### 1 CONTRIBUTION TO THE STUDY OF LEAVES : *AZADIRACHTA INDICA* A. Juss 2 (MELIACEAE) : EVALUATION OF THE ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY

- 3
- 4

## 5 ABSTRACT

6 In Africa, the use of plants for therapeutic purposes is an ancient practice. In recent years,

7 much scientific work has been spent to the chemistry and toxicology of medicinal plants;

8 there is a particular focus on natural antioxidants in relation to their various therapeutic

9 properties. Therefore, the purpose of our study is to determine the antioxidant activity of

10 aqueous and hydroethanol extracts from the leaves of *Azadirachta indica* A.Juss a plant

11 known for its many pharmacological properties.

12 The leaves of Azadirachta indica A. Juss were oven dried at 60 degrees for 24 hours and

reduced to a fine powder. And, the powder is first extracted with distilled water to obtain the

14 aqueous extract, then with a mixture of distilled water and ethanol 50/50 (v / v) to obtain the

- 15 hydroethanol extract. Antioxidant activity was done through the DPPH test, the FRAP
- 16 method and the fixation of the radical nitro-oxide (NO).
- 17 The results revealed that for the DPPH test, the hydro-ethanol extract is more active
- 18  $(IC_{50}=9.9\pm0.14 \text{ mcg/ml})$  compared to the activity of the water extract  $(IC_{50}=11\pm0.28 \text{ mcg/ml})$
- 19 mcg/ml). For the FRAP method, we note absorbance of 0.56 and 1.05 respectively for water
- and hydro-ethanol extract at a concentration (166.7  $\mu$ g/ml). On the other hand, for the
- 21 inhibition of radical nitro-oxide (NO), activity is low for the two extracts of *Azadirachta*
- *indica* A. Juss respectively of  $36.94\pm2.1\%$  for the aqueous extract and  $26.03\pm2.52\%$  for the
- 23 hydroethanol extract.
- 24 This work highlights the antioxidant properties of Azadirachta indica A. Juss leaf extracts.
- 25 Which give credit to certain data ethnopharmacological uses of *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss,
- but, study benefits must be carried out to support this use especially on toxicology.
- 27 28
- 29 Keywords: Leaves, Azadirachta indica A. Juss, antioxidant activity, DPPH, FRAP, NO.

30 Abbreviation Words: IC<sub>50</sub>: 50% inhibitory concentration. DPPH: 2, 2 Diphenyl-1-

- 31 picrylhydrazil. FRAP : Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power. NO : oxide nitric radical
- 32

# 33

## 34 **1. INTRODUCTION**

- 35 The use of synthetic antioxidant molecules is currently being questioned because of the
- 36 potential toxicological risks. Now, new plant sources of natural antioxidants are being
- 37 searched [12].
- 38 Indeed, polyphenols are natural compounds that are widespread in the plant kingdom and that
- are of growing importance, in particular because of their beneficial effects on health [4]. Their
- 40 role as natural antioxidants is attracting more and more interest in the prevention and
- 41 treatment of cancer, inflammatory and cardiovascular diseases. In addition, they are also used

- as additives in the agri-food, pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries [14]. 42
- Scientific research has been developed for the extraction, identification and quantification of 43
- these compounds from different sources such as agricultural and horticultural culture or 44
- medicinal plants [5]. 45
- This approach will significantly increase the number of plant-derived discoveries of natural 46
- antioxidants, which could help solve the growing problem of the carcinogenicity of currently 47
- available synthetic food additives and also combat diseases in which stress oxidative is 48
- involved. The aim of this research is to explore the antioxidant activity of the leaves of a plant 49
- of the West African pharmacopoeia, Azadirachta indica A. Juss by three methods (DPPH, 50
- FRAP and NO). 51
- 52

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 53

### 54 2.1 Study Area, Collection and Identification of Plant Materials

This work was carried out at the Special Research Center Department of Pharmacognosy and 55 56 Botany, Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar, Sénégal.

57 The plant sample was collected from in this Cheikh Anta Diop University. The plant

- specimen was identified at the botany department of Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar, 58
- Sénégal. 59

### 60 2.2 Preparation of Plant Sample

The leaves were then oven dried at 60°C for few days and was crushed into powders in a 61 mechanic grinder. 62

### 2.3 Sample extraction 63

The extraction was carried out by decoction of 100 g of leaf powder, boiled under reflux in 64

65 400 ml of water for 30 minutes. After filtration, the aqueous extract thus obtained was

- evaporated with Rotavapor to obtain a dry residue. Finally 50 g of the leaf powder was 66
- extracted successively with 400 ml of water and 400 ml of ethanol by decoction several times. 67
- The decoction obtained is concentrated in a rotary evaporator and stored on a watch glass and 68
- then put in an oven to be dried (60  $^{\circ}$  C). 69

### 70 2.4 Methods

The determination of trapping capacities was done using three methods: DPPH, FRAP and 71 72 NO.

73

### • DPPH 74

- 75 The antioxidant capacity was evaluated according to the method described by Molyneux in
- 2003 [6]. The extract was tested at different concentrations (1.56-3.125-6.25-12.5-25-50-100 76
- and 200 mcg / ml) with DDPH in the following volumes of volumes extracted / DDP (1/4). 77
- 78 Ascorbic acid was used as a reference antioxidant and tested at the same concentrations.
- Absorbance measurement was performed at 517 nm spectrophotometer after 30 minutes 79
- incubation (T30) using ethanol as a blank. Three tests were performed for each test portion 80
- concentration (n = 3). The results are first expressed in percentage inhibition (PI equal to the 81

- absorbance of the DPPH alone minus the absorbance after adding the extract to a given
- 83 concentration divided by the DPPH absorbance alone) of the anti-radical activity and IC<sub>50</sub>
- 84 (Concentration in free radical to trap 50% free radicals). Then, the  $EC_{50}$  calculated from the
- $IC_{50}$  divided by the molar mass of the DPPH and anti-radical power (PA) equal to the inverse
- 86 of the effective concentration **[1].**

### 87 • FRAP

88 The reducing ability of leaf extracts was assessed using the method set by Bassene, 2012.

- Briefly, various concentrations of each extract (2,6-5,2-10,4-20,8-41,7-83,3 and 166.7 mcg / 166.7
- 90 ml) were diluted to half in distilled water and then mixed with 2.5 ml of phosphate buffer
- 91 (0.2M; pH 6.6) and 2.5 ml of potassium ferricyanide [K<sub>3</sub>Fe (CN)<sub>6</sub>] at 1%. The mixtures
- 92 obtained are incubated at 50 ° C. for 30 min. after, 2.5 ml of trichloroacetic acid (10%) is
- added. After centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min, 2.5 ml of the supernatant of each concentration is mixed with 2.5 ml of distilled water and 0.5 ml of FeCl<sub>3</sub> (0.1%). The
- absorbance is measured at 700 nm using a spectrophotometer (BTS 350, biosystems).
- 96 NO

1 ml of sodium nitroprusside and 250 µl of distilled water were put in clean and dry 97 tubes, mix everything, then add 250  $\mu$ l of each dilution (1/2), extract the concentrations 98 166.63 and 333.3 (mcg / ml), mix and incubate for 150 min. After incubation, 500  $\mu$ l of each 99 tube prepared above were taken and introduced into a new dry clean tube and 1 ml of 100 sulfanilic acid solution was added. The mixture is homogenized and allowed to incubate for 5 101 102 to 10 minutes. Of the naphthylethylenediamine, 1 ml was added to each tube, the whole was homogenized slowly and incubated again for 30 min. The absorbance of each tube was read at 103 540 nm. The percentages of inhibition were calculated using the following formula: 104

$$\% I_{NO} = \left(\frac{A_t - (A_E - A_B)}{A_t}\right)$$

105 INO% is the percentage of inhibition of the nitro-oxide radical,  $A_t$  is the absorbance of the 106 negative control (500 ml of distilled water and 1 ml nitroprusside),  $A_E$  is the absorbance of the 107 test sample in the presence of ,  $A_B$  is the absorbance of the blank (500 ml of distilled water, 1 108 ml of sulfanilic acid and 1 ml of ethylene naphthyl).

### 109 • Statitiscal analysis

110 Statview software was used for statistical analysis. Thus, a normal analysis of variance 111 (ANOVA) followed by the test of Fischer was performed. The difference is considered 112 significant if p < 0.05 compared to the negative control (DPPH solution). Statgraphics 5.0 113 software was used to generate inhibitory concentrations.Variances analysis was performed 114 using the Fisher test at a significance level of 0.05 using Statview software.

# 115 3. RESULTS 116 3.1 DPPH

117 The results of the determination of the antioxidant activity of the extracts by the DPPH 118 method expressed as percentage of inhibition are shown in figure 1. And IC50 were obtained

119 from the software Statgraphics plus 5.0 using the percentages of inhibitions (Figure 2).





123 Azaq (dpph) = aqueous extract of Azadirachta indica on DPPH; AZ ETHANOL (dpph) =

124 ethanolic extract of Azadirachta indica on DPPH

125 The histogram of Figure 2 gives so compared the different IC 50 extracts, gallic acid and 126 tannic acid. The latter two compounds were used as positive controls.



127

Fig. 2. Histogram of inhibitory concentrations 50 different extracts tested and positive
 controls

130 Azaq (dpph) = aqueous extract of Azadirachta indica on DPPH; AZ ETHANOL (dpph) =

131 ethanolic extract of Azadirachta indica on DPPH

# 132 **3.2 FRAP**

133 The results of determining the reducing power of the extracts by the FRAP method expressed

as reducing power are shown in figure 3.



# Fig. 3. Evolution of the reduction of Fe<sup>3+</sup> to Fe<sup>2+</sup> according to the concentrations of each plant extract

# Azaq (frap): aqueous extract of *Azadirachta indica* Azaq ETHANOL (frap): hydro-ethanol extract of *Azadirachta indica*.

# 140 We used gallic acid as a standard but at concentrations much lower than those of our extracts.

- 141 It is for this reason that one can not superimpose the histograms for a better simile of our
   142 extracts with our standard. The latter is much more active than our extracts. Here represented
- the histogram of our standard in Figure 4.





## Comment [UW1]:

- 145 Fig. 4. Evolution of the reduction of  $Fe^{3+}$  to  $Fe^{2+}$  gallic acid
- 146
- 147
- 148 **3.3 NO**
- 149 The results of the determination extracts of inhibition percentages by the NO method are
- shown in Figure 5.



## 152 Fig. 5. Percentage inhibition of extracts by the NO method

- 153 EHE: Hydro-ethanolic extract; EA: Aqueous extract
- 154
- 155 Tannic acid was used as a control but at much lower concentrations than our extracts. Figure 6
- 156 below shows the results of the control by the NO method.



# 158 Fig. 6. Percentage inhibition of NO fixing by tannic acid

- 159
- 160
- 161

# 162 **4. DISCUSSION**

163	5. This work aimed to investigate the antioxidant activity of the leaves of Azadirachta	•	-(	Formatted: Highlight
164	indica A.Juss using three simple methods and practices. To achieve this, two extracts		$\square$	Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.25", No
				bullets or numbering

165	(aqueous and hydroethanol) obtained by decoction were used. The choice of solvents is
166	due to the fact that they are polar and capable of extracting compounds such as alkaloids,
167	tannins and flavonoids (polyphenols) [1] found in the leaves of Azadirachta indica and
168	produce better extraction. Our study aimed to research the antioxidant activity on the
169	leaves of Azadirachta indica A.Juss by using three simple methods and practices.To
170	achieve this aim we worked on two extracts: aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of the
171	leaves of Azadirachta indica. For extracts, we performed two extractions decoction: one
172	with distilled water and the other with a mixture of water and ethanol. The choice of
173	solvents is due to the fact that they are polar and able to extract compounds such as
174	alkaloids, tannins and flavonoids (polyphenols) [1] found in the leaves of Azadirachta
175	indica and mime better extraction made in the traditional practice for preparing extracts.
176	To evaluate the antioxidant properties of our two extracts, several tests were used, includingwe
177	used several tests, including the DPPH method, the FRAP method and the fixing of the nitro-oxide
178	radical.
179	The method at DPPH is a simple but highly effective method [7]. The results of the anti-radical
180	activity on the radical DPPH., show that at all concentrations tested, the two extracts have
181	antioxidant activity and they are able to trapping the radical DPPH <sup>•</sup> . At the concentration of 100
182	mcg / ml, the percentage inhibition is $83.23 \pm 1.19\%$ for the aqueous extract and $81.91 \pm 2.73\%$
183	for the hydroethanolic extract. The highest activity is observed at the concentration of 200 mcg /
184	ml with a percentage inhibition of 97.95 ± 0.17% for both extracts. The work of Nahak et al [8].on

the methanolic extract of *Azadirachta indica* leaves reveals a percentage inhibition of 41.17 ±
 0.04% at the concentration of 100 mcg / ml. This difference in activity could be explained by the

187 nature of the solvent used but also by intrinsic or extrinsic factors related to the plant.

The calculation of IC<sub>50</sub> allows us to compare the antioxidant activity of aqueous extracts, hydro-ethanolic leaves and also those references <u>(gallic and tannic acid)</u>. The lower the IC<sub>50</sub>, the higher the antioxidant activity of the compound. The IC<sub>50</sub> of the hydro-ethanolic extract  $(IC_{50} = 9.9 \pm 0.14 \text{ mcg} / \text{ml})$  is was low compared to that of the aqueous extract (IC<sub>50</sub> = 11 ± 0.28 mcg / ml) which indicates a better activity of the latter; this could be explained by the

richness of the hydro-ethanolic extract in compounds having a labile hydrogen such as polyphenols [2]. This is in agreement with the work of Pandey et al. [10] on the ethanolic

extract of leaves of *Azadirachta indica* which showed that this extract had an anti-radical

activity on the DPPH<sup>-</sup> and that this activity was similar to the content of the extract in

197 polyphenolic compounds.

However, the IC 50's of gallic acid (IC  $_{50} = 4.8 \pm 0.11 \text{ mcg} / \text{ml}$ ) and tannic acid (IC  $_{50} = 7.78$ 

199  $\pm 0.28 \text{ mcg} / \text{ml}$ ) used here as a reference remain low relative to at IC<sub>50</sub> extracts.

200 The use of the FRAP test confirms the antioxidant activity found with the DPPH method;

both tests having different principles. The results show that the two extracts of *Azadirachta indica* have a Fe<sup>3+</sup> reducing activity at Fe<sup>2+</sup> at the concentrations tested. At these

concentrations (10.4 mcg / ml, 20.8 mcg / ml, 41.7 mcg / ml, 83.3 mcg / ml, 166.7 mcg / ml),

the observed absorbances are  $(0.06\ 0.09,\ 0.22,\ 0.55,\ 0.56)$  for the aqueous extract and  $(0.04,\ 0.04,\ 0.05,\ 0.05)$ 

0.16, 0.35, 0.72, 1.05) for the hydro -éthanolic extract. The reduction of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in Fe<sup>2+</sup> changes

with the growth of the concentration of our extracts. The gallic acid used as reference has an

- absorbance of 1.61 for a concentration of 16.67 mcg / ml and therefore has a higher activity
- than that of the extracts.

The reducing activity of the extracts could be explained by the presence of compounds that

- 210 would yield while remaining stable electrons. Therefore, antioxidants are considered reducing
- and oxidative inactivators [12]. The work of Olabinri et al [9].on the aqueous extract of

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Highlight Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Highlight Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.25" Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 11 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

- Azadirachta indica has shown that this extract has a reducing activity; after determination of 212 total polyphenols of the extract, the authors concluded that the activity was due to the 213
- flavonoid content and polyphenols contained in the extract. Some previous studies also 214
- explain that the reducing power of a compound can serve as a significant indicator of its 215
- potential antioxidant activity [3]. 216
- 217 Fixing the NO radical remains low for both extracts as inhibition percentages do not reach
- 218 50%. In our literature searches, we found no scientific publications have studied the fixing of
- 219 NO radical by leaf extracts of Azadirachta indica. Gallic acid and tannic acid used as a
- 220 reference respectively have IC<sub>50</sub> values of  $9.16 \pm 1.2 \text{ mcg} / \text{ml}$  for tannic acid and  $8.74 \pm 1.6$
- 221 mcg / ml for gallic acid. The antioxidant activity present inwas low for both extracts of
- 222 Azadirachta indica could be related to its chemical composition including flavonoids, 223 polyphenols and tannins [2].
- 224 Indeed, the leaves of Azadirachta indica are characterized by the presence of alkaloids,
- quercetin, β-sitosterol, flavonoids, saponins, tannins, vitamin C and carotene [10, 11]. In 225
- 226 general, polyphenols are known for their antioxidant power. Flavonoids being polyphenols act
- mainly as antioxidants, by stabilizing peroxide radicals or by deactivating oxygen species: 227
- 228 superoxide anion, hydroxyl radical, singlet oxygen.
- Polyphenols are an important family of antioxidants found in plants. They are excellent 229
- scavengers of ROS and great transition metal chelators such as iron and copper [13]. Thus, 230
- whatever the nature of the anti-radical power of our extracts, it should be noted that there is a 231
- correlation between phenolic content and antioxidant activity of our extracts. What joint some 232
- authors in their conclusions including [2, 10]. 233

## 6.5.CONCLUSION

234

- 235 To ending we can say that leaves Azadirachta indica A. Juss have a very important
- antioxidant power. The leaves of Azadirachta indica A. Juss has a very important 236
- antioxidant property. This confirms its acclaimed antimalaria, antibacterial, antiviral 237
- 238 and immuno-stimulant properties. This natural product is however an alternative to
- 239 solving the problems of high cost of synthetic antioxidant products and becomes
- affordable to the poor. Further studies on the isolation and identification of the 240 241
- antioxidant molecule as well as determination of the acute toxicity of the fruits and
- stalks of Azadirachta indica A. Juss are suggested, 242
- This is even confirmed by its use of populations to treat ailments such as malaria. The results 243 confirm that these parts of the plant can be used as having anti-inflammatory properties 244
- antibacterial, antiviral, antioxidant and immunostimulant. Also, with the high cost of synthetic 245
- origin antioxidant products, the use of more accessible sheets could be an alternative for the 246
- equilibration of the pro-oxidant balance / antioxidant among the poor. Finally, studies in 247
- thought could lead to isolate and identify antioxidant molecules with a guided bio method but 248
- also to determine the acute toxicity and subacute fruits and stalks. 249

### **COMPETING INTERESTS** 250

- Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. 251
- REFERENCES 252
- 1- Bassene E. Initiation à la recherché sur les substances naturelles : Extraction -253 254 Analyses – Essais biologiques. Presses universitaires de Dakar, 2012, 150 pp.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Normal
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

- 2- Bharat P, Rijal S, Raut S, And Pandeya A. Investigations of antioxidant and antibacterial activity of leaf extracts of Azadirachta indica. African Journal Biotechnology, Vol 14(46), 2015.pp 3159-3163.
- Jeong S.M., Kim S.Y., Kim D.R., Jo S.C., Nam K.C., Ahn D.U., et Lee S.C.
  Effects of heat treatment on the antioxidant activity of extracts from citrus peels.
  Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry. 2004.52, pp3389–3393.
  - 4- Koechlin-Ramonatxo C. Oxygen, oxidative stress and antioxidant supplementation, or another way for nutrition in respiratory diseases. Nutrition Clinique et Métabolique. 2006, 20, 165-177.
  - 5- Marc Fr., Davin A., Deglène-Benbrahim L., et Ferrand C. Méthodes d'évaluation du potentiel antioxydant dans les aliments. Erudit, M/S: médecine sciences. 20(4), 2004.pp458-463
  - 6- Molyneux P. The use of the stable free radical diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) for estimating antioxidant activity. Songklanakarin J. Sci. Techno. **2003**.26: 211-219.
  - 7- Moon J-K And Shibamoto T. Antioxidant Assays for Plant and Food Components. J. Agric. Food Chem., 57 (5), 2009.pp.1655-1666.
- 8- Nahak G, Sahu R.K In vitro antioxidative activity of *Azadirachta indica* and *Melia azedarach* Leaves by DPPH scavenging assay. Nature and Science, 2010.vol 8(4),pp22-28.
  - 9- Olabinri B M, Adebisi, J A. Odesomi, O F Olabinri P F And Adeleke G E. Experimental classification of the antioxidant capacity of the leaf, stem and root barks of *Magnifera indica* and *Azadirachta indica*. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2009.vol 8 (13), pp2968-2972.
  - **10-Pandey G, Verma Kk, Singh M.** Phytochemical, Antibacterial and free radical scavenging properties *of Azadirachta indica* (Neem) leaves. Int J Pharm Sci, **2014.**Vol 6, Issue 2, 444-447.
- 11- Pharmacopée D'Afrique De L'Ouest (Pao). Organisation Ouest Africaine de la
   Santé, CEDEAO ECOWAS. 2013. Pp 44-46, 253p
- 12- Siddhuraju P.et Becker K. The antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities of processed cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata (L.*) Walp.) seed extracts. Food Chemistry. 2007.101(1), 10-19.
- 13- Strack D. Phenolic metabolism. In Plant Biochemistry, Dey PM, Harborne JB Eds,
   Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 387-416. Thompson M, Williams CR (1976).
   Stability of flavonoids complexes of copper (II) and flavonoid antioxidant activity.
   Anal. Chem. Acta. 1997. 85: 375-381
  - 14- Vârban D.I., Duda M., Vârban R., et Muntean S. Research Concerning the Organic Technology for *Satureja Hortensis L*. Culture. Bulletin UASVM Agriculture. 2009, 66(2), 225-229.
- 293 294

290 291

292

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

274

275

276 277

278

279

280

- 295
- 296
- 297