

**EFFECT OF DIETARY SUPPLEMENTATION OF TERMITES (*MACROTERMES BELLICOSUS*) ON THE PERFORMANCE OF BROILER CHICKENS**

**ABSTRACT**

Eight weeks feeding trials were conducted with 120 broiler chickens at poultry production unit of the Department of Animal Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto. The aim is to determine performance characteristics of broilers fed termite supplemented diet (*Macrotermes bellicosus*) at starter and finisher stage. A commercial diet was compared with two other diets; fishmeal diet and termite supplemented diet. Each of the three treatments was replicated four times, with ten chicks per replicate in a Complete Randomized Design (CRD). Broilers were fed regularly throughout the period of the experiment. Results of Carcass evaluation showed significant ( $P<0.05$ ) difference in weight of broiler parts like; breast, drum stick and liver, but there was no significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference in back, thigh, wings and gizzard in all treatment diets. Other parts; feet, head, and spleen showed significant ( $P<0.05$ ) difference. But neck, heart, lungs, intestine and crop shows no significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference in their weights. Proximate analysis showed no significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference in nutrient composition in all the treatment diets, only ash showed significant ( $P<0.05$ ) difference in fishmeal. Mineral composition showed significant ( $P<0.05$ ) difference in almost all treatment diets except in phosphorus, copper and zinc. It was concluded that termite supplemented diet can successfully and economically replace fishmeal as protein source in the diets of broilers without deleterious effect on their health and carcass quality.

Key words: *Macrotermes bellicosus*, Broilers, Carcass, Nutrients and mineral composition.

**INTRODUCTION**

Termites are social land dwelling insects. They are cosmopolitan and polymorphic mainly found in tropical and sub-tropical areas, comprising some 21,000 to 30,000 species [1]; [2]. They are further divided into seven Families namely; Mastotermitidae, Termopsidae, Kalotermitidae, Hodotermitidae, Rhinotermitidae, Semitermitidae and Termitidae [2]. The first six families are referred to as lower termites and the remaining family of the termites is referred to as higher termites [3].

[4], stated that, insects are more promising alternative protein source to rearing animals. To a larger extent hundreds of insect species, have been used as animal protein supplements with some more important groups including, termites, grasshoppers, caterpillars, beetle grubs and

34 adults, bees etc [5]; [6]. Termites are a delicacy in the diet of some human cultures. In many  
35 cultures, termites are used as food particularly the alates type (reproductive forms) [7]; [8]. The  
36 use of insects as human food and animal feed is widely spread in tropical and sub-tropical  
37 countries and is the cheapest source of animal protein [9].

38 Poultry farming has expanded rapidly in developing countries in last two decades. Termites are  
39 used as complementary feed sources for poultry and they are used as feed for chicken and  
40 guinea fowl in Togo and Burkina Faso [10]. In some Countries of West Africa they already  
41 have a primitive way of rearing termites on crop residues (on inverted clay pots or baskets) for  
42 food and poultry feed supplement, many developing countries termites are used as feed, locally  
43 to supply day old chicken or guinea fowls and in Togo termites are bred for this purpose [10].  
44 *Macrotermes bellicosus*, this species simply called termites in most Nigerian communities are  
45 the commonest and has high composition of mineral elements; vitamins [11]. Nutritive  
46 potentials and utilization of termites as poultry feed ingredients have been documented in  
47 Botswana and recommend termites as source of protein in poultry diets in poultry production  
48 [12]. The protein content of termites has been reviewed to vary from 20.00 to 46.3 per cent  
49 [13], while [9], reported termites to have protein percentage of about 81.66 per cent and 87.33  
50 for workers and sexual forms respectively, and they could help in maintaining acidity and water  
51 balance in the body of poultry.

52 This work incorporated termites (*Macrotermes bellicosus*) in poultry feed as protein  
53 supplement, collected in four agricultural zones of Kebbi State, North-Western Nigeria.

## 54 MATERIALS AND METHODS

55 **Experimental site:** The study on feeding trial of broilers was conducted at poultry production  
56 Unit of Department of Animal science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, which is located  
57 at state Veterinary Centre along Aliyu Jodi road, and Sokoto lies between latitudes 12<sup>0</sup> and 13<sup>0</sup>  
58 05'N and longitudes 4<sup>0</sup> 8' and 6<sup>0</sup> 4'E [14].

59 **Collection and processing of test materials:** Termites (*Macrotermes bellicosus*) were collected  
60 including workers, soldiers in selected areas both dry land and wet land alike. Mounds were  
61 excavated using diggers, spade, shovels and hoes causing termites to come out. They were

62 collected into plastic containers and sacs as in method reported by [11]. Termite samples were  
63 randomly collected from mounds in different locations within the study area. They were  
64 collected with sand and separation of termites was done in the Laboratory by flotation methods  
65 as reported by [11]. Mound soils were transferred into buckets separately and water was poured  
66 into them and floating termites were skimmed using a sieve (2.0 mm), sandy water was poured  
67 into a sieve (2.00 mm) for further separation of the sunken termites. After separating them from  
68 sand, they were then washed with clean water and sun-dried. They were ground into powder  
69 and package in plastic containers and kept in the laboratory until the time of used.

70 **Experimental animals and management:** Day old broilers were obtained from Sokoto market.  
71 The birds were sourced from a commercial hatchery Ibadan, Oyo State; they were purchased  
72 according to the number of treatments and replicates. A total of one hundred and twenty birds  
73 were used for this study. They were divided into three treatments and four replicates, ten birds  
74 per replicate; they were further divided into three dietary groups. Experimental birds were  
75 randomly selected as in the method of [13]; [8]. They were fed with three different diets, that is  
76 termite-protein supplemented meal, fishmeal and commercial feeds as control.

77 **Poultry House:** It was well cleaned and sprayed with fumigants and disinfectants to avoid  
78 infection of various diseases. This was done before the arrival of the birds and the house was  
79 partitioned into pens according to the replicates. Experimental birds were kept for three days  
80 after arrival to take care of stress. Within these three days, they were administered with anti-  
81 stress drugs after which they were weighed and allocated to their replicate groups. Each group  
82 was replicated four times. Vaccines were administered according to the routine; antibiotics and  
83 Coccidiostats were administered according to recommendations of [15]. Experimental birds  
84 were kept in a cross ventilation of open side walls house and were kept on deep litter house.

85 At the end of experiment that is at 8<sup>th</sup> week, six birds from each treatment (three birds per  
86 replicate) were taken at random tagged for carcass analysis. They were weighed separately and  
87 slaughtered Plucked carcass was dissected and eviscerated. The head, breast and internal organs  
88 (i.e. intestine, crop, gizzard, liver, lungs, heart, spleen) were weighed separately, eviscerated  
89 carcass was then cut into parts (i.e. back, breast, thigh, drum stick, neck, wings, feet) weighed  
90 separately.

91 The results obtained were tested for significance of differences between treatments that is  
92 carcass evaluation and proximate composition using Start View Statistical Analysis Software  
93 [16].

## 94 **Results**

95 Experimental broilers chickens were randomly grouped into three treatment groups and fed  
96 with three different diets; that is commercial diet (obtained from the market), termite supplemented  
97 diet and fishmeal diet.

98 Results of slaughtered broiler chickens weight was observed in all treatment diets and there was  
99 no significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference. Similarly results of cuts parts such as breast, back,  
100 drumstick, thigh, wings, liver and gizzard in all the treatments is shown in table 1. From the  
101 results, breast weight of broiler chickens for commercial diet was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher  
102 compared to those on fishmeal and termite supplemented diet. However, Back weight, thigh  
103 weight, wings and gizzard weight of all treatment diets were similar ( $P > 0.05$ ). The weight of  
104 drumstick of broiler chickens on the control diet and termite supplemented diets were similar  
105 ( $P > 0.05$ ) and significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) differed than those broiler chickens on fishmeal. Weight of  
106 liver of those broiler chickens for the control groups was observed to be heavier ( $P < 0.05$ )  
107 compared to those for fishmeal and termite supplemented diet respectively.

108 Results of broiler parts such as; feet, head, neck, heart, lungs, spleen, intestines and crop are  
109 presented in table 2. Significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference between treatment diets was observed in  
110 feet weight. Commercial based diet was observed to be the highest ( $P < 0.05$ ) followed by  
111 termites supplemented diet than that of fishmeal. Head weight was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )  
112 different, commercial diet was higher than was observed in termite supplemented diet and  
113 fishmeal.

114 The result of the nutrient compositions of the experimental broiler chickens at finisher stage  
115 (Table 3) showed that carbohydrate, protein, fats, moisture contents of the meat of broiler  
116 chickens on all treatment groups were significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) different. Fibre varied ( $P < 0.05$ )  
117 between treatments, fishmeal was observed to have the highest content followed by termite

118 supplemented diet and commercial diet. Broiler chickens fed commercial diets were observed  
 119 to record the lowest ( $P < 0.05$ ) values of the sodium (Na), potassium (K), and calcium (Ca).  
 120 However, broilers on fish meal ( $P > 0.05$ ) and termite supplemented diets were similar for these  
 121 elements.

122 **Table 1: Carcass evaluation of experimental birds (Primal cuts)**

123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130	Treatments	Parameters					
		Breast	Back	Drumstick	Thigh	Wings	Liver
Commercial diet	410.43 <sup>a</sup>	159.95 <sup>a</sup>	152.10 <sup>a</sup>	160.73 <sup>a</sup>	127.10 <sup>a</sup>	95.98 <sup>a</sup>	41.88 <sup>a</sup>
Fishmeal diet	348.60 <sup>b</sup>	152.20 <sup>a</sup>	117.43 <sup>b</sup>	134.75 <sup>a</sup>	104.20 <sup>a</sup>	34.78 <sup>b</sup>	36.13 <sup>a</sup>
Termite diet	269.35 <sup>b</sup>	149.98 <sup>a</sup>	132.73 <sup>a</sup>	147.13 <sup>a</sup>	101.85 <sup>a</sup>	36.13 <sup>b</sup>	36.98 <sup>a</sup>
<b>SEM</b>	<b>9.479</b>	<b>5.935</b>	<b>2.66</b>	<b>3.209</b>	<b>2.076</b>	<b>0.735</b>	<b>0.796</b>

131 Means along the same column with similar superscripts are not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ )  
 132 different from one another  
 133 **SEM** = Standard error of means  
 134 \

136 **Table 2: Carcass evaluation of the experimental birds (Other cuts)**

137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144	Treatments	Parameters						
		Feet	Head	Neck	Heart	Lungs	Spleen	Intestine
Commercial diet	70.45 <sup>a</sup>	47.59 <sup>a</sup>	65.33 <sup>a</sup>	7.93 <sup>a</sup>	8.83 <sup>a</sup>	2.60 <sup>a</sup>	113.15 <sup>a</sup>	9.05 <sup>a</sup>
Fishmeal diet	52.93 <sup>b</sup>	38.65 <sup>b</sup>	49.70 <sup>a</sup>	6.80 <sup>a</sup>	7.90 <sup>a</sup>	1.75 <sup>b</sup>	95.65 <sup>a</sup>	8.25 <sup>a</sup>
Termite diet	55.58 <sup>b</sup>	40.30 <sup>b</sup>	51.15 <sup>a</sup>	7.73 <sup>a</sup>	7.63 <sup>a</sup>	1.75 <sup>b</sup>	121.65 <sup>a</sup>	7.95 <sup>a</sup>
<b>SEM</b>	<b>0.815</b>	<b>0.674</b>	<b>1.340</b>	<b>0.101</b>	<b>0.209</b>	<b>0.065</b>	<b>2.942</b>	<b>0.174</b>

145 Means along the same column with similar superscripts are not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ )

146 different from one another  
 147 **SEM** = Standard error of means  
 148

149 **Table 3: Nutrient composition of experimental birds (percentage)**

150	Parameters						
	151 Treatments	Carbohydrate	Protein	Fat/Lipids	Moisture	Fibre	Ash
152	Commercial diet	44.22 <sup>a</sup>	34.56 <sup>a</sup>	9.67 <sup>a</sup>	11.38 <sup>a</sup>	2.30 <sup>b</sup>	8.42 <sup>b</sup>
153							
154	Fishmeal diet	50.38 <sup>a</sup>	33.01 <sup>a</sup>	10.17 <sup>a</sup>	11.17 <sup>a</sup>	3.92 <sup>a</sup>	12.90 <sup>a</sup>
155							
156	Termite diet	47.76 <sup>a</sup>	29.04 <sup>a</sup>	10.63 <sup>a</sup>	12.96 <sup>a</sup>	2.43 <sup>a</sup>	10.17 <sup>b</sup>
157	<b>SEM</b>	<b>0.819</b>	<b>0.754</b>	<b>0.245</b>	<b>0.160</b>	<b>0.052</b>	<b>0.193</b>

158 Means along the same column with similar superscripts are not significantly (P>0.05)  
 159 different from one another  
 160 **SEM** = Standard error of means  
 161

162 **Table 4: Mineral composition, sodium, potassium, magnesium, phosphorus, zinc,  
 163 copper and iron of experimental birds (mg)**

164	Parameters								
	165 Treatments	Na	K	Ca	Mg	P	Zn	Cu	Fe
166	Commercial diet	127.08 <sup>b</sup>	155.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.467 <sup>b</sup>	1.158 <sup>b</sup>	5.408 <sup>a</sup>	0.034 <sup>a</sup>	0.081 <sup>a</sup>	2.357 <sup>a</sup>
167									
168	Fishmeal diet	160.31 <sup>a</sup>	221.38 <sup>a</sup>	1.877 <sup>a</sup>	1.104 <sup>b</sup>	5.868 <sup>a</sup>	0.007 <sup>b</sup>	0.075 <sup>a</sup>	2.767 <sup>a</sup>
169									
170	Termite diet	163.75 <sup>a</sup>	182.08 <sup>a</sup>	1.254 <sup>b</sup>	1.588 <sup>a</sup>	5.605 <sup>a</sup>	0.002 <sup>b</sup>	0.072 <sup>a</sup>	1.955 <sup>a</sup>
171	<b>SEM</b>	<b>2.456</b>	<b>3.986</b>	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.023</b>	<b>0.109</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.005</b>	<b>0.119</b>

172 Means along the same column with similar superscripts are not significantly (P>0.05)  
 173 different from one another  
 174 **SEM** = Standard error of means  
 175

176 **Discussions**

177 Species of termites were collected in selected sites of the study areas. *Macrotermes bellicosus* that  
178 include workers, soldiers and queens were collected, collected termites were washed very well  
179 with clean water and sun dried and ground into powder which was used for feed formulation.

180 Weight of broiler parts fed with commercial feed was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher compared to  
181 fishmeal and termite supplemented diet. However, weights of back, thigh, wings and gizzard were  
182 not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ) different in all treatments. Drum stick weight was similar in commercial  
183 and termite supplemented diet, results showed that feeds were relatively consumed by broilers in  
184 all treatment groups. This was similar to [9], who reported in his findings that insects are used as  
185 animal feed in tropical and sub-tropical regions and are the cheapest source of animal protein.  
186 [12], also reported that nutritive potentials and utilization of termites as poultry ingredients have  
187 been in use and documented. Results of carcass evaluation followed the same trend of  
188 performance of experimental broilers. The results of this finding demonstrated no significant  
189 ( $P > 0.05$ ) difference of the experimental broilers placed on different diets, there seems to be  
190 relationship of weight of broiler parts, which agrees with [17] findings who reported that, carcass  
191 and organs of measurement of broilers fed with different meals most cases followed the same  
192 trend.

193 Nutrients such as carbohydrate, protein, fats, fibre, ash and moisture were observed. Mineral  
194 elements were in good composition in all the treatments at finisher stage. This can be attributed to  
195 the fact that both diets are good for poultry feed and termites can now be used to replace fish meal.  
196 This shows that broilers fed with termite meal have a very good composition of nutrients and  
197 minerals this was similar to [18]; [19] findings who reported that, insects are very nutritious and  
198 rich in minerals such as potassium, calcium, Magnesium, Zinc, Phosphorous and iron and also  
199 various vitamins. [13], reported that, termites have crude lipids and gross energy, and also have a  
200 good percentage of crude protein, crude lipids than fishmeal. He also reported that, termite have  
201 good protein content. [9], also reported termites to have protein percentage that can support good  
202 performance in broilers. Nutrients and mineral composition of broilers was also observed at  
203 finisher stage in all treatment groups. Result obtained showed no significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). This was  
204 similar with [12], who reported that insects are capable of replacing fishmeal completely for

205 growing chickens. [20], reported that, termites (*Macrotermes bellicosus*) a reproductive have  
206 good composition of moisture, crude protein, crude fibre, crude lipid, ash, carbohydrate and  
207 energy.

## 208 **Conclusion :**

209 Conclusively, from the results of the study of feeding trails of broilers chickens, it could be  
210 concluded that termite species (*Macrotermes bellicosus*) could be used in feeding of broilers at  
211 both starter and finisher level without any adverse effect on the performance characteristics.  
212 **Termites can serve as a good protein supplement in the diet of broilers chickens without any**  
213 **deleterious effect on performance of birds,** which can replace not only fishmeal but can also  
214 replace animal protein, soybean meal and can perform very well.

## 215 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION**

216 The research work was carried out in colorations with all Authors. Authors S H A  
217 designed the study, managed the literature searchers and wrote the protocol and the first draft of  
218 the manuscript. Authors HMB, MMY and AA finished the design, protocol and check the draft  
219 report. All Authors read and approved the final manuscripts.

## 220 **Competing interests**

221 All Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

222

## 223 **Ethical :**

224 As per international standard informed written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the  
225 author(s).

## 226 **REFERENCES**

227 [1] Malaka, S. L. O. (1996). *Termites in West Africa*. 1<sup>st</sup> Edition University of Lagos press, USA.,  
228 165pp



- 229 [2] Kambhampati, S and Eggleton, P. (2000). Taxonomy and Phylogeny of Termites, In: Termites  
230 Evolution, Sociality, Symbiosis, Biology, Abe, T., Bigness, D. E. and Hu, M. (Ed). Kluver  
231 Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 1-23pp  
232
- 233 [3] Myles, T.G. (2003). Phylogeny of Taxonomy of the isopteran. [Internet],University of  
234 Toronto. Availkable from <http://www.utoronto.ca/forest/termite/speclist.htm> accessed  
235 22<sup>nd</sup> february, 2016.
- 236 [4] Abd Rahman Jabir, M. D., Razak, S. A. and Vikineswary, S. (2012). “Nutrient potential and  
237 utilization of super worm (*Zophobas Mario*) meal in the diet of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis*  
238 *niloticus*): Juvenile”. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11 (24): 6592 – 6598
- 239 [5] Allotey, J. (2003). “Utilization of useful insects as food; improved Nutrition for Africa”.  
240 *Africa Journal of food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*. 3 (2): 1-8.
- 241 [6] Banjo, A.D., Lawal, O.A and Songonuga, E. A. (2006). “The nutritional Value of fourteen  
242 species of edible insects in South Western Nigeria”. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 5:  
243 298-301
- 244 [7] Grimaldi, D. and Engel, M.S. (2005). *Evolution of insects*. Cambridge University Press.  
245 ISBN 0-521-821149-5  
246
- 247 [8] Oguwike, F. N., Ebede, S. O., Offor, C. C. and Onubeze, D. P. M. (2013). “Evaluation of  
248 effects of consumption of termites (*Macrotermes nigeriensis*) on Biochemical and  
249 Heamatological profile of made albino Wister rates”. *Greener journal of medical*  
250 *Science*. 3 (9)1: 314-318
- 251 [9] Paul, D, and Sudipta, D. (2011). “Nutrient content of sexual and worker forms of  
252 subterranean termites *Reticulitermes spp*”. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 10:  
253 505-507
- 254 [10] Revindran, V., Blair, R. (1993). Food resource for poultry production in Asia and the pacific.  
255 *World's poultry science journal*. 49: 219-235
- 256 [11] N'tukuyoh, A. I., Udiong, D. S., Ikpe, E. and Akpakpan, A. E. (2012). “Evaluation of  
257 Nutritional value of Termites (*Macrotermes Bellicosus*): Soldeirs, Workers and Queen in  
258 Niger Delta Region of Nigeria”. *International Journal of food Nutrition and safety*, 1(2):  
259 60-65.
- 260 [12] Bamphithl, T. and John, C. M. (2012). Termites and Earthworms as potential alternative  
261 sources of protein for poultry. *Tiroesele and moreke, IJAVM*. 6(5): 368-376 Doi: 10.  
262 5455/ijvms. 174
- 263 [13] Sogbesan, A. O. and Ugwumba, A. A. A. (2008). “Nutritional evaluation of termites  
264 (*Macrotermes sublyalinus*) meal as animal protein supplements in the diets of  
265 *Heterobranchus longifilis* (Valenciennes, 1940) Fingerlings”. *Turkish Journal of fish and*  
266 *aquatic science*, 8: 149-157.

- 267 [14] Mamman, A.B., J. O. Oyebanji and S. W. Peters (2000). In: Nigeria A people united, a future  
268 assured (survey) Calaba, Gabumo publishing Company. Pp 6-7
- 269 [15] Robberts, A. S. (1998). Broiler Management in warm Climate, Animal Science Annual  
270 Technical Bulletin 1: 40  
271
- 272 [16] SAS. (2002). Stat View Statistical Package.English Version, SAS Inc. Newyork
- 273 [17] Kwari, I.D., J.U. Igwebuikwe, S.B.Adamu and S.A. Isa (2008). Performance and carcass  
274 Characteristics of Broiler chickens fed different levels of raw sorrel (*Habiscus sabdariff*  
275 var. *sabdariff*) seed meal. Proceedings of the 13<sup>th</sup> Annual conference of the Animal Science  
276 Association of Nigeria (ASAN), ABU, Zaria Pp303-306
- 277
- 278
- 279 [18] Anand, H, Ganguly, A. and Haldar, P. (2008). Potential value of Acridids as high protein  
280 supplement for poultry feed *International Journal of poultry Science*, 7(7): 722-725
- 281
- 282 [19] Srivastava, S. K., Babu, N. and Pandey, H. (2009). Traditional insects bioprospecting as  
283 human food and medicine. *India Journal of Traditional Knowledge*. 8(4): 485-494  
284
- 285 [20] Agomuo, E. N. (2011) Proximate and fatty acid compositions of termites (*Macrotermes*  
286 *bellicosus*). *International Science Research Journal*, 3: 93-96

287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292