2 3

4 5 6

7

MICROPELLET PARTICLES: A VECTOR OF HYDROPHOBIC ENDOCRINE DISRUPTING CHEMICALS IN LAGOS LAGOON

ABSTRACT

The occurrence of plastic waste materials in the aquatic environment is receiving enormous 8 attention all over the world due to its negative impacts on aquatic organisms. Micropellet litters 9 have been found to adsorb and absorbs persistent organic endocrine disrupting chemicals 10 (EDCs). Endocrine disrupting chemicals are recognized toxic chemicals to humans and 11 organisms. This study quantifies occurrence of micropellet particles in Lagos Lagoon and their 12 13 EDCs contents. Surface water and sediment sample collection was conducted from 2016 to 2017 at eight sampling locations with three points established in each of the sampling station. The 14 chemical analysis of EDCs was conducted by gas chromatography coupled with electron capture 15 16 detector and flame ionization detector. Micropellet particles occurrence was highest in surface water (67%) compared to (33%) in sediment during the period of sampling. EDCs contents 17 reflect contamination of PCBs and PAHs in the extracted micropellet particles. Some sampling 18 19 stations contained relatively higher PAHs concentrations but very low concentration of PCBs. 20 Since, micropellet particles and EDCs cannot be removed completely from the aquatic 21 environment, reduction of impending hazards ought to rely on curtailing disposal of plastic materials and sensitizing the populace on general disposal methods in order to minimize 22 23 interaction of plastic particles with EDCs which are likely to pose significant effects on aquatic 24 fauna.

Keywords: Micropellet particles, endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs), PCBs; PAHs, Lagos
 Lagoon

28 I. 0 INTRODUCTION

29

Lagos lagoon in Nigeria supplies a number of essential services for indigenous and non-30 indigenous people in Lagos (Ajagbe *et al.*, 2012). High influence of solid waste litters in and 31 around the Lagos lagoon has been reported by several authors (Ajao, 1996; Amaeze et al., 2012; 32 Abiodun and Oyeleke, 2016, Soneye et al., 2018). In Nigeria, plastic litter materials in coastal 33 areas as been reported by (Amaeze et al., 2012; Abiodun and Oyeleke, 2016, Soneve et al., 34 2018) as one of the most common litters in Lagos lagoon and are likely to be a major sources of 35 hydrophobic endocrine disrupting chemicals contributing to the significant decline in aquatic 36 resources thus threatening their long-term sustainability. Plastic wastes materials is one of the 37 most documented waste in aquatic ecosystem globally (Derraik, 2002;UNEP, 2016) with greater 38 negative impact on aquatic fauna (Gibb et al., 2017). In the last few decades, plastic products 39 40 have developed into one of the most largely used materials for many applications. World total plastic production continues to increase yearly (Duis and Coors, 2016) owing to the plasticity 41 and the durability of most of the plastic products (PlasticEurope, 2015, 2017). Due to the 42 extensive utilization of plastic in many applications coupled with improper disposal methods of 43 plastic waste materials consistently in waterways leading to fragmentation into microparticles 44 due to weathering action and ultra violet solar radiation (Ryan et al., 2009; Andrady, 2011). 45 Plastic wastes materials was proposed as hazardous materials (Rochman et al., 2013) when 46 found in the aquatic environment (UNEP, 2016). Due to increase in population density and 47 48 economic growth rate there is a clamour for affordable products which have led to increased plastics production as well as indiscriminate increase in plastic waste generation in Nigeria 49 (Olanrewaju and Ilemobade, 2009). Despite the intervention of the government in Nigeria, on 50

51 proper waste disposal methods, solid waste still find their way into the Lagos lagoon at an

alarming rate (Olanrewaju and Ilemobade, 2009; Babayemi, *et al.*, 2018).Unlike other substances, majority of plastic waste materials are not easily biodegradable, but instead photodegradable into smaller fragment (Arthur *et al.*, 2009) from macroplastic, >5 mm into microplastics<5mm that has increased conspicuously (Thompson *et al.*, 2004, 2009) in the aquatic environment. Several authors reported the ability of microplastics particles to adsorbed and absorbed hydrophobic endocrine disrupting chemicals (HEDCs) at a several magnitude higher than their surrounding water (Rochman *et al.*, 2013; Velzeboer *et al.*, 2014).

Plastic waste materials and hydrophobic endocrine disruption chemicals has been reported by 59 Vethaak and Leslie (2016) to form multifaceted mixture of contaminants in the aquatic 60 environment that increase the availability HEDCs to be readily bioavailability to wide variety of 61 aquatic faunas and eventually to humans in contrast to other naturally sorbent (Bakir et al., 2016; 62 Koelmans et al., 2016). Hydrophobic endocrine disrupting chemicals like polychlorinated 63 biphenyls (Mato et al., 2001, Bakir et al., 2012, 2014) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons 64 (Rochman et al., 2013) are known to adsorb on microplastic surfaces (Teuten et al., 2009). 65 Presently, there is increasing concern that aquatic fauna declines in population and increasing 66 occurrence of endocrine-related syndrome in aquatic organisms are connected to chemicals 67 compound adsorbed on plastic waste materials (Bergman et al., 2013). These chemicals 68 compounds include but not limited to polychlorinated biphenyl (PCBs), organochlorine 69 pesticides (OCPs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs). Most of these pollutants are 70 71 well known hydrophobic persistent organic pollutants that are constrained in most countries Nigeria inclusive may interfering with the endocrine system as reported by (Bergman *et al.*, 72 2013). Some HEDCs are known to cause effects at the present levels found in biota and the 73 74 environment (Vethaak and Legler, 2012; Bergman et al., 2013). However, the absorption ability

of micropellet particles of hydrophobic endocrine disrupting chemicals has not been sufficiently
studied. Hence, this study is aimed at evaluating the associated hydrophobic endocrine disrupting

- chemicals in micropellet particles extracted from surface water and sediment of Lagos lagoon.
- 78

79 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

80 **2.1. Description of sampling area**

The study was carried out in one of the biggest estuary in Nigeria Lagos lagoon is located 81 82 between longitude 3°23" and 3°53" and latitude 6°26" and 6°37"N. The lagoon empties into the Atlantic Ocean through the Lagos harbour, an important channel through the heart of Lagos. 83 Within the Lagos lagoon eight (8) sampling stations were established based on solid waste 84 characteristics of each of the sampling area as reported by past literature (Abiodun and Oyeleke, 85 2016) (Figure 1 and Table 1). In each of the sampling stations three (3) points were selected to 86 represent the true conditions of the sampling locations (Figure 1) with different wastes littering 87 the surface of the water. 88



below 3 knots, while speed boat maintains a consistent heading. At each sample site, a target tow length of 500 to 2000 m was established with length based upon the amount of floating debris

and waste samples captured at the base of the net end was placed in a clean pre labelled glass

sample bottle. To avoid contaminating samples, the manta net and collection vessel were rinsed methodically (Eriksen *et al.*, 2013). Sediment sampled for the analysis of micropellet particles were collected with a Van veen grab sampler $(0.1m^2)$ in areas of low flow velocity (<0.3m/s) in each of the stations. Thereafter, sediment was gently stirred and carefully sieved through a 0.5mm mesh sieve. The content of the sieve after washing was transferred into a pre-labelled container and 10% formalin was added and transfer to the laboratory for further analysis.

110

111 **2.3** Extraction of microplastics

The method of extraction employed involved filtration of solids wastes obtained in manta trawl 112 net surface sampling and sediment sampled while plastic waste materials of appropriate size 113 were isolated. The sieved plastic waste materials were air dried under the fume hood to 114 determine the mass in the microplastics sampled. The micropellet particles extracted were 115 subjected to wet peroxide oxidation (WPO) in the presence of a Fe (II) catalyst to absorbed 116 organic matter and sediment attached to the plastic waste. In addition the floating plastics were 117 further isolated from the denser undigested mineral components with a density separator using a 118 custom 0.45mm filter, air-dried, and plastic material were removed and weighed to determine the 119 microplastics concentration (Free et al., 2014; Masura et al., 2015). 120

121 Table 1: Description of sampling stations and solid waste characterization

Station Name	Sampling points	Solid waste characterization
Oworonshoki (ST 1)	LL1	Plastic litters, glass, paper, domestic
	LL2	organics, cloths, and human waste.
	LL3	
AbuleEledu (ST 2)	LL4	Plastic litters, glass, paper, domestic
	LL5	organics, human waste, and wood
	LL6	logs.
Makoko(ST 3)	LL7	Plastic litters, glass, paper, domestic
	LL8	organics, human waste, cloths and
	LL9	wood log

Okobaba (ST 4)	LL10	Sawdust waste, wood log, plastic
	LL11	waste, organic wastes and human
	LL12	waste
Iddo (ST 5)	LL13	solid waste dump, cement bag
	LL14	washing, and rubber waste
	LL15	
Marina (ST 6)	LL16	plastics, glass, paper, vegetable waste,
	LL17	human waste
	LL18	
Apapa Port (ST 7)	LL19	Oil and grease, spillages, ship garbage
	LL20	and plastic debris
	LL21	
Commandor channel (ST 8)	LL22	Marine litters
	LL23	
	LL24	

123 **2.4 Test chemicals**

Analytical grade solvents hexane and dichloromethane were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Standards of PCBs, and PAHs were purchased from Accustandard (New Haven, CT, USA). PCB 65 and PAHs mixture Z-014J-0.5X (Naphthalene -d8, acenapthene-d10, phenathrene-d10, chrsyene-d12 and perylene-d12) and CLP-LC-SS1 (Nitrobenzene-d5, 1-1'-biphenyl 2-fluroened10 and ptertphenyl-d14) were purchased from Accustandard. These standards were diluted with analytical grade hexane to make calibration, internal, and recovery standards.

130 **2.5 Solvent Extraction Procedure**

Micropellet particles were extracted for determination of contents of PCBs and PAHs. Approximately 1 g of micropellets was used for extraction, Samples were placed in labelled amber glass bottle and matrix blanks were used as controls. Matrix blank consisted of virgin polyethylene pellets that were not exposed to environmental factors outside of production. About 0.3 g of sodium sulphate was added to each amber bottle in order to remove any moisture present in each sample. Each sample was then spiked with known amounts of internal standards. N-Hexane was added to each sample in equal amounts of 30ml and shaker for 30 minutes using a 138 mechanical shaker (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). The extraction procedure was then repeated a three time (3x) with 15ml of hexane were added to the same amber bottle Extracted 139 samples were then placed in a round bottom flask and attached to rotary evaporator and 140 concentrated to about 2 ml at 40°C. 141

- 142
- 2.6 143

Silica gel- solid Phase Extraction (SPE)

Silica gel based SPE cartridges, Sep-Pak, from (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and glass syringes 144 were assembled on a Visiprep SPE vacuum (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). After thorough 145 cleaning and conditioning of SPEs, each extract was loaded into SPE and fractionized with 10 ml 146 of hexane and 10 ml dichloromethane (7:3). Flow rate of solvent through SPEs was carefully 147 monitored at this time. The two fractions were combined and concentrated by the TurboVap, 148 149 transferred to 2 ml amber vials.

Identification and Quantification of PCB Congeners and PAHs derivative 150 2.7

Identification and quantification of 28 PCB congeners (congeners (PCB 8, PCB18, PCB 28, 151 PCB 44, PCB 52, PCB 60, PCB 77, PCB 101, PCB 81, PCB 105, PCB 114, PCB 118, PCB 123, 152 PCB 126, PCB 128, PCB 138, PCB 153, PCB 156, PCB 157, PCB 167, PCB 169, PCB 170, 153 PCB 180, PCB 185, PCB 189, PCB 195, PCB 206 and PCB 209) was performed with a gas 154 chromatograph (GC)-electron capture detector (ECD) (Agilent 7890A GC- (ECD Detector) 155 using USEPA Method 608. sixteen(16)PAHs (naphthlaene (NAP), acenaphthylene (ACY), 156 acenaphthene (ACE), fluorene (FLU), phenanthrene (PHE), anthracene (ANT), fluoranthene 157 (FLT), pyrene (PYR), benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (CHR), benzo(b)fluoranthene (BbF), 158 benzo[a]pyrene (BghiP), benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF), (BaP). benzo[ghi]perylene 159 dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DahA), indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IND)). Analysis was conducted 160

161	utilizing Agilent Gas Chromatography (GC-7890A) coupled with Flame ionization Detector
162	(FID) Column: HP5 (30m x 320um x 0.25um) along with internal and recovery standards.

164 **2.8 Quality Assurance**

All glassware was thoroughly cleaned and baked in the oven at 140°C for thirty minutes (30mins) and glass syringes at 45°C for one hour. During the extraction procedures, samples were all carefully covered with aluminium foil in order to prevent contamination. All glass pipettes, test tubes, and vials were discarded after single usage.

169

170 2.9 DATA ANALYSIS

Analysis of results was completed by using the sum totals of 28 PCBs and 16 PAHs. One way Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Pos –Hoc Duncan multiple range test was conducted coupled with descriptive analysis means and standard deviations) from the sums of each EDCs compound analyzed. Data was sorted micropellets extracted from surface water and sediment according to sampling locations.

176 **3.0 RESULTS**

177 3.1. Micropellet particles occurrence and distribution among the sampled environmental 178 matrices

The occurrence of micropellets sampled within the environmental matrices indicates pellet particles occurs more in surface water than sediment. Almost all of the plastic micropellet particles (91.6%) were round in shape, with only 8.4% non-cylindrical in shape; maximum occurrence in surface water (67%) and (33%) in sediment sampled (Figure. 2). Most common colour in all size class of micropellet particles extracted in surface water and sediment in all the









- 197 Figure 3: Percentage of plastic micropellet particles with each colour in each size class from each
- 198 environmental matrix.
- 199



201 Figure 4: percentage occurrences of micropellet particles in each sampling points

202

3.2 EDCs contents in extracted pellet particles from surface water and sediment

All micropellets samples contained detectable amount of persistent organic EDCs (figure 5-10), demonstrating the ubiquitous nature of these contaminants. Inter-stations differences in the concentrations of individual EDCs were apparent in all the sampling stations.

207

3.2.1 PCBs in Micropellet particles extracted from surface water and sediments

The Σ PCBs concentration varied between 76 and 1043 ng g⁻¹, which was significantly P (< 0.01 and 0.05) higher in the surface water than in sediment (Figure 5). The maximum Σ PCBs concentration was found at ST 5 from micropellet particles extracted from surface water while the highest notably concentration of PCBs in micropellet particles extracted from sediment was detected in ST 8 (873 ng g^{-1}), with two to three orders of magnitude higher than that recorded for some of the other stations. In respect of sampled matrices PCB 52 and PCB 77 are the most abundance in surface water and sediment while PCB 195 was relatively low in the pellet particles extracted from surface water and sediment (Figure 6 and 7)

- 217
- 218
- 219
- 220



- Figure 5: Mean concentrations of Σ PCBs (28 congeners) in microplastics (ng g⁻¹) in Surface water and sediments.
- Means and standard deviations of three replicates are shown; bars with different letters (a,b,c,d) indicated mean differences among sampling stations according to one-way ANOVA and posthoc Duncan multiple range test; single asterisks (*) indicates p < 0.05 and double asterisks (**)
- 227 indicated p < 0.01 significant difference between sampling station and environmental matrices
- 228
- 229
- 230



- 231
- Figure 6: Mean concentrations of Σ PCBs (28 congeners) extracted from micropellets in surface
- 233 water



Figure 7: Mean concentrations of Σ PCBs (28 congeners) extracted from micropellets in sediment

238

239 3.2.2 PAHs in Micropellet particles extracted from Surface water and sediments

The total PAHs concentration ranged between 46.05 ng g^{-1} (ST1) and 3984.04ng g^{-1} (ST 6) within micropellet particles extracted in the environmental matrices (Figure 8). When individual station were compared in regards to the environmental matrices, all the stations have PAHs types three 5–6 rings PAHs .On the other hand, sites ST1 and ST6 were greatly affected by 2–5rings PAH. Regardless of stations, 3–4 rings PAH dominated in this study (Figure 9 and 10). The PAH diagnostic ratios (Figure8) indicated PAHs that varied among Stations as well as within the environmental matrices). The related distribution pattern for EDCs displayed in ST 7 and ST 6;
contamination of PAHs could be an indication that local contamination sources probably would
have contributed to such difference observed across the sampling station.

249



Figure 8: The concentrations of HEDCs in micropellet (ng g–1) of Σ PAHs (16 congeners). Means and standard deviations of three replicates are shown; bars with different letters (a,b,c,d) indicated mean differences among sampling stations according to one-way ANOVA and posthoc Duncan multiple range test; single asterisks (*) indicates p < 0.05 and double asterisks (**) indicated p < 0.01 significant difference between sampling station and environmental matrices



- 259 Figure 9: The concentrations of Σ PAHs (16 congeners) extracted from micropellets in surface
- 260 water



Figure 10: The concentrations of Σ PAHs (16 congeners) extracted from micropellets in sediment

266

262

265 **4. Discussion**

This study evaluates micropellet particle occurrence and distribution in (surface water and 267 268 sediment) and their associated hydrophobic endocrine disrupting chemicals in the extracted 269 micropellet sampled. In the present study, the micropellet particles collected were higher in 270 surface water than sediment were mostly opaque and white, a finding in agreement with other studies that have reported that most micropellet found in environmental matrices are often white 271 or opaque (Heo et al., 2013; Corcoran, 2015; Veerasingam et al., 2016). This finding is not 272 273 surprising because white micropellet particles are the most common colour manufactured (Redford et al., 1997) worldwide. However, one author have recorded frequency of vellow 274

275 micropellet (Karapanagioti and Klontza, 2007) and according Veerasingam et al. (2016) they 276 are the second most frequently observed micropellet particles often associated with micropellet particles in environmental matrices, a results that was not established in this present study. 277 278 Although, the difference observed probably may be due to difficulties in colour definition by those researchers counting opaque micropellet particles as "yellow" whereas in the present study 279 they were counted as opaque colour. According to Wright et al. (2013), white color composition 280 of micropellet particles are similar in colour to most plankton organisms, a primary food source 281 for most aquatic organisms dwelling in the pelagic zone. The occurrence of micropellets 282 particles within the marine environment is currently well recognized in the water column, at the 283 sea surface and sediments (Law and Thompson, 2014). It has been documented that micropellet 284 particles also accounted for about 10% of all reports of ingestion of aquatic debris, highlighting 285 their importance as a component of aquatic debris (Gall and Thompson, 2015). The size of 286 micropellet particles makes them accessible to organisms with a range of feeding methods, 287 including: filter feeders (mussels, barnacles), deposit feeders (lugworms) and detritivores 288 289 (amphipods, sea cucumbers) and zooplankton (Wright, et al., 2013). Due to diversities in their size, a substantial proportion could possibly be discharged into creek, river, estuaries and the 290 oceans. Micropellet particles have been reported to generally concentrated in the areas of nutrient 291 upwelling (Doyle et al., 2011), which possibly accounted for the significant numbers detected 292 around solid waste litter and probably influenced by local weather condition systems (Moore et 293 al., 2002; Lattin et al., 2004; Reisser et al. 2013). PCBs were the most frequently encountered 294 organic contaminant, and total PCBs on micropellet particles were higher and varied according 295 to geographical location and frequency of pellets occurrence (Rochman, 2015; Fotopoulou and 296 297 Karapanagioti, 2017), nevertheless, PAHs concentrations in micropellet particles obtained in

this study were generally lower than the values reported elsewhere. Some authors reported high concentrations of priority PAHs contamination in micropellet particles collected in coastal region (Ziccardi *et al.*, 2016; Ivleva, *et al.*, 2017;Mendoza, *et al.*, 2016). Sixteen priority PAHs concentration was detected in the floating marine plastic debris collected from Northern Pacific Gyre varied between undetected and 14459 ng g^{-1} (Rios *et al.*, 2010). When compared with the concentrations found in marine environment in previous studies, much higher concentrations of PAHs were obtained in micropellet in this study.

Differences in PAHs level across the sampling stations were apparent, even for stations very 305 close to each other. This probably indicated that there is possibility of input PAHs at 306 preproduction of plastic pellets. The presence of EDCs in the environment may have ecological 307 and health consequences not only for aquatic fauna but also for humans, as EDCs can enter the 308 food chain and bioaccumulates. The range of values of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and 309 polychlorinated biphenyl studied confirmed large fluctuations within the period of study possibly 310 influenced by anthropogenic activities. This study corroborated with the reports of previous 311 312 authors (Nubi, et al., 2010; Amaeze, and Abel-Obi, 2015; Abiodun and Oyeleke, 2016; Soneye, et al., 2018) in South-West Nigeria that plastic waste materials litter the Lagos Lagoon 313 environment. Furthermore, a potential problem associated with micropellet particles 314 contamination is the likelihood of transport of endocrine disrupting chemicals contaminants by 315 plastic waste particles which have been established in this study to adsorbs onto surface of 316 plastic waste materials and may transfer to biota upon ingestion as reported by many authors 317 (Teuten et al., 2009; Devriese et al., 2015; Koelmans et al., 2016; Suaria et al., 2016). 318

319 Conclusion

- The present study showed that micropellet particles are present in environmental matrices with differential affinities for sorption of endocrine disrupting chemicals that may alters the hormonal
- behavioural and physiology of aquatic fauna if injected are likely to threat aquatic resource. This
- 323 calls for urgent monitoring of Lagos lagoon (where plastics products are abundantly
- manufactured and used with reckless abandon) and other coastal region in Nigeria in order to
- mitigate the danger of plastic waste materials in our coastal bodies.
- 326

327 **5.0 Reference**

- Ajagbe, F. E., Osibona, A. O., and Otitoloju, A. A. Diversity of the edible fishes of the Lagos
 lagoon, Nigeria and the public health concerns based on their lead (Pb) content. *International Journal Fish Aquatic*. 2012; 2:55–62.2012.
- 331

Abiodun, O. A. and Oyeleke, P. O. 'Analysis and Seasonal Distribution of Some Heavy Metals
in Sediment of Lagos Lagoon Using Environmental Pollution Indices. 2016;10(2), pp. 1–11. doi:
10.9734/PSIJ/2016/24394.

335

Ajao, E. A. 'Review of the state of pollution of the Lagos Lagoon'. Lagos: Nigerian Institute for
Oceanogrpahy and Marine Research, Victoria Island, Lagos. 1996

338

342

Amaeze, N. H.; Abel-obi, C. J. Coastal Dump Sites in the Lagos lagoon and toxicity of their
leachate on brackish water shrimp (Palaemonetes africanus), *Journal. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage*, 2015;19(3), pp. 503–510.

- Amaeze, N. H., Egonmwan, R. I., Jolaoso, A. F., and Otitoloju, A. A. Coastal Environmental
 Pollution and Fish Species Diversity in Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria. 2012;2, 8–16.
- 345
- Andrady, A. L. Microplastics in the marine environment, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. Elsevier
 Ltd. 2011;62(8), pp. 1596–1605. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.05.030.
- Arthur, C., Bamford, H. and Baker, J. The Occurrence, Effects and Fate of Small Plastic Debris
 in the Oceans The Occurrence, Effects and Fate of Small Plastic Debris in the Oceans. 2016.
- Babayemi, J. O., Ogundiran, M. B., Weber, R., and Osibanjo. O. Initial Inventory of Plastics
 Imports in Nigeria as a Basis for More Sustainable Management Policies. Journal of Health &
 Pollution 2018; 8, 18 -23.
- 355 356
- Bakir, A., Rowland S. J., and Thompson, R. C. Competitive sorption of persistent organic
 pollutants onto microplastics in the marine environment. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2012; 64:
 2782-2789.

- Bakir, A., Rowland, S. J., and Thompson, R. C. Enhanced desorption of persistent organic
 pollutants from microplastics under simulated physiological conditions. Environ Pollution.
 2014;185: 16-23.
- 364
- Bakir, A.; O'Connor, I.A.; Rowland, S.J.; Hendriks, A.J.; Thompson, R.C. Relative importance
 of microplastics as a pathway for the transfer of hydrophobic organic chemicals to marine life.
 Environ. Pollut. 2016, 219, 56–65
- 368
- Bergman, Å., Heindel, J. J., Jobling, S., Kidd, K. A., and Zoeller, R. T., State of the Science of
 Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals 2012. WHO (World Health Organization)/UNEP (United
 Nations Environment Programme). 2013
- 372 373
- Corcoran, P. L. Benthic plastic debris in marine and fresh water environments, *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*. The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2015;17(8), pp. 1363–1369.
 doi: 10.1039/C5EM00188A.
- 377
- 378 Derraik, J. G. The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: A Review . *Marine*379 *Pollution Bulletin.* 2002;44, 842–852
- 380
- Devriese, L. I., *van der Meulen, M. D., Maes, T., Bekaert, K., Paul-Pont, I., Frère, L., et al.,*Microplastic contamination in brown shrimp (Crangon crangon, Linnaeus 1758) from coastal
 waters of the Southern North Sea and Channel area', *Marine Pollution Bulletin.* Elsevier Ltd,
 2015;98(1–2), pp. 179–187. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.06.051.
- 385
- Duis, K. and Coors, A., Microplastics in the aquatic and terrestrial environment: sources (with a specific focus on personal care products), fate and effects, *Environmental Sciences Europe*.
 Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2016; 28(1), pp. 1–25. doi: 10.1186/s12302-015-0069-y.
- 389
- Eriksen, M. M., Sherri., W., Stiv, B., Carolyn, Z., Ann, E. William, F., and Hannah, A., S.
 Microplastic pollution in the surface waters of the Laurentian Great Lakes, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 2013;77(1), pp. 177–182. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.10.007.
- Fotopoulou, K. and Karapanagioti, H. K. Degradation of Various Plastics in the
 Environment'2017. doi: 10.1007/698.
- 396
- Free, C. M. Jensen, O. P. Mason, S. A., Eriksen, M., Williamson, N. J., Boldgiv, B. High-levels
 of microplastic pollution in a large, remote, mountain lake', *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. Elsevier
 Ltd, 2014; pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.06.001.
- 400
- Gall S. C. and Thompson R.C. The impact of debris on marine life. Marine Pollution Bulletin
 .2015; 92(1-2):170-179.
- 403
- Gibb, R., Bunce, M., Mee, L. D., Rodwell, L. D., and Rewhorn, S. Sources, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: a global assessment. Global Change Biology, 9, 10.',

- 406 *Marine Pollution Bulletin.* 2017;44(9), pp. 842–852.
- 407

Heo, N. W., Hong, S. H., Han, G. M., Hong, S., Lee, J., Song, Y. K., et al. Distribution of Small

Plastic Debris in Cross-section and High Strandline on Heungnam Beach, South Korea'. Ocean
Science Journal. 2013; 48(2): 225-233.

411

Ivleva, N. P., Wiesheu, A. C. and Niessner, R. Microplastic in Aquatic Ecosystems
Angewandte', *Wiley online Library*. 2012;1720–1739. doi: 10.1002/anie.201606957.

414

Lattin, G. L., Moore, C. J., Zellers, A. F., Moore, S. L., & Weisberg, S. B.: A comparison of
neustonic plastic and zooplankton at different depths near the southern California shore. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 2004;49(4), 291–294

418

Masura, J., Baker, J., Foster, G., and Arthur, C. Laboratory Methods for the Analysis of
Microplastics in the Marine Environment : Recommendations for quantifying synthetic particles
in waters and sediments. 2015

422

Mato, Y., Isobe, T., Takada, H., Kanehiro, H., Ohtake, C., and Kaminuma, T. Plastic resin
pellets as a transport medium for toxic chemicals in the marine environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2001;35, 318

426

Mendoza, L. M. R., Taniguchi, S. and Karapanagioti, H. K. (2016) 'Advanced Analytical
Techniques for Assessing the Chemical Compounds Related to Microplastics', 75, p. 2017.

430 Moore, C. J., Moore, S. L., Leecaster, M. K., & Weisberg, S. B. A comparison of plastic and 431 plankton in the north Pacific central gyre. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 2001;42(12), 1297–1300

432

Karapanagioti, H. K., and Klontza, I. Testing phenanthrene distribution properties of virgin
plastic pellets and plastic eroded pellets found on Lesvos island beaches (Greece). Mar Environ
Res. 2008 65: 283-290.

436

Koelmans, A. A., Bakir, A., Burton, G A., and Janssen, C. R. 'Microplastic as a Vector for 437 Chemicals in the Aquatic Environment: Critical Review and Model-Supported Reinterpretation 438 of Empirical Studies', Environmental Science & Technology, 50(© 2016 American Chemical 439 Society. 2016; 3315-3326 DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.5b06069), pp. 3315-3326. 440 doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b06069. 441

- Law, K. L., and Thompson, R. C. Oceans. Microplastics in the seas. Science. 2014345: 144-145.
- Nubi, O. A.1; Ajao, E. A.1 and Nubi, A. T. Pollution assessment of the impact of coastal
 activities on Lagos lagoon.2010 doi: 10.4314/swj.v3i2.51800.
- 447

442

448 Olanrewaju, O. O. and Ilemobade, A. A. Waste to Wealth: A Case Study of the Ondo State 449 Integrated Wastes Recycling and Treatment Project, Nigeria', *European Journal of Social*

- 450 *Sciences*. 2009; 8(1), pp. 7–16. Available at: http://wiredspace.wits.ac.za/handle/10539/21416.
- 451

- 452 PlasticEurope 'Plastics the Facts 2015 An analysis of European plastics production , demand
 453 and waste data 2015.
- 454
- PlasticsEurope. Plastics-the Facts. An analysis of European plastics production, demand and
 waste data. Plastics Europe (Association of Plastic Manufacturers), Brussels, p. 44 Belgium.2017
- Redford, D.P., Trulli, H.K., Trulli, W.R. Sources of plastic pellets in the aquatic environment. In:
 Coe, J.M., Rogers, D.B. (Eds.), Marine Debris—Sources, Impacts and Solutions. Springer-
- 460 Verlag, New York, 1997; 335–343.
- 461
- Reisser, J., Shaw, J., Wilcox, C., Hardesty, B. D., Proietti, M., Thums, M., et al., Marine plastic
 pollution in waters around Australia: Characteristics, concentrations, and pathways. *PLoS ONE*,
 2013;8(11), e80466
- 465
- Rios, L. M., Jones, P. R., Moore, C., and Narayan, U. V. Quantitation of persistent organic
 pollutants adsorbed on plastic debris from the northern Pacific gyre's "eastern garbage patch." J
 Environ Monit. 2010;12:2226–2236.
- 469
- Rochman, C. M., Hoh, E., Kurobe, T., and Teh, S. J., (2013) Ingested plastic transfers hazardous
 chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress', *Scientific Reports*. 2013;3. doi: 10.1038/srep03263.
- 472
- 473 Rochman, C. M. The Complex Mixture , Fate and Toxicity of Chemicals Associated with Plastic
 474 Debris in the Marine Environment. 2015;117–140. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3.
- 475
 476 Ryan, P. G., Moore, C. J., Franeker, J. A V., and Moloney, C. L. Monitoring the abundance of
 477 plastic debris in the marine environment', *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of*478 *London. Series B, Biological sciences*. 2009; 364:, pp. 1999–2012. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0207.
- 478 *London. Series D, Biological sciences.* 2009, 504., pp. 1999–2012. doi: 10.1098/180.2008.020
- Soneye, A. S. O., Abiodun, O. A. and Ayeni, A. O. 'Spatial Analysis of Heavy Metals
 Concentration around the Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria', *Savanna Volume*. 2018; 24(2), pp. 106–115.
- Suaria, G., Avio, C. G., Mineo, A., Lattin, G. L., Magaldi1, M. G., Belmonte, G., Moore, C. J.,
 Regoli, F., & Aliani, S. The Mediterranean Plastic Soup : synthetic polymers in Mediterranean
 surface waters', *Nature Publishing Group*. Nature Publishing Group. 2016;1–10. doi:
 10.1038/srep37551.
- Teuten, E. L., Saquing, J. M., Knappe, D. R. U., Barlaz, M. A., Jonsson, S., Bjo⁻rn, A., *et al.*Transport and release of chemicals from plastics to the environment and to wildlife.2009; 2027–
 2045. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0284.
- 491 492
- Thompson, R. C., Olsen, Y. M., Richard P. D., Anthony, R., Steven J. J., Anthony W G, *et al.*Lost at Sea : Where Is All the Plastic ?', 2004; 16–17. doi: 10.1126/science.1094559.
- 495
- 496 Thompson, R. C., Swan, S. H., Moore, C. J. &vom Saal, F. S. Our plastic age. Phil. Trans. R.
- 497 Soc. B. 2009;364, 1973–1976. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2009.0054)

UNEP. Marine plastic debris and microplastics – Global lessons and research to inspire action
 and guide policy change. Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi.', United Nations
 Environment Programme (UNEP), 2016 ISBN No: 978-92-807-3580-6 Job. 2016

502

Veerasingam, S., Saha, M., Suneel, V., Vethamony, P., Rodrigues, A. C., Bhattacharyya, S.,
Naik, B.G. Characteristics, seasonal distribution and surface degradation features of
microplastic pellets along the Goa coast, India', *Chemosphere*. Elsevier Ltd. 2016;159, 496–
505. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.056.

507

Velzeboer, I., Kwadijk, C. J., and Koelmans, A. A. Strong sorption of PCBs to nanoplastics,
microplastics, carbon nanotubes, and fullerenes. Environ Sci Technol. 2014;48:4869–4876.

510

Vethaak, D., and Legler, J. Endocrine Disruption in Wildlife. In: Matthiessen P, editor.
Endocrine Disrupters Hazard Testing and Assessment Methods. United States of America:

- 512 Endocrine Disrupters Hazard Testing a513 Wiley. 2012
- 513 514

Wright, S. L., Rowe, D. and Thompson, R. C. Correspondences Microplastic ingestion decreases
energy reserves in marine worms', *CURBIO*. Elsevier, 2013;23(23), R1031–R1033. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.068.

518

519 Ziccardi, L M., Edgington, A., Hentz, V., Kulacki, K. J., and Driscoll, S.K. Microplastics as 520 Vectors for Bioaccumulation of Hydrophobic Organic Chemicals in the Marine environment : A

520 vectors for Dioaccumulation of Hydrophobic Organic Chemicals in the Marine chydrophobic organic Environment Microplastics As Vectors

522 For Bioaccumulation Of Hydrophobic Organic Chemicals', *SETAC PRESS, Environmental*

523 *Toxicology and Chemistry*. 2016;35(7), pp. 1667–1676. doi: 10.1002/etc.3461.