original Research Article**

# 3 EFFECT OF TECHNOLOGICAL INTERVENTION ON YIELD OF SUMMER PEARL MILLET

4

## 5 ABSTRACT

Front line demonstrations (FLDs) were conducted by pearl millet Research Station, JAU, 6 7 Jamnagar on 313 farmers' field in 125 hectares of different 98 villages of Gujarat state during 8 summer season of 2015 to 2019. Prevailing farm practices were treated as control for comparison with recommended package *i.e.* improved variety (GHB 558, GHB 538, GHB 732), 9 10 seed rate 4 kg/ha, timely sowing (15 Feb to 15 March), line sowing with spacing of 60 cm (R-R) and 10-12 cm (P-P), balanced use of fertilizers (NPK @120:60:0 kg/ha, thinning 15 days after 11 sowing, weed management (pre emergence spray of Atrazin @ 0.5 kg/ha and one hand 12 weeding), proper critical stage apply 8-10 irrigation, two foliar spray of profenophos 0.05 % at 20 13 and 40 days after germination to control shoot fly and stem borer pests infesting pearl millet, 14 15 timely harvesting and threshing. The cumulative effect of technological intervention over five years, revealed average grain yield 4362 kg/ha and dry fodder yield 7365 kg/ha which is 6.17 % 16 and 12.76 % higher over the farmers' practices. The economics and cost benefit ratio of both 17 farmers' and improved practices was worked out. On an average net profit was obtained 6837 18 19 ₹/ha due to adoption of improved package of practices. The average cost benefit ratio was 2.23 20 under improved demonstration practices, while it was 2.43 under farmers' practices. By conducting the Frontline Demonstrations of proven technologies, yield potential and net income 21 22 from pearl millet cultivation can be enhanced to a great extent with increase in the income level 23 of the farming community.

24 Key words: FLDs, Front Line Demonstration, Net profit, Pearl Millet

25 **INTRODUCTION** 

1 2 Pearl millet is a cereal crop that thrives in the arid and semi-arid tropical regions of Asia and Africa. It is an important food crop in areas with low rainfall and shallow soils. It is not only a quick growing short duration crop, but also found drought as well as heat tolerant and well adapted to different soil types. Because of its propensity for high dry matter production at high temperature, it has made a mark in tropics and sub-tropics. Pearl millet is grown over in 8.0 m ha mainly as a rainfed crop in north and northwestern parts of India comprising states of Gujarat, Rajasthan, Maharashtra and Haryana [8].

In Gujarat it is an important food and fodder crop as it is second in terms of area after wheat and third after wheat and rice in terms of production. It is an important staple food for the people of arid and semi-arid regions of the state, North Gujarat, Kutch and Saurashtra. It is cultivated by Gujarat farmers in 3 different seasons viz., rainy (kharif) season (June-September), post-rainy (rabi) season (November-February) and summer season (February-May).

In Gujarat it is grown in 26 out of 33 districts covering an area of 1.63 lakh ha in Kharif with an average productivity 1272 kg/ha and around 2.4 lakh ha area under summer cultivation with an average productivity of 2628 kg/ha [1]. The total area of Pearl Millet in the state is 3.97 lakh ha with an average productivity 2430 kg/ha [1]. The area of summer cultivation is increasing gradually due to short period of time available to farmer after rabi crops, acute demand of fodder and suitable climatic situation in the state.

45 Pearl millet is considered as whole crop utilization. Its grain has high nutritive value for 46 human consumption and livestock also relish its straw, both in fresh and dried forms [4]. Pearl 47 millet is an important coarse grain crop and serves as stable diet for millions of people living in 48 poverty.

Available improved agricultural technology does not serve its purpose till it reaches and be adopted by its ultimate users, the farmers. The technology transfer refers to the spread of new ideas from originating sources to ultimate users. Looking to the existing gap in state average yield, farmers' practices yield and improved technology yield, there is ample scope for further improvement of production and productivity of pearl millet for increase the income level of the farming community of the Gujarat State. The demonstration of varietal components under FLDs plays important role in the maximization of pearl millet production [3]. Considering these facts the varietal components under FLDs were tested in summer pearl millet cultivation situation in Gujarat state.

#### 58 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Front line demonstrations were organized and conducted by Pearl Millet Research 59 Station, JAU, Jamnagar on 313 farmers' field in 125 hectares of different 98 villages of Gujarat 60 state during summer season under real farming situations during 2015 to 2019. The 61 demonstration area was 0.40 ha. and all demonstrations on various locations were under direct 62 63 supervision of the scientists. To manage the assessed problem, improved variety (GHB 558, 64 GHB 538, GHB 732), seed rate 4 kg/ha, timely sowing, line sowing with spacing of 60 cm (R-R) and 10-12 cm (P-P), balanced use of fertilizers (NPK @120:60:0 kg/ha, thinning 15 days after 65 sowing, weed management (pre emergence spray of Atrazin @ 0.5 kg/ha and one hand 66 weeding), proper critical stage apply 8-10 irrigation, two foliar spray of profenophos 0.05 % at 20 67 68 and 40 days after germination to control shoot fly and stem borer pests infesting pearl millet, timely harvesting and threshing were followed as intervention during the course of front line 69 70 demonstration scheme. Before the conduct of demonstrations, training to the farmers of respective villages was imparted with respect to proven technological interventions. All other 71 steps like site and farmer selection, lay out of demonstrations, farmers' participation were 72 followed as suggested by Chaudhary (1999). Visits of farmers and extension functionaries were 73 74 organized at demonstration plots to disseminate the message at large scale. The yield data 75 were collected from both the demonstration and control (Farmers' practices) plots by random 76 crop cutting method. The cost of cultivation, net income and cost benefit ratio were computed

and analyzed. The extension gap, technology gap, technological index [6,7] and state average

yield gap [5] were calculated by using the following formula :

Percentage increase yield		(Improved practices yield - farmers' practices yield) × 100			
r ercentage increase yield	_	farmers' practices yield			
Technology gap	=	Potential yield - Improved practices yield			
Extension gap	=	Improved practices yield - farmers' practices yield			
Technology index		(Potential yield - Improved practices yield) × 100			
Technology index		Potential yield			
Ctata avarage viold gap		(Improved practices yield - Average state yield) $\times$ 100			
State average yield gap	=	Average state yield			

#### 79 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

80 The gap between the farmers' practices and improved technologies of pearl millet in different district of Gujarat is presented in Table 1. The gap observed was due to in use of 81 improved variety (GHB 558, GHB 538, GHB 732), seed rate 4 kg/ha, timely sowing, line sowing 82 with spacing of 60 cm (R-R) and 10-12 cm (P-P), balanced use of fertilizers (NPK @120:60:0 83 84 kg/ha, thinning 15 days after sowing, weed management (pre emergence spray of Atrazin @ 0.5 kg/ha and one hand weeding), proper critical stage apply 8-10 irrigation, two foliar spray of 85 profenophos 0.05 % at 20 and 40 days after germination to control shoot fly and stem borer 86 pests infesting pearl millet, timely harvesting and threshing. 87

88 The yield performances are presented in Table 2. The results indicated that under improved practices, the grain yield of pearl millet was found to be substantially higher than 89 under farmers' (local) practices during all the years (2015-2019). The grain yields of pearl millet 90 under improved practices recorded were; 3967, 4000, 4515, 4589 and 4739 kg/ha during 91 92 summer of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively. The yield improvement due to technological interventions was to the tune of 4.61, 6.10, 8.87, 5.79 and 5.45 % over farmers' 93 practices. The cumulative effect of technological interventions over five years, revealed an 94 average yield 4362 kg/ha, which was 6.17 % higher over farmers' practices. The results 95 revealed that the average dry fodder yield of 2015 to 2019 was 7365 kg/ha in the improved 96

97 practices which was 12.76 % higher than the farmers' practices 6551 kg/ha. The highest dry 98 fodder yield of 7612 kg/ha was recorded with improved practices during summer of 2018. The 99 results indicated that higher yields were obtained under improved demonstration practices 100 compared to farmers' practices.

101 The extension gap of 175, 230, 368, 251 and 245 kg/ha was observed during summer of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively (Table 3). On an average extension gap was 102 observed 254 kg/ha. The technology gap ranged between 1979 to 2751 kg/ha and on an 103 104 average technology gap in the five years of the FLD programmes was 2356 kg/ha. The technology gap observed may be attributed to dissimilarity in the soil fertility status, agricultural 105 practices and local climatic situation. The technology index varied from 29.46 to 40.95 per cent. 106 On an average technology index observed was 35.07 per cent, which shows the efficacy of 107 108 good performance of technical interventions. The gap between state average yield and improved practices was to the tune of 49.25 %, 45.45 %, 65.63 %, 57.21 % and 79.37 % during 109 the summer of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively. On average, state average gap 110 in the five years of FLD programmes was 59.38 %. It indicates that the pearl millet growers with 111 112 low yield were identified by low knowledge of scientific technology of pearl millet cultivation. It is a point of concern for research and extension workers to disseminate improved pearl millet 113 production technology for raising its production. 114

115 The economic viability of improved technologies over farmers' practices was calculated depending on prevailing prices of inputs and outputs costs (Table 4). It was found that cost of 116 cultivation of pearl millet varied from 30268 to 31247 ₹/ha with an average of 30687 ₹/ha in 117 improved practices as against the variation in cost of cultivation from 31470 to 32600 ₹/ha with 118 119 an average of 31954 ₹/ha in farmers' practices. The cultivation of pearl millet in the improved 120 practices gave higher net return ranged from 37856 to 79473 ₹/ha with a mean value of 52825 ₹/ha as compared to farmers' practices which recorded 31674 to 71812 ₹/ha with a mean of 121 45988 ₹/ha. Higher benefit cost ratios of 2.23, 2.81, 2.40, 2.60 and 3.54 were found under 122

improved practices compared to 1.99, 2.53, 2.10, 2.35 and 3.20 under farmers' practices in the corresponding seasons. On average, a net profit of 6837 ₹/ha was obtained due to adoption of improved package of practices. Hence, there is a wide scope to increase the production of pearl millet crop by providing need based training and demonstration on improved production technology to the farmers. The above findings are in confirmation with similarly to those of Parmar *et. al.* (2016) for pearl millet, Zala *et al.* (2013) for finger millet and Thakur *et al.* (2019) for chick pea.

#### 130 CONCLUSIONS

The FLD produces a significant positive result and provided the researcher an opportunity to demonstrate the productivity potential and profitability of the latest technology (Intervention) under real farming situation. In demonstration plot improved production technology of pearl millet performs better 6.17 % in grain yield and 12.76 % in dry fodder yield over the farmers' (control) practices. The productivity gain under FLD over existing practices of pearl millet cultivation created greater awareness and motivated the other farmers to adopt suitable production technology of pearl millet.

#### 138 **REFERENCES**

- Anonymous. Directorate of Agriculture, Department of Agriculture, Gujarat State,
  Gandhinagar, 2019; Accessed on 12 July 2019. Available <u>http://dag.gujarat.gov.in</u>
- 141 2. Chadhuary BN. Publication, Division of Agricultural Extension, ICAR, 1999;pp73-78.
- 142 3. Chaudhari RP, Patel PM, Patel BM, Kumar Upesh, Darji SS and Patel SJ. Performance of
- 143 Summer Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) Hybrids under North Gujarat Conditions. *Int.*
- 144 J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(1):637-644.
- 4. Gill KS. Peart Millet and its Improvement. ICAR publications, New Delhi;1991
- 146 5. Parmar GM, Mehta AC, Acharya MF and Parmar SK. Impact of frontline demonstration in
- transfer of pearl millet production technology. *Int. J. Agril. Sci.* 2016;8(22): 1417-1418.

- 6. Samui, SK, Maitra S, Roy DK, Mondal AK and Saha D. Evaluation on front line 148 demonstration on groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.). J. Indian Soc. Coastal Agri. Res., 149 2000;18: 180-183. 150
- 151 7. Thakur C, Sahu B, Markam S and Nag U. Impact of Front Line Demonstration on Yield and
- 152 Economics of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum) in Uttar-Bastar Kanker District of Chhattisgarh. Int.
- J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(6): 2337-2341. 153
- 154 8. Yadav OP, Mahala, RS, Rai KN, Gupta SK, Rajpurohit BS and Yadav HP. Pearl millet seed
- 155 production and processing. AICRP on Pearl Millet, ICAR publication, Jodhpur;2015.
- 9. Zala SU, Patel KA and Thakor RF. Agriculture Update. 2013;8(3):517-518 156
- 157
- 158

Difference between improved and farmers' practices under front line Table. 1 demonstration on pearl millet 159

Sr.	Components	Improved Practices	Farmers' Practices		
No.					
1	Land preparation	Two Ploughing	Two Ploughing		
2	Variety	Improved Hybrid GHB 558, GHB 538 and GHB 732	Local available variety		
3	Sowing method	Line sowing	Broadcasting & Line sowing		
4	Seed rate	3.75 kg/ha	6-8 kg/ha		
5	Spacing of row to row and plant to plant	60 cm & 10-15cm	45 cm & 10 cm		
6	Plant population	Optimum	Uneven		
7	Weed management	Pre emergence spray of Atrazin @ 0.5 kg/ha + one hand weeding	Weeding in not common		
8	Doses of NPK fertilizers	120-60-0 kg/ha	Imbalance and inadequate		
9	Irrigation at critical stage	8-10	Unequal		
10	Plant protection	Application of recommended dose of insecticide as per requirement	Use of incorrect dose and plant protection is not common		

### 160 **Table.2 Yield performance of FLD on pearl millet crop**

Season	No. of Demon- strations	Variety	Grain yie	ld (kg/ha)	% Increase in yield over farmers' practices	Dry fodder yield (kg/ha)		
			Improved practices	Farmers' practices		Improved practices	Farmers' practices	dry fodder yield over farmers' practices
Summer 2015	76	GHB-558, GHB-732	3967	3792	4.61	6943	5689	22.04
Summer 2016	75	GHB-538, GHB-732	4000	3770	6.10	7188	6569	9.42
Summer 2017	62	GHB-558, GHB-538, GHB-732	4515	4147	8.87	7492	6607	13.39
Summer 2018	50	GHB-732	4589	4338	5.79	7612	6982	9.02
Summer 2019	50	GHB-538, GHB-732	4739	4494	5.45	7591	6906	9.92
Sum/Mean	313	-	4362	4108	6.17	7365	6551	12.76

#### 161 Table.3 Extension gap, technology gap, technology index and state average gap (%) of pearl millet under FLD and existing package of 162 practices

Season	Grain yie	ld (kg/ha)	Extension gap	Technology gap	Technology Index	State average	
	Potential State ave		(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)		yield gap (%)	
Summer 2015	6718	2658	175	2751	40.95	49.25	
Summer 2016	6718	2750	230	2718	40.46	45.45	
Summer 2017	6718	2726	368	2203	32.79	65.63	
Summer 2018	6718	2919	251	2129	31.69	57.21	
Summer 2019	6718	2642	245	1979	29.46	79.37	
Mean	6718	2739	254	2356	35.07	59.38	

# 163 Table.4 Economics of FLD on pearl millet crop

Year	Gross expenditure (₹/ha)		Gross return ( ₹/ha)		Net return ( ₹/ha)		C:B ratio	
	Improved practices	Farmers' practices	Improved practices	Farmers' practices	Improved practices	Farmers' practices	Improved practices	Farmers' practices
Summer 2015	30656	31920	68512	63594	37856	31674	1:2.23	1:1.99
Summer 2016	30875	32173	86816	81413	55941	49240	1:2.81	1:2.53
Summer 2017	30387	31610	72821	66337	42435	34727	1:2.40	1:2.10
Summer 2018	30268	31470	78690	73959	48422	42489	1:2.60	1:2.35
Summer 2019	31247	32600	110720	104411	79473	71812	1:3.54	1:3.20
Mean	30687	31954	83512	77943	52825	45988	1:2.72	1:2.43

Selling price of pearl millet grain was 1377, 1811, 1281, 1383 and 2016 ₹/q in June month of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively. Dry

165 fodder yield 200 ₹/q