2

3

4

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF SNAIL MARKETING IN IBADAN NORTH EAST LOCAL

GOVERNMENT AREA OYO STATE, NIGERIA

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Abstract

This paper reports findings from a study carried out to investigate the profitability of snail marketing in Ibadan North East Local Government area of Oyo State. Structured questionnaires and interview schedules were designed to obtain information on socio-economic characteristics, operational capital and source, years of experience in the business and constraints to snail marketing. Seventy snail marketers, randomly selected from three major markets which are Oje, Agodi gate and Agugu market;. The data collected were analyzed using frequency table, percentage, gross margin, Benefit/ Cost ratio and Marketing Efficiency analyses. Majority (94.3%) of the respondents were female while 5.7% were male. 31.5% were between the ages of 51-60years with mean age of 54.9 years. It was also shown that 50% source their capital through personal savings between \(\frac{\text{N}}{11,000}\)-\(\frac{\text{N}}{20,000}\) and 47.1% with 5-9 years experience. The cost and return analysis revealed that total revenue was №1, 457,700.00k and total cost was №1, 285,320.00k while gross margin was №172, 380.00k and benefit- cost ratio was 1.13 which implies that for every $\maltese 1.00$ invested the marketer will make a return of $\maltese 1.13$ k on every snail sold, Marketing efficiency was 88%. Major constraints to snail marketing in the study area were poor market patronage (87.1%) and seasonality (82.9%). Snail farming is advocated since it is a profitable agribusiness and can be achieved through cooperatives and micro credit facilities. Also, marketing of snail in the area should be restructured and standardized to command frequent patronage and command higher price value.

Keywords: Economic, Ibadan North-East, Marketing, Oyo State, Profitability, Snail, Nigeria

Introduction

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Snails are invertebrates and hermaphrodites which belong to phylum Mollusca and have a singular spiral shell into which the whole body can be withdrawn. The most popular snail in Africa is the Giant African land snail, Achatina species which grows up to 30cm in length and is usually found in the dense tropical rain forest region across Africa. They are one of the micro livestock that have recently attracted attention among farmers in Nigeria because of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO's) alert on the deficiency of animal protein among Nigerians (Adesope, 2000). The consumption of snail meat by rural communities is governed more by culture than by social status and it is confirmed by many researchers to have both nutritional and medicinal values Ebenso (2003). Snail meat is white meat though characteristically organic, but has similar taste and texture to that of seafood. Snail has great potentials in the tropics where it is widely used in human nutrition. Murphy (2001) analyzed and reported snail meat to be high in protein (37.51%) compared to that of guinea pig (20.3%), poultry (18.3%), fish (18%), cattle (17.5%), sheep (16.4%). Snails are low in fat, protein rich and a good source of variety of essential vitamins and minerals including magnesium, vitamin E and phosphorous (Akinnusi, 2002). It also has some medicinal purposes such as in the cure of heart and kidney diseases, stroke, high blood pressure, liver, fat related ailment, poor eye sight, small pox, ulcer, constipation and asthma (Ayodele and Asimolowo, 1999. Snails have been and are still a much sought after food and come to the table as a gastronomous delight.

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Snails can be found in a very wide range of environments mostly in the wild, including ditches and the bathyal depths of the sea. (Robinson, Elizabeth, 2005). Snail.world.com (2017) reported that snails are practically everywhere, but some have adapted to survive in water and some on humid land. They have adapted to a variety of conditions/habitats including villages, farms, backyards and sheds. In fact, people in the rural settings freely scout in the forests and farmlands to collect snails during the rainy season for sales and for domestic consumption. Snail farming is suggested to be amongst the top interesting

business opportunities in Africa because of its socio-economic importance. Snail marketing could serve as a source of income and returns to the marketers in the study area. The present study aims to investigate the profitability of snail marketing in Ibadan North East Local Government area of Oyo State.

Marketing Concept and Marketing Efficiency

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

The American Marketing Association (2013) explained marketing as the communication between a company/ producer and the consumer. It is also an activity set of institutions and processes for creating communication delivery and exchanging offerings that have value for customers. Marketing concept therefore includes the assemble preparation for consumption and the final distribution. Kotler et al., (2010), also defined market concept as achieving organizational goals which depend on knowing the needs and wants of target market and delivering the desired satisfaction. Marketing efficiency can be defined as the maximization of the ratio of output to input in marketing. Efficient marketing optimizes the ratio between inputs and outputs. Marketing inputs here include the resources used in marketing of products whereas marketing output is the benefit or satisfaction created or the value added to the commodity as it passes through the marketing chain. Therefore, for this study, value added by respondents is computed as price in naira received by the respondents (price paid by the consumers) less the price received by the preceding marketer in the supply chain. Therefore: M.E= [(Total revenue – purchase cost of snail) ÷ (Total cost of marketing)] x 100% as used by Ugwumba et al., (2016). There are so many businesses in the study area which need to be critically assessed for their profitability status. It is on this premise that this study therefore investigated the economic analysis of snail marketing in Ibadan North East Local Government Area of Oyo State, Nigeria through examination of the socioeconomic characteristics, cost and returns, constraints and efficiency of snail marketing in the study area.

Methodology

The study was carried out in Ibadan North East local government area, one of the LGAs in Ibadan metropolis of Oyo State. Ibadan is the capital of Oyo State, the largest city in Nigeria and Sub-Saharan Africa. It has a population of 330,399 at the 2006 census, and has the land mass of 125km² with twelve (12) wards. It is located on the northern part of Ibadan lying between latitudes 7°N and 9°N of the equator and longitude 3°E and 5°W Greenwich meridian. It has an average rainfall of between 1250mm and 1800mm and the temperature range is between 27°C and 32°C with relative humidity of 75% - 90% (NPC 2006).

Sampling Procedure

The sample for the study was obtained using multi-stage random sampling technique. In the first stage, Ibadan North East Local Government Area was purposively selected for the study because of the concentration of snail markets in the area. For the second stage, three major markets were randomly selected and these included Oje, Agodi and Agugu Markets. Lastly, twenty percent (20%) each, of the total population of snail marketers from the three major snail markets were then randomly selected as 30, 15 and 25 respondents from 154, 77 and 126 snail marketers from Oje, Agodi-Gate and Agugu markets respectively. Therefore, a total of 70 respondents were randomly selected for the study.

Structured questionnaire and interview schedules were designed to seek information on the socioeconomic characteristics of the marketers, operational capital, source of capital, years of experience in snail business and constraints to snail marketing.

Method of Data Analysis

The data obtained from the study were analysed using descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentages and mean. Also, inferential statistics like Gross Margin (GM), Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) and Marketing Efficiency (ME)

- 96 The formulae used to compute Gross Margin (GM), Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) and Marketing
- 97 Efficiency (ME) are expressed in equations described by Oyewo et al., (2013) given below:
- 98 GM = TR TC (1)
- 99 Where,
- GM = Gross margin
- TR (Total Revenue) = from the sales of snail = $(P \times Q)$
- Where P = Price of Snail; Q = Quantity of Snail sold
- 103 TC= Total Cost

110

- BCR = TR/TC (2)
- Marketing Efficiency Index (MEI) as used by Olukosi and Isitor (1990) and Ekuwore et al (2008)
- 106 $Marketing\ Efficiency = \frac{\text{Total\ Revenue}}{\text{Total\ Market\ Cost}}$ (3)
- 108 If $ER \le 1$ ----- Market is operatively efficient
- 109 If ER > = 1 ----- Market is operatively inefficient

111 Results and Discussion

Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents (N=70)

Variable	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Mean
Age (years)			
21-30	1	1.4	
31-40	6	8.5	
41-50	18	25.8	
51-60	22	31.5	

61 and above	23	32.8	54.93
Gender			
Female	66	94.3	
Male	4	5.7	
Religion			
Christian	39	55.7	
Islam	27	38.6	
Traditional	4	5.7	
Marital status			
Married	42	60.0	
Widow	18	25.7	
Widower	2	2.9	
Divorced	8	11.4	
Educational status		N	
None	16	22.9	
Primary	45	64.3	
Secondary	9	12.8	
House hold size			
0-4	11	15.7	
5-9	40	57.1	
10-14	18	25.7	
15 and above	1	1.5	7.67
Other job			
None	48	68.6	

Trading 18 25.7 Source of Credit Personal Savings 35 50.0 Bank Loan 1 1.4 Cooperative 14 20.0 Friends/Relative 2 2.9 Daily Contribution 18 25.7 Income (♣) 1.5 11,000-10,000 1 1.5 11,000-20,500 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (₦) 1 15.8 11,000-10,000 11 15.8 17,050.00 Preservation 8 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation 8 87.1 Metal Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 14 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 23 32.9 14 40 57.1 23 32.9	Farming	4	5.7	
Personal Savings 35 50.0 Bank Loan 1 1.4 Cooperative 14 20.0 Friends/Relative 2 2.9 Daily Contribution 18 25.7 Income (N) 1 1.5 11,000-10,000 1 1.5 11,000-20,500 34 48.4 21,000-30,000 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (N) 1 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Trading	18	25.7	
Bank Loan 1 1.4 Cooperative 14 20.0 Friends/Relative 2 2.9 Daily Contribution 18 25.7 Income (♣) 1,000-10,000 1 1.5 11,000-20,500 34 48.4 21,000-30,000 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (♣) 1,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Source of Credit			
Cooperative 14 20.0 Friends/Relative 2 2.9 Daily Contribution 18 25.7 Income (♣) 1 1.5 11,000-10,000 1 1.5 11,000-20,500 34 48.4 21,000-30,000 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (♣) 1 15.8 11,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Personal Savings	35	50.0	
Friends/Relative 2 2.9 Daily Contribution 18 25.7 Income (N) 1,000-10,000 1 1.5 11,000-20,500 34 48.4 21,000-30,000 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (N) 1,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Bank Loan	1	1.4	
Daily Contribution 18 25.7 Income (♣) 1,000-10,000 1 1.5 11,000-20,500 34 48.4 21,000-30,000 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (♣) 1 15.8 11,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 23 32.9	Cooperative	14	20.0	
Income (♣) 1,000-10,000	Friends/Relative	2	2.9	
1,000-10,000 1 1.5 11,000-20,500 34 48.4 21,000-30,000 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (N) 1,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Daily Contribution	18	25.7	
11,000-20,500 34 48.4 21,000-30,000 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (N) 1,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Income (N)			
21,000-30,000 34 48.6 31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (N) 1,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	1,000-10,000	1	1.5	
31,000 and above 1 1.5 20,824.29 Initial Capital (₦) 1,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	11,000-20,500	34	48.4	
Initial Capital (N) 1,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	21,000-30,000	34	48.6	
1,000-10,000 11 15.8 11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	31,000 and above	1	1.5	20,824.29
11,000-20,000 50 71.3 21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Initial Capital (N)		(X)	
21,000 and above 9 12.9 17,050.00 Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	1,000-10,000	11	15.8	
Preservation Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	11,000-20,000	50	71.3	
Sack and Basket 61 87.1 Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	21,000 and above	9	12.9	17,050.00
Metal Basket 9 12.9 How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Preservation	A		
How long can they survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Sack and Basket	61	87.1	
survive (days) 7 23 32.9	Metal Basket	9	12.9	
7 23 32.9	How long can they			
	survive (days)			
14 40 57.1	7	23	32.9	
	14	40	57.1	

30	7	10.0	
Years in business			
0-4	12	17.1	
5-9	33	47.1	
10-14	15	21.6	
15-19	6	8.5	
20-24	3	4.2	
25 and above	1	1.5	8.77
			. 4

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

From table 1, it can be revealed that 94.3% of the respondents were female while 5.7% were male. This indicates that snail marketing is essentially an activity carried out by women folks. This result shows that women were predominant in snail farming, indicating the importance of women in the marketing of snails. This agrees with the findings of Ebowore and Achoja (2013) who reported that majority of snail marketers were women in Delta State. Akinyemi et al (2003) also reported that more than half of snail marketers in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria were women. 32.8% were above 61 years and 31.5% were between the ages of 51-60 years with mean age of 54.9 years. This implies that people who engage in snail marketing were within the adult population. This finding disagrees with the report of FAO, (1994) that economically productive age is between 39 and 45 years. It was also found that 55.7% were Christians, 38.6% were Muslims while traditional religion worshipers were only 5.7%. 60% of the marketers were married; this shows that married people were more involved in snail marketing in the study area probably to increase household income. This is probably to increase the household income. This result corroborates the findings of Ebewore and Achoja (2013) that there were more married people involved in snail marketing in Delta State. The result also showed that 22.9% had no formal education, 64.3% had primary education while 12.9% had secondary education. This means that education may add

value to the operation of the business. This agrees with Yusuf (2002) who reported that majority of the marketers of snails in Ibadan were educated.

Majority of the respondents (57.1%) had household size between 5-9 with mean household size of 5.39 in the study. This may be used as family labour. 68.6% relied solely on snail marketing and this implies that they can provide for their family and live well through the business. Mafimisebi *et al* (2014) observed regarding the household size of cattle marketers in Oyo and Ondo axis and noted that 46.5% of the respondents had 5 of household size. This suggests that family members will be more committed to snail marketing as an economic venture from which income can be generated to sustain the family.

It is obvious that most of the respondents 50.0% use their personal savings as their source of capital. The table also shows that 48.6% of the respondent had income between \$\frac{1}{21,000} - \frac{1}{200,000}\$ from the snail marketing business, implying that snail marketing is a profitable business which people can engage in. Majority (71.3%) started the business with an initial capital of between \$\frac{1}{2}1,000 - \frac{1}{2}20,000\$ this shows that one can start the business with little capital and earn profit. Years of experience in the business varied with 47.1% of the respondents had 5-9 years marketing experience; this implies that majority of the snail marketers had above 5 years marketing experience. The number of years in the business of snail marketing could enable them to know the best ways to make profit, the little secrets of the business. The presence or lack of experience showed low production and income of the farmers (Mafimisebi et al., 2012).

Table 2: Snails Marketing Channels in Ibadan North East LGA., Oyo State

Variables (N=70)	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Kind of Marketer		
Wholesaler	60	85.7
Retailers	8	11.4

Assemblers	2	2.9
Source of Snail		
Producer	60	85.7
Hunters/Gatherers	5	7.1
Wholesalers	5	7.2
Sale target		
Retailer	10	14.3
Consumer	60	85.7
Measurement of		
Purchase		
Pieces	46	65.7
Congo	16	22.9
Basket	8	11.4
Measurement of Sale		
Pieces	36	48.6
Congo	34	51.4
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		

Majority (85.7%) of the marketers were wholesalers, 11.4% were retailers while only 2.9% of the respondents were hunters/gatherers (Table 2). 85.7% were snail producer and sold directly to consumers. This could be due to the richness in protein and other medicinal values in snail consumption. It is important to note that snail is not a commonly consumed meat but rather on need for meeting some nutritional or medical requirements and also, because of affordability mainly by the rich in the study.

Table 3: Cost and Return on Snail Marketing

Variable	Amount (N)	
Total revenue (TR)	₩1,457,700.00k	
Average Total Revenue	₩2,462.57k	
Fixed cost (FC)	₩1,193,500.00k	
Other expenses	₩23,570.00k	
Transportation cost	N 68,250.00k	
Total Cost	N 1,285,320.00k	

157 =
$$\mathbb{N}1$$
, 285,320.00

158 TR =
$$\mathbb{N}$$
1, 457,700.00

$$159 ext{ GM} = TR - TC$$

160 =
$$\mathbb{N}$$
1, 457,700 - \mathbb{N} 1, 285,320

161
$$GM = 172, 380.00$$

164 BCR =
$$\underbrace{\$1,457,700.00}_{}$$
k

166 Marketing Efficiency (ME)

167
$$ME = TC \times 100$$

$$\overline{TR} \quad \overline{1}$$

169 Therefore ME = \$1, 285,320.00k x 100

ME = 88.1745%

From the result of cost and return analysis, it was revealed that total revenue was \$\frac{1}{4},457,700.00\$k and total cost was \$\frac{1}{4},285,320.00\$k. The gross margin was \$\frac{1}{4}172,380.00\$k and also the benefit cost ratio was 1.13. This result reveals that for every \$\frac{1}{4}1.00\$k invested in snail marketing, the marketer will make a return of \$\frac{1}{4}1.13\$k. The marketing efficiency result shows that the snail marketing in the study area attains an optimal level of efficiency of 88%. The result shows that the snail marketing is efficient and this agrees with Oladejo (2014) who reported M-E of 1.17 for goat in Oyo State as profitable and so suggesting that snail marketing is profitable in the study area. Mafimisebi *et al.*, (2013) also reported a similar result as being profitable.

Table 4: Constraints to Snail Marketing in the Study Area

Variables	Frequency	Perce	ntage (%)
Poor Market patronage	61	87.1	1 st
Seasonality	58	82.9	2 nd
Numerous Sellers	57	81.4	3 rd
Finance	55	78.6	4 th
Price	42	60.0	5 th
Perishability	33	47.1	6 th

Snail marketing in the study area was hindered by several factors including lack of market, numerous sellers, weather, finance, price, perishability (Table 4). The finding shows that poor market patronage was mostly identified probably because people eat snail on recommendation to meet some nutritional or medical needs, for cultural or traditional uses since it is a bit costlier than other meat sources. So, snail is ordinarily consumed by relatively rich people in the study. This however supports the work of

Ugwumba *et al.*, 2016) who reported seasonal nature, high and unstable price of product, poor sales and lack of capital as major constraints to the marketing of African giant snail. Snail marketers should therefore design some business strategies such as lunching out (outside their immediate market area) to sell their produce in order to attract higher and better value.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Snail marketing according to this study is a profitable agribusiness in the area assessed since the benefit/cost ratio is greater than 'one' as confirmed by Ogunniyi, L. T (2009) and, Aiyeloja and Ogunjinmi (2010) who see snail marketing as a profitable agribusiness but however below poverty line.. The level of profitability can however be increased if there are other sources of collecting snails rather than from the wild. The marketers of snails can also rear snail at household level, practice/establish snail farms on small, medium or large scales in order to increase the level of production. Soft loans and credit facilities through cooperatives, micro-finances and Government sources will help in boosting the market.

Profitability of the business in this study is an eye opener for individuals, Government and NGOs to consider snail farming and marketing for youth empowerment. Empowerment of youths in snail farming and marketing with provision of credit facilities to maintain the farms by individuals, Government and NGOs in order to generate revenue and reduce unemployment of youths in the society, is recommended. Future researches should be conducted into the advancement of snail marketing in order to command higher value.

References

1. Adesope, O.M. (2000). "Attitude of Household in Niger Delta Zone toward Snail Meat Consumption". In Ukachukwu S.N *et al.*, (eds). Animal production in the new millennium: Challenges and options. Zaria: NSAP Secretariat.

- 2. Akinnusi, O. (2002).Introduction to Snail and Snail Farming Triolas publishing company,
- 211 Abeokuta3rd edition, pp70,
- 212 3. American Marketing Association (2013). Retrieved from
- 213 www.ama.org/aboutama/pages/definition-of-marketing.aspx 2015-12-05 Definition of marketing
- 214 pp 24
- 4. Ayodele I.A and Asimolowo A.S (1999). Essential of Snail farming. Agape print U.I. pp 51.
- 5. Ebenso, I.E (2003). Nutritive potentials of white snail (Archachatina marginata) in Nigeria.
- 217 Discovery innovation, 15, 156-158.
- 6. Robinson, Elizabeth, J.Z (2005). The impact of NTFP sales on rural household's cash income in
- India's dry deciduous forest". *Environmental Management* 35(3) 258-265.
- 7. Kotler, Philip, Gray Armstrong, Veronica Wong, John Saundew (2010). Principle of marketing
- 5th edition Retrieved from www.amazon.co.uk/principle 2009-10-23
- 8. Murphy B. (2001). Breeding and Growing of Snails Commercially in Australia. A report for the
- a. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation (RIRDC) Publication No.
- 224 00/188 pp51
- 9. National Population Commission (2006) Census Provisional result Nigeria.
- 10. Oyewo.I.O, Falodun .E.O; B. H. Ugege; A. M. Aderemi and Rotowa T. O. (2013) Economic
- Analysis of Egg Marketing in Ibadan South West and Ido Local Government Area of Oyo State.
- 228 International journal of agricultural innovations 3(2) pp 122-129
- 11. Ugwumba C.O.A, Obiekwe J.N and Ozorm.U (2016).Marketing of Africa Giant Snail
- 230 (AchatinaAchatina) in Anambra State, Nigeria. Journal of Dental and Medicinal Sciences
- 231 (IOSR-JDMS) 15(6) pp 57-66.
- 12. Mafimisebi, T. E, Agunbiade, B. O and Mafimisebi, O. e (2014) Price Variability Co Integration
- and Exogen... in the Market for Locally Produced Rice: A Case Study of South West of Nigeria.
- 234 Rice Res, 2-14, 2: I 118.

- 235 13. Oladejo, J. A. (2014) Structure and Performance of Goat Market in Oyo State Nigeria.
- 236 International Journal of Sales and Marketing Management Research and Development
- 237 (IJSMMRP) 4 (6) Dec 2014 I 10
- 238 14. Olukosi, J. O and Isitor S. U (1990) An Introduction to Agricultural Marketing and Prices
- 239 Principles and Application Living Book Series G.M Publications Abuja 15 20.
- 240 15. Mafimisebi, T. E and Thompson, O. A (2012) Empirical Evidence of Fisheries Subsectors
- 241 Contribution to the Nigeria Economy. *International Journal of Agricultural Science 2P 31-35*.
- 16. Ebewore, S. O and Achoja, F. O. (2013) Economics of Snail Marketing Implication for
- Extension Services Delivery in Delta State Nigeria, Experimental Agriculture and Horticulture.
- 244 2 (1) 1-13.
- 17. Ekunwe, P. A, Emakaro, C. O. O, Ihenyen, J. O, Oyedeji and Alufohai, G. O (2008) an Analysis
- of Egg Marketing in Benin City Edo State Nigeria Farm Management Association of Nigeria
- FAMAN 22nd Annual National Conference Proceeding held in Markurdi between 8th and 11th
- 248 September 2008 Pp 331-337
- 18. Mafimisebi, T. E, Bobola, O. M and Mafimisebi, O. E (2013) Fundamentals of Cattle Marketing
- 250 in South West Nigeria: Analyzing Market Intermediaries Price Formation and Yield
- Performance, 2013 Fourth International conference, September 22 -25. Hammamet Tunisia
- 252 161462, AAAE
- 253 19. Food and Agriculture Organization FAO (1994) World Development Report Rome
- 20. Yusuff, O. A (2002) Economic Analysis of Snail Production and Marketing in Ibadan Metropolis
- Unpublished B.Tech Project, Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension Ladoke
- Akintola University of Technology Ogbomoso, Oyo State Nigeria
- 21. Akinyemi, O. Adebayo, O, Usman, J. M, Kehinde, A. S and Ojo, M. O 2003 An Analysis of
- Snail Marketing in Ibadan Oyo State, Nigeria Proceeding of 28th Annual Conference of the
- Nigeria Society of Annual Production 28: 413-415

260	22. Aiyeloja, A. A and Ogunjinmi, A. A (2010) Poverty Alleviation Potential of Snail Farming in
261	Ondo State, Southwest Nigeria. International Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences V I
262	44-48
263	23. Ogunniyi, L. T (2009). Economic Analysis of Snail Production in Ibadan, Oyo State.
264	International Journal of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development 2 (1) 25-34
265	
266	