

**ANTIDIARRHOEAGENIC POTENTIALS OF SYNERGISTIC
ACTIVITIES OF WATER EXTRACTS OF *ALOE VERA* AND *HYPTIS
SUAVEOLENS* AGAINST *GIARDIA LAMBLIA* AND *SALMONELLA*
SPECIES INFECTIONS AMONG CHILDREN 0-5 YEARS IN BAUCHI
STATE, NIGERIA.**

**Omammegbe Abdulrahman¹, Adamu B. Samaila², ³Panda S. M., ⁴Abdullahi Aliyu and M.
R. Sahal⁵**

¹Department of Medical Microbiology, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria,

^{2,3,4,5}Department of Biological Science, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria,

Email: omammegbeabdulrahman@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: The epidemiological significance of *Salmonella* species and *Giardia lamblia* co-infections of childhood diarrhoea differs substantially between regions depending on socio-economic conditions in the prevalence of different categories of diarrhoeagenic disease associated with diarrhoea among under 5 populations, medicinal plants extracts has increasingly been advocated in productions of antimicrobial agents as resistance with synthetic drugs increases.

Methodology: The phytochemicals constituents obtained from *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* were investigated to evaluate their medicinal potentials, the screening revealed the presence of saponins, tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, alkaloids, phenols. The study was conducted between June, 2018 and February, 2019 in an attempt to ascertain the efficacies of *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* individually and in combined against diarrhoea infections caused by *Giardia lamblia* and *Salmonella* species in under five children in Bauchi State, Nigeria. Anti-giardial activity was carried out in an *in-vitro* susceptibility assays method on *Giardia lamblia* and antibacterial activity was carried out by Kirby-Bauer method on *Salmonella* species. Parasites mortality was determined by counting in hemocytometer under a light microscope and zone of inhibitions produced against the bacteria were determined and subjected to descriptive statistics and inferential statistical analysis to determine their significance at 5% level using SPSS version 23. Means were separated using DMRT ($P \leq 0.05$).

Results: The results obtained showed that water extracts of *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* plants singly and in combinations had inhibitory effects on *Giardia lamblia* and *Salmonella* species. Shows that *Aloe vera* extracts on *Salmonella* species exhibited good zone of inhibitions 0.302 ± 18.00 , *Hyptis suaveolens* extracts 0.315 ± 19.67 and the combined extracts 0.413 ± 30.00 . On *Giardia lamblia*, shows better activities with *Aloe vera* extracts 0.002 ± 0.505 , *Hyptis suaveolens* extracts 0.002 ± 0.478 and the combined extracts 0.002 ± 0.643 . In all the cases, plants, concentrations and time were determinants factors for the anti-giardial and antibacterial activity.

Conclusion: Hence, *Hyptis suaveolens* extracts have better activities than extracts of *Aloe vera* while the combined extracts shows more better activities of antibacterial, *Aloe vera* have better activities than *Hyptis suaveolens* extracts while the combined extracts shows more better activities of anti-giardial. Therefore, these plants possess antimicrobial potentials.

Keywords: *Aloe vera*, *Hyptis suaveolens*, *Giardia lamblia*, *Salmonella* species, Children and Diarrhoea.

INTRODUCTION

There is scarcity of information on the etiological agents causing diarrhoea disease in most African countries including Nigeria [11], [3]. Diarrhoea, nevertheless, remains a major cause of mortality and morbidity among children under five years of age especially in developing countries [2], [11], [3]. Acute diarrhoea disease has a significant impact on public health globally with pathogenic agents such as bacteria (*Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Vibrio cholerae* and *Campylobacter*), parasites (*Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia lamblia* and *Entamoeba histolytica*) and viruses (Rotavirus, adenovirus, norovirus and astrovirus) recognized as the leading etiological agents [13], [8], [1], [20]. Giardiasis is a protozoan infections caused by *Giardia lamblia*; a flagellate protozoan, an infections principally of the upper small intestine and remains largely asymptomatic bringing on acute self-limited diarrhoea [5], [7]. Its occurrence is worldwide. Children are frequently more infected than adults. Prevalence is higher in area of poor sanitations, in institutions with overcrowded human conditions and in areas of children not toilet trained [10]. *Salmonella* is a genus of enteric pathogens that consists of two species, *Salmonella enterica* and *Salmonella bongori* [15], [9]. Broad host range *Salmonella typhimurium* causes gastroenteritis in humans and other mammals [19]. Plants remains one of the potential sources of effective agents against microbes including the deadly infections like HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis (*Mycobacterium tuberculosis*), syphilis (*Treponema pallidum*), gonorrhoea (*Neisseria gonorrhoea*), skin and wound infections (*Staphylococcus aureus*), diarrhoea (*Escherichia coli*), typhoid fever (*Salmonella typhus*) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* which directly infects the urinary tract, pulmonary tract, wounds, burns and also causes other blood infections [14]. The genus *Aloe* belongs to *Aloeaceae* (Liliaceae) family which has about 360 to 400 different species [16]. *Aloe vera* leaf components have been credited for its antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral and anti-helminthic properties [16]. *Hyptis suaveolens* commonly known as bush tea belongs to Lamiaceae family which is a potent medicinal herb [4]. Pharmacological property of *Hyptis suaveolens* includes antioxidant, antimicrobial, anticancer and anti-inflammatory [14]. This study is aim at evaluating the antimicrobial potentials of *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* singly and in combinations against infections caused by *Salmonella* species and *Giardia lamblia* in under five children.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Aloe vera and *Hyptis suaveolens* were randomly collected in Jos, Plateau State, authenticated by the plant curator of Federal College of Forestry, Jos, Nigeria. The design of the study is community and hospital based which allows for the collections, laboratory isolations, identifications and culturing of *Giardia lamblia* and *Salmonella* species occurring in both symptomatic and asymptomatic infections among children 0-5 years and the antimicrobial potentials of crude extracts of *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* against them in Bauchi Metropolis. The air dried leaves of *Hyptis suaveolens* was grounded into powdered, soaked for 72 hours, placed in Gallenkamp shaker rotated at about 65 revolutions per minute, the contents were then homogenized and filtered using Whatman filter paper no.1. The filtrate was poured into a round bottom flask and concentrated using a Buchi Rotavapor R-200 to yield *Hyptis suaveolens* in required concentrations. The powdered *Aloe vera* was soaked and left to stand for 3days as reported by [17]. Stool samples were collected, placed in a clean disposable plastic tubes with tight fittings, microscopically examined for *Giardia lamblia* cysts/trophozoites presence. Positively detected 50 mg of stool were inoculated immediately into an axenic medium for culturing of *Giardia lamblia* trophozoites. Also, *Salmonella* species, stool samples collected were inoculated within two hours of collections onto selective and differential media:

92 MacConkey agar, *Salmonella-Shigella* agar and xylose lysine deoxycholate agar using a
 93 calibrated inoculated loop in spread plate method. The media were then incubated aerobically at
 94 35°C for 18 to 24 hours as described by [21] and [12]. Biochemical test was carried out
 95 according to the methods described by [6] and [18]. The combined extracts were determined by
 96 using same solvent extractions making a combination in the ratio of 1:1 in each case. Parasites
 97 mortality was determined by counting in hemocytometer under a light microscope and zone of
 98 inhibitions produced against the bacteria were determined in triplicates, subjected to descriptive
 99 statistics and inferential statistical analysis to determine their significance at 5% level using
 100 SPSS version 23, means were separated using DMRT ($P \leq 0.05$).

101 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

102 The results in Table 1, shows the phytochemical constituents of water extracts of *Aloe vera* and
 103 *Hyptis suaveolens*, the following were found to be present and absent: saponins, tannins,
 104 alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, alkaloids, phenols.

105 **Table 1: Phytochemical Constituents of *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens***

Name of Test	<i>Aloe vera</i> Extractions	<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i>
Extractions	Water (Extraction medium)	Water (Extraction medium)
Saponins	+	+
Tannins	+	+
Flavonoids	-	-
Terpenoids	-	-
Steroids	-	-
Cardiac glycosides	-	-
Anthraquinones	-	-
Alkaloid	-	-
Phenolics	+	+

106 **Key:** (+) present, (-) absent

107 The results as presented in Table 2, shows the mean efficacy of treatments and time of *Aloe vera*
 108 and *Hyptis suaveolens* on cultured *Giardia lamblia* trophozoite produced after 48 hours which
 109 was significantly ($P=0.05$) different after 48 hours revealed the highest mean value treatments
 110 and time, efficacy was found with 80mg/ml of *Aloe vera* extracts (0.002 ± 0.505) and 48hours of
 111 time (0.002 ± 0.507) when compared with positive control (0.002 ± 0.641). *Hyptis suaveolens*,
 112 activity was found with highest concentrations of 80mg/ml with activity (0.002 ± 0.478) and
 113 48hours recorded for time with activity (0.002 ± 0.563) when compared with positive control
 114 (0.002 ± 0.563). The result in each case equally showed that the significant value of treatments
 115 (0.000) on *Giardia lamblia* was less than the alpha value of 0.05 at 5% probability level of
 116 significant. This therefore reveals that the effect of treatments was significantly different, while
 117 the significant value of time (0.000) and the treatment/time combination (0.000) was also less
 118 than the 0.05 alpha values at 5% probability level.

119 **Table 2: Standard Error and Mean Efficacy of Treatments (*Aloe vera*) and (*Hyptis*
 120 *suaveolens*) and Time on Cultured *Giardia lamblia* Trophozoite**

S.E ± Mean Effects after 48 hours			
EXTRACTIONS		<i>Aloe vera</i>	<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i>
		Water	Water
Treatment	80mg	0.002 ± 0.505^b	0.002 ± 0.478^b
	70mg	0.002 ± 0.430^c	0.002 ± 0.445^c
	60mg	0.002 ± 0.357^d	0.002 ± 0.386^d

121

	50mg	0.002±0.260 ^e	0.002±0.263 ^e
	40mg	0.002±0.041 ^f	0.002±0.058 ^f
	-ve Ctrl	0.002±0.004 ^g	0.002±0.009 ^g
	+ve Ctrl	0.002±0.641 ^a	0.002±0.638 ^a
Time (Hours)	48	0.002±0.507 ^a	0.002±0.563 ^a
	40	0.002±0.456 ^b	0.002±0.452 ^b
	32	0.002±0.378 ^c	0.002±0.360 ^c
	24	0.002±0.314 ^d	0.002±0.300 ^d
	16	0.002±0.169 ^e	0.002±0.177 ^e
	8	0.002±0.093 ^f	0.002±0.101 ^f

Each value is a mean of \pm standard error of three replicates. Means followed by same superscripts in the column are significantly different from each other.

The results as presented in Table 3, shows the mean efficacy of treatments and time of the combined extracts of *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* on cultured *Giardia lamblia* trophozoites produced after 48 hours which was significantly (P=0.05) different after 48 hours revealed the highest mean value treatments and time, efficacy was found with 80mg/ml of the combined extracts with treatment activity (0.002±0.643) and 48hours of time with activity (0.002±0.719) when compared with the positive control (0.002±0.621). The result equally showed in that the significant value of treatments (0.000) on *Giardia lamblia* was less than the alpha value of 0.05 at 5% probability level of significant. This therefore reveals that the effect of treatments was significantly different, while the significant value of time (0.000) and the treatment/time combination (0.000) was also less than the 0.05 alpha values at 5% probability level.

Table 3: Standard Error and Mean Efficacy of Treatments (Combined *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* Extracts) and Time on Cultured *Giardia lamblia* Trophozoites

S.E \pm Mean Effects after 48 hours

EXTRACTIONS		Water
Treatment	80mg	0.002±0.643 ^a
	70mg	0.002±0.582 ^c
	60mg	0.002±0.497 ^d
	50mg	0.002±0.444 ^e
	40mg	0.002±0.309 ^f
	-ve Ctrl	0.002±0.011 ^g
	+ve Ctrl	0.002±0.621 ^b
Time (Hours)	48	0.002±0.719 ^a
	40	0.002±0.607 ^b
	32	0.002±0.495 ^c
	24	0.002±0.420 ^d
	16	0.002±0.272 ^e
	8	0.002±0.150 ^f

Each value is a mean of \pm standard error of three replicates. Means followed by same superscripts in the column are significantly different from each other.

140 The results as tabulated in Table 4, shows the mean efficacy of inhibition zones of treatments of
 141 *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* on cultured *Salmonella* species, was significantly (P=0.05)
 142 different which shows zones of inhibitions of water extractions of *Aloe vera* (0.302±18.00mm)
 143 when compared to control (0.302±29.50mm) and *Hyptis suaveolens* (0.315±19.67mm) when
 144 compared with positive control (0.315±29.50mm). The results equally reveals that the significant
 145 value treatment (0.000) on *Salmonella* species was less than the alpha value of 0.05 at 5%
 146 probability level of significant. This therefore reveals that the effect of treatments was
 147 significantly different in each case.

148 **Table 4: Standard Error and Mean Efficacy of Inhibition Zone Diameters of Treatments**
 149 **of *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* on Cultured *Salmonella* species**

150 **S.E ± Mean Effects after 48 hours, measured in millimeters**

EXTRACTIONS		<i>Aloe vera</i> Water	<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i> Water
Treatment	80mg	0.302±18.00 ^b	0.315±19.67 ^c
	70mg	0.302±15.00 ^c	0.315±17.33 ^c
	60mg	0.302±12.67 ^d	0.315±14.83 ^d
	50mg	0.302±9.167 ^e	0.315±12.00 ^e
	40mg	0.302±7.333 ^f	0.315±9.167 ^f
	-ve Ctrl	0.302±0.667 ^g	0.315±0.000 ^g
	+ve Ctrl	0.302±29.50 ^a	0.315±29.50 ^a

151 Each value is a mean of ± standard error of three replicates. Means followed by same
 152 superscripts in the column are significantly different from each other.

153
 154 Table 5 presented the minimum inhibitory concentrations and minimum bactericidal
 155 concentrations of *Hyptis suaveolens* and *Aloe vera* extracts, shows that, the extracts of *Hyptis*
 156 *suaveolens* has a better inhibitory effects (12.0µg/ml) on *Salmonella* species when compared
 157 with the positive control (8.0µg/ml)

158 **Table 5: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration in Micrograms/Milliliter of *Hyptis suaveolens***
 159 **Extracts Against *Salmonella* Species**

Extractions	<i>Aloe vera</i>	<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i>
MIC	13.0	12.0
Positive Control	8.0	8.0
MBC	14.0	13.0

160
 161 The results tabulated in Table 6, shows the mean efficacy of inhibition zones of treatments of the
 162 combined *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* on cultured *Salmonella* species, was significantly
 163 (P=0.05) different which shows zones of inhibitions of water extractions of the combined *Aloe*
 164 *vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* (0.413±30.00mm) when compared to control (0.413±30.00mm). The
 165 results equally reveals that the significant value treatment (0.000) on *Salmonella* species was less
 166 than the alpha value of 0.05 at 5% probability level of significant.

167 **Table 6: Standard Error and Mean Efficacy of Inhibition Zone Diameters of Treatments**
 168 **of the Combined *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* on Cultured *Salmonella* Species**

EXTRACTIONS	Water
Treatment	
80mg	0.413 \pm 30.00 ^a
70mg	0.413 \pm 28.00 ^b
60mg	0.413 \pm 24.67 ^c
50mg	0.413 \pm 22.50 ^d
40mg	0.413 \pm 18.67 ^e
-ve Ctrl	0.413 \pm 0.667 ^f
+ve Ctrl	0.413 \pm 30.00 ^a

170 Each value is a mean of \pm standard error of three replicates. Means followed by same
 171 superscripts in the column are significantly different from each other.

172
 173 The results as tabulated in Table 7, shows the minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum
 174 bactericidal concentration in micrograms/milliliter of the combined *Aloe vera* and *Hyptis*
 175 *suaveolens* extracts against *Salmonella* species, it shows that water extracts of the combined *Aloe*
 176 *vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* was more active (8.0 μ g/ml) against *Salmonella* species when
 177 compared with the control (8.0 μ g/ml).

178 **Table 7: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration in Micrograms/Milliliter of the Combined**
 179 ***Aloe vera* and *Hyptis suaveolens* Extracts Against *Salmonella* Species**

Extractions	Combined <i>Aloe vera</i> and <i>Hyptis suaveolens</i>
MIC	8.0
Positive Control	8.0
MBC	8.2

180

181

CONCLUSION

182 Based on the findings of this research work, water extracts of *Hyptis suaveolens*, *Aloe vera* and
 183 the combined, have better activities on *Giardia lamblia* and *Salmonella* species. Hence, *Hyptis*
 184 *suaveolens* extracts have better activities than extracts of *Aloe vera* while the combined extracts
 185 shows more better activities of antibacterial, *Aloe vera* have better activities than *Hyptis*
 186 *suaveolens* extracts while the combined extracts shows more better activities of anti giardial.
 187 There was the presence of phytochemicals in these plant extracts. Therefore, these plants possess
 188 antimicrobial potentials, it is thus concluded that these plants are promising and are very
 189 important antidiarrhoeagenic agents.

190

191

REFERENCES

- 192 1. Albert, M.J., Faruque, A.S., Faruque, S.M., Sack, R.B. and Mahalanabis, D. (1999).
 193 Case-control study of enteropathogens associated with childhood diarrhea in Dhaka,
 194 Bangladesh. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **37**: 3458-3464.
 195 2. Bern, C., Martines, J., and De Zoysa, I. (1992). The magnitude of the global problem of
 196 diarrhoea diseases: a ten-year update. *Bulletin WHO*, **70**: 705-714.

- 197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
3. Bryce, J., Boschi-Pinto, C., Shibuya, K., Black, R. and WHO Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group (2005). WHO estimates of the causes of death in children. *Lancet*, **365**: 1147– 1152.
 4. Chitra S, Patil MB, Kumar R (2009) Wound healing activity of *Hyptis suaveolens* (L.) Poit. (Lamiaceae). *Int J Pharm Technol Res* **1**:737-744.
 5. Craun, G. F. (1986). Waterborne giardiasis in the United States 1965–84. *Lancet*, ii: 513-514.
 6. Douglas W, Waltman R, Gast K and Mallinson ET (1998). Salmonellosis. A Laboratory Manual for the Isolation and Identification of Avian Pathogens. *American Association of Avian Pathologists*, Kenett Square. 4th edn: 4-14.
 7. Farthing, M. J. G. (1992). New Perspectives in Giardiasis. *J. Med. Microbiol.*, **37**: 1- 2.
 8. Germani, Y., M. Morillon, E. Begaud, H. Dubourdiou, R. Costa, and J. Thevenon. 1994. Two year study of endemic enteric pathogens associated with acute diarrhea in New Caledonia. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **32**:1532-1536.
 9. Giammanco G. M., Pignato S., Mammina C., Grimont F., Grimont P. A. D Nastasi A et al., (2002). Persistent endemicity of *Salmonella bongori* in southern Italy: molecular characterization of human, animal, and environmental isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **40**, 3502-3505.
 10. Helmi, K., Skraber , S., Burnet, J-B., Leblanc, L., Hoffmann, L., Cauchie, H-M. (2011). Two year monitoring of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Giardia lamblia* occurrence in a recreational and drinking water reservoir using standard microscopic and molecular biology techniques. *Environ Monit Assess*, **179**:163–175.
 11. Kosek M, Bern C, Guerrant RL (2003). The magnitude of the global burden of diarrhea disease from studies published 1992-2000. *Bull World Health Organ* **81**: 197-204.
 12. Merchant IA and Packer RA (1967). *Veterinary Bacteriology and Virology*. 7th Ed. The Iowa University Press, USA: 286-306.
 13. Na'awas, T. E. and M. N. Abo-Shehada. 1991. A study of the bacterial and parasitic causes of acute diarrhoea in Northern Jordan. *Journal of Diarrheal Diseases Research* **9**:305-309.
 14. Nascimento, G.G., Locatelli, J., Freitas, P.C., and Silva, G.L. (2000). Antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytochemicals on antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, **31**(4), 247-256.
 15. Nastasi A., Mammina C., Villafrate M. R., Massenti M. F., Scarlata G., Diquattro M. (1988). Multiple typing of strains of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *Bongori* ser. 48:z35: isolated in southern Italy. *Ann. Inst. Pasteur/Microbiol.* **139**, 605-612.
 16. Newall, C.A., Anderson, L.A., and Phillipson, J.D. (1996). *Herbal medicines*. The Pharmaceutical Press London, p. 25.
 17. Ogundare, A.O. (2007). Antimicrobial Effect of *Tithonia diversifolia* and *Jatropha gossypifolia* Leaf Extract. *Trend in Applied Sciences Research*, **2**:145-150.
 18. OIE (2000). *Manual of Standards for Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines*. Office International Des Epizooties.
 19. Uzzau S., Brown D. J., Wallis T., Rubino S., Leori G., Bernard S. (2000). Host adapted serotypes of *Salmonella enterica*. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **125**, 229–255.
 20. Wilhelmi I, Roman E, Sanchez-Fauquier A. Viruses causing gastroenteritis. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2003; **9** (4):247-62.

242 21. Winn W., Allen S., Janda W., Koneman E., Procop G., Schreckenberger P (2005)
243 Koneman's Color Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology, 6th edition. New
244 York: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

UNDER PEER REVIEW