

1
2 **IN VITRO ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY** OF PLANT
3 **EXTRACTS, HYDROLATES AND ESSENTIAL**
4 **OILS OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS AND**
5 **CONTROL OF CUCUMBER ANTHRACNOSE**

6
7 **Amanda P. Mattos***, Fabricio P. Povh, Bruna B. Rissato, Vítor V.
8 **Schwan, Kátia R. F. Schwan-Estrada**

9
10 Department of Agronomy, State University of Maringa, Av. Colombo, 5790 - Zona 7,
11 Maringá-PR, 87020-900, Brazil.
12
13

14 E-mail address: pradomattosa@gmail.com

15
16
17
18 **ABSTRACT**
19

Aims: This study is aimed to evaluate the *in vitro* antifungal activity effect of the crude aqueous extract (CAE), hydrolate (HY) and essential oil (EO) of *Corymbia citriodora*, *Cymbopogon citratus*, *Cymbopogon flexuosus* and *Curcuma longa* against the phytopathogenic fungi *Alternaria steviae*, *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and *Sclerotium rolfsii*, and assess, *in situ*, the effectiveness of CAE of medicinal plants in reducing the severity of the cucumber anthracnose.

Methodology: The EOs and HYs were obtained by hydrodistillation. The CAEs were prepared by the turbolysis method. Mycelial growth of the fungi was measured daily, by the diametrically opposite method. In the *in vivo* test, the CAEs were sprayed on the cotyledon leaves of healthy cucumber plants with three days after were inoculated with *C. lagenarium*.

The severity of assessment of the disease was based on a scale of notes.

Results: The medicinal plants studied showed antifungal activity against all or almost all pathogens. In general, **treatment** with CAE and HY of *C. longa* revealed the highest inhibition against the fungi tested. With the exception of the EO of *C. longa*, the other EOs showed total inhibition against all the fungi and in all the concentrations tested. Compared to control, in *in vivo* assays CAE of *C. citratus* presents a potential for control of cucumber anthracnose reducing the severity of the disease.

Conclusion: The medicinal plants studied produce compounds associated with antimicrobial activity.

20
21 *Keywords: Alternative control; bioassays; natural plant product; Cucumis sativus.*
22
23

24 **1. INTRODUCTION**
25

26 Plant diseases are responsible for considerable losses in crops of economic
27 importance. For the control of plant diseases, chemical, physical and biological methods

28 have been used [1, 2, 3]. However, the indiscriminate use of chemical agents in agriculture
29 causes serious risks to the environment and to the human health, creating a trend to the use
30 of alternative methods of disease control.

31 For the study and validation of these alternative methods, researches have been
32 carried out *in vitro* to assess the potential of medicinal plants for the control of
33 phytopathogenic fungi using essential oils and aqueous extracts [4, 5]. In addition,
34 researches *in vivo* have been developed in order to verify the resistance-inducing activity of
35 such products. The induction of resistance in plants consists in the use of elicitors to activate
36 the innate defense mechanisms of the plant, being a viable alternative to disease control [6,
37 7].

38 The exploration of the biological activity of secondary compounds present in the
39 crude extract or the essential oil of medicinal plants may constitute, along with resistance
40 induction, another potential form of alternative control of diseases of cultivated plants [8].
41 Studies developed with crude extract and / or essential oil obtained from medicinal plants of
42 the native flora indicated the potential in the control of phytopathogens, by their direct
43 fungitoxic action, inhibiting mycelial growth and spore germination, as well as the induction
44 of phytoalexins and resistance-related proteins, indicating the presence of compounds with
45 the characteristic of elicitors [8; 9, 10, 11].

46 This study is aimed to verify the potential of crude aqueous extracts, hydrolates and
47 essential oils of the plants *Corymbia citriodora* (Hook.) K.D.Hill & L.A.S. Johnson
48 (eucalyptus, Myrtaceae), *Cymbopogon citratus* DC. Stapf (lemongrass, Poaceae),
49 *Cymbopogon flexuosus* (Nees) Stapf (East Indian lemongrass, Poaceae) and *Curcuma*
50 *longa* L. (turmeric, Zingiberaceae) on the mycelial growth of phytopathogenic fungi *Alternaria*
51 *steviae*, *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (Moug. ex Fr.) Ces. & De Not., *Colletotrichum*
52 *gloeosporioides* (Penz.) Penz. & Sacc., and *Sclerotium rolfsii* (Sacc.) West. and assess the
53 potential of CAE of those plants to control the cucumber anthracnose.

54

55 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

56

57 2.1 Obtaining of the crude aqueous extract, hydrolate and essential oil

58

59 To obtain the CAEs (crude aqueous extracts), leaves of the plants *C. citriodora*, *C.*
60 *citratus* and *C. flexuosus* and the root of *C. longa* were used. For the extraction, 25 g of each
61 plant material were weighed, and grounded into fine powder in potato broth (20 g potato
62 boiled in 100 ml of distilled water) for 3 minutes in a blender, resulting in a 100 ml solution of
63 CAE (25%) which was filtered through gauze and Whatman® filter paper n° 1. The essential
64 oil and the hydrolyzate were obtained by hydrodistillation [12].

65

66 2.2 Antifungal activity of crude aqueous extracts, hydrolates and essential 67 oils of medicinal plants

68

69 The different CAEs and Hys (hydrolates) (100 ml each) were individually placed on
70 an Erlenmeyer flask and added to the BDA (Potato, Dextrose, Agar) culture medium. After
71 autoclaving at 121 ° C for 20 min, they were distributed in 9 cm diameter Petri dishes (20
72 mL). Plates containing only BDA were used as controls.

73 The essential oils were distributed on the surface of the solidified BDA culture
74 medium. Aliquots of 10, 20 and 30 µL of *C. longa*; 20, 40 and 60 µL of *C. citratus*; 20, 40, 80
75 and 100 µL of *C. flexuosus*; 20, 40, 60, 100, 200 and 500 µL of *C. citriodora* were added to
76 the medium and spread with the aid of Drigalski's strap.

77 Then, a disk (8 mm diameter) of mycelium of each fungus, taken from 10 days
78 fungal cultures in BDA, was transferred to the center of the respective plates, which were
79 incubated at 25 ± 2 ° C in the absence of light in growth chambers.

80 The evaluation of the effect of CAEs, HYs and EOs on the mycelial growth was
81 performed daily, starting 24h after the incubation, by measurements of the radial growth of
82 the fungal colony on two orthogonal axes, and the mean of the two measurements was
83 taken for calculations. The measurements lasted until the day when the fungal colonies in
84 the control treatment reached two-thirds of the surface of the culture medium.

85 The percent of inhibition of the fungus was calculated according to the following
86 equation:

87
$$\text{IMG (\%)} = ((\text{DC} - \text{DT}) / \text{DC}) \times 100$$

88 where IMG is the percent inhibition; DC is the mean diameter of the control plates
89 and DT is the mean diameter of the treatments (plates with plant extracts).

90 The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design, with five
91 repetitions per treatment, with a Petri dish being the sample unit, in a 4 x 4 + 4 factorial
92 scheme. Statistical analyzes were performed using the R software [13] and the means of
93 mycelial growth inhibition were compared by the Tukey test at 5% of error probability.
94 Factorial treatments were compared with the respective controls using the Dunnett test at a
95 5% probability level.

96

97 2.3 Protection against anthracnose of cucumber by crude aqueous extracts of 98 medicinal plants


99

100 Cucumber seedlings were used as host plants in order to investigate the potential
101 efficacy of crude aqueous extracts of medicinal plants to control cucumber anthracnose
102 caused by the fungus *Colletotrichum lagenarium*.

103 In order to obtain the CAEs, leaves of the plants *C. citriodora*, *C. citratus* and *C.*
104 *flexuosus* and the root of *C. longa* were used. For the extraction, 25 g of each plant material
105 were weighed and grounded separately in distilled water for 3 min in a blender, resulting in a
106 solution of 100 mL of CAE (25%). The material was then filtered through gauze and
107 Whatman® filter paper n° 1, and immediately used.

108 Cucumber seeds were seeded in two styrofoam trays of 200 cells using commercial
109 substrate. After seven days of sowing, the CAEs were individually sprayed on the
110 cotyledonary leaves of the plants until the point of drainage. Three days after the treatment,
111 cucumber plants were inoculated with *Colletotrichum lagenarium* suspension
112 containing 10^5 spores.mL⁻¹ in a humid chamber for 24
113 hours.

120 development
121 days after
122 severity of
123 recorded for
124 and scored
125 without
126 (dead leaf)
127 1.



114 suspension
115 10^5 spores.mL⁻¹
116 inoculation
117 in a humid
118 hours.

119 plants were
120 10mL of a *C.*
121 spore
122 containing 6.4×10^5
123 after
124 plants were kept
125 chamber for 24

126 Disease
127 was recorded 10
128 inoculation. The
129 anthracnose was
130 each treatment
131 from 0 (leaf
132 symptom) to 5
according to Fig.

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

Fig. 1. Scale of notes used to assess the severity of the disease

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design, with five repetitions per treatment, with 6 plants per sample unit. Statistical analyzes were performed using the software R [13] and means were compared by the Tukey test at 5% of error probability.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

151

152

153

3.1 Antifungal activity of the crude aqueous extracts

154

155

156

157

158

159


160

161

162

163

Regarding the pathogens *A. steviae* and *B. dothidea*, the treatment with CAE of *C. longa* showed greater efficiency on reducing the mycelial growth, differing statistically from the others CAEs (Fig. 2) and up to 83% of the control (Table 1). The CAEs of *C. citriodora*, *C. citratus*, *C. flexuosus* and *C. longa* inhibited the mycelial growth of *C. gloeosporioides* up to 39,06% when compared to the control (Table 1) and did not differ statistically from each other (Fig. 2). *C. longa* and *C. citratus* had a greater inhibition of the mycelial growth of *S. rolfsii*, inhibiting up to 89% in relation to the control, and did not differ significantly among them (Fig. 2).



164
165
166

Means followed by the same letter in each fungus do not differ from each other by the Tukey test at a 5% probability level.

167
168

Fig. 2. Mycelial growth of phytopathogenic fungi in BDA medium amended with different crude aqueous extracts (25%) of medicinal plants.

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

Table 1. Mycelial growth (cm) and inhibition of the mycelial growth (IMG - %) of phytopathogenic fungi by the crude aqueous extracts of different medicinal plants compared to the control after incubation at 25±2°C in the dark

Fungi	<i>Curcuma longa</i>		<i>Cymbopogon citratus</i>		<i>Cymbopogon flexuosus</i>		<i>Corymbia citriodora</i>		Control MG
	MG	IMG	MG	IMG	MG	IMG	MG	IMG	
<i>Alternaria steviae</i>	3.37 ⁽⁻⁾	50.91	6.95 ^{ns}	-1.09	5.65 ⁽⁻⁾	17.82	4.25 ⁽⁻⁾	38.18	6.87
<i>Botryosphaeria dothidea</i>	1.88 ⁽⁻⁾	73.68	4.95 ⁽⁻⁾	30.53	5.37 ⁽⁻⁾	24.56	3.40 ⁽⁻⁾	52.28	7.12
<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>	4.15 ⁽⁻⁾	32.79	3.82 ⁽⁻⁾	38.06	5.37 ^{ns}	12.96	3.82 ⁽⁻⁾	38.06	6.17

Sclectium rolfsii 1.17⁽⁻⁾ 83.75 0.75⁽⁻⁾ 89.40 3.37⁽⁻⁾ 52.30 6.35^{ns} 10.25 7.07

177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219

ns: not significant in relation to the control

(-): there was inhibition, mycelial growth was lower than the mycelial growth of the control.

* Negative value indicates higher growth than the control.

The IMG data of *C. longa* CAEs were high compared with studies performed by Balbi-Peña et al. [14], who investigated the antifungal potential of *C. longa* extract at concentrations of 15% on *A. solani* in *in vitro* tests, with inhibition of 23.2%. The *C. longa* extract has three main constituents: curcumin, camphor and α -turmerone [15], which may be responsible for the antifungal action. In relation to the CAE of the lemongrass, similar results were found by Celoto et al. [16] and Moura et al. [17], where the CAE at 20% and 25% concentrations showed 38.0% and 54.5% inhibition of mycelial growth for *C. gloeosporioides in vitro*, respectively.

In this work, the *C. citriodora* CEA inhibited the mycelial growth of *A. steviae*, *B. dothidea* and *C. gloeosporioides* in 38.18, 52.28 and 39.06%, respectively. Different from these results. Ferreira et al. [18] observed total inhibition of mycelial growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* Schltdl f. sp. *passiflorae*, with the CEA of *C. citriodora* in the concentration of 10%.

3.2 Antifungal activity of the hydrolates

HYs did not differ statistically from one another in inhibition of *A. steviae* and *S. rolfsii* fungi (Fig. 3) with a mean of 30.97% and 21.51% inhibition relative to the control, respectively (Table 2). HYs of *C. citriodora* and *C. longa* were more efficient than the others in (Fig. 3), reducing the mycelial growth of *B. dothidea* up to 66.90% (Table 2). For *C. gloeosporioides* the treatments were not efficient, and *C. citriodora* showed the greatest inhibition of mycelial growth compared to the others (27.26%) (Table 2).

The percent inhibition of mycelial growth of the pathogens treated with HY (Table 2) was lower when compared to the treatment with CAE (Table 1). It is possible that this lower fungicidal activity of the hydrolates is derived from low concentrations of antifungal compounds in relation to that of the CAEs.

The HY of the lemongrass did not influence the growth of *B. dothidea*. The HY of *C. flexuosus* did not control *C. gloeosporioides*, as its EAB (Table 2). Moura et al. [17] showed in his study the *in vitro* antifungal activity of lemongrass hydrolate on the mycelial growth of *C. gloeosporioides* of 19.9%, which was similar to the result found (20.23%).

The highest percentage of inhibition was achieved with HY treatment of *C. longa*, which inhibited *B. dothidea* mycelial growth by 69.50%. Unlike CAE (Table 1), HY of *C. longa* was not able to inhibit the growth of *C. gloeosporioides* (Table 2). The hydrolate of other plant species were also not effective in inhibiting mycelial anthracnose growth as observed by Santos et al. [19], which used seeds hydrolate of *Schinus terebinthifolius* Raddi (Anacardiaceae).

<i>Alternaria steviae</i>	5.02 ⁽⁻⁾	28.74	4.25 ⁽⁻⁾	39.40	4.97 ⁽⁻⁾	29.30	5.17 ⁽⁻⁾	26.46	7.05
<i>Botryosphaeria dothidea</i>	2.32 ⁽⁻⁾	69.50	6.90 ^{ns}	10.30	6.02 ⁽⁻⁾	21.50	2.72 ⁽⁻⁾	64.39	7.70
<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>	6.52 ^{ns}	5.53	5.52 ⁽⁻⁾	20.23	6.95 ^{ns}	-0.43*	5.02 ⁽⁻⁾	27.26	6.92
<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>	5.82 ⁽⁻⁾	19.00	4.52 ⁽⁻⁾	37.12	5.72 ⁽⁻⁾	10.55	5.80 ⁽⁻⁾	19.38	7.20

243 ns: not significant, that is, there was no significant difference compared to the control.
 244 (-): there was inhibition, the mycelial growth was lower than the mycelial growth of the
 245 control.
 246 * Negative value indicates higher growth than the control.
 247


248 The use of hydrolates in the control of plant diseases is still scarce. The choice of
 249 the use of essential oils and crude extracts can be justified by the higher concentration of
 250 antimicrobial compounds in their compositions. Unlike the hydrolate that is more diluted, but
 251 also the others present compounds with significant antimicrobial activity as demonstrated in
 252 studies such as Moura et al. [20] where the hydrolate promoted the inhibition of the bacterial
 253 multiplication at 100% concentration, 65.3% for *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris*,
 254 32.5% for *Erwinia carotovora* and 87.9% for *Bacillus subtilis*.
 255

256 3.3 Antifungal activity of essential oils

257 The essential oils of all species of medicinal plants evaluated, with the exception of
 258 saffron, were 100% effective at all concentrations against all fungi. Ramos et al. [5], found
 259 similar results in a study where the total inhibition of the mycelial growth of *C.*
 260 *gloeosporioides* by the essential oils of *C. citratus* and *C. citriodora* was observed in the
 261 concentrations of 6.25% and 3.2%, respectively. The antifungal activity of citral, the major
 262 constituent of the essential oil of *C. citratus*, may cause rupture of the cell membrane
 263 integrity and extravasation of the cellular components of the microorganisms. In the present
 264 study, it was found that this constituent in particular was more effective than the essential oil
 265 itself, with absence of the mycelial growth of *R. solani* and *S. rolfsii* [21, 22].
 266

267 *Curcuma longa* EO completely inhibited the mycelial growth of *S. rolfsii* at all
 268 concentrations tested. In relation to the other pathogens, there was no significant difference
 269 in the mycelial growth, which was 40% for *C. gloeosporioides*, 60% for *B. dothidea* and 30%
 270 for *A. steviae* (Fig. 4).
 271

272 Other pathogens also had inhibited growth by *C. longa* EO, as shown in antifungal
 273 tests, which at 5000ppm showed inhibition of 74.4% for *Fusarium oxysporum*, 83.3% for
 274 *Alternaria dianthi* and 80.0% for *Curvularia trifolii* f. sp. *gladioli* [23].



275
276
277
278
279

Fig. 4. Inhibition of mycelial growth (%) of phytopathogenic fungi by *Curcuma longa* essential oil at different concentrations.

280
281
282
283

3.4 Protection against anthracnose of cucumber by crude aqueous extracts of medicinal plants

284
285
286
287
288
289
290

The control obtained the mean score of 4.1, according to the note scale, and the treatments with *C. longa*, *C. flexuosus* and *C. citriodora* obtained the notes 4.4, 4.3 and 3.6 respectively, not significantly differing from the control. Therefore, the CAEs of these three species did not present an eliciting characteristic in the cucumber against anthracnose. However, studies such as those of Bonaldo et al. [24], demonstrate that the non-autoclaved aqueous extract of *E. citriodora* has the potential to induce local resistance in cucumber against *C. lagenarium*.

291
292
293
294
295


Similarly, Alsahli et al. [15] demonstrate the efficiency of the use of *C. longa* extract to induce resistance in sunflower against *Fusarium*, inducing proteins related to glutathione S-transferase 6 resistance, ascorbate peroxidase, defensin and chitinase. This demonstrates the importance of the pathosystem as a strong influence on the efficiency of resistance induction.

296
297

Only the CAE of *C. citratus* reduced the severity of disease, presenting a score of 0.47, differing statistically from the control (Fig. 5).

298
299
300
301
302
303
304

It was also possible to observe that the resistance induction promoted by CAE of *C. citratus* presented a systemic effect, since in the first true leaf of each plant no symptoms appeared of the disease even without receiving the treatment with CAE, unlike the other treatments. The systemic effect can be observed at plant sites far from the site of application of the inducer, providing a lasting protection against the secondary infections caused by pathogens [25].



305
 306 Means followed by the same letter do not differ from each other by the Tukey
 307 test at 5% of probability level.

308 **Fig. 5. Protection against anthracnose of cucumber by crude aqueous extract of**
 309 **different medicinal plants.**

310
 311 It is likely that *C. citratus* CAE induced a defense mechanism in cucumber, requiring
 312 further studies to determine exactly the mechanism (s) of induced defense (s).
 313

314

315 **4. CONCLUSION**

316
 317 The medicinal plants studied produce compounds associated with antimicrobial
 318 activity. Regarding the control of anthracnose in cucumber it was observed that *C. citratus*
 319 reduced the severity of the disease when applied prior to the inoculation, the effect was
 320 systemic and that possibly activated some defense mechanism in the plant.
 321

322

323 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

324 To the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) for
 325 granting scholarship to the researcher Amanda P. Mattos. To the Conselho Nacional de
 326 Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for granting scholarship to researchers
 327 Bruna B. Rissato, Vitor V. Schwan and Katia R. F. Scwhan-Estrada.
 328

329

330 **CONSENT**

331 It is not applicable.

332

333 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

334 It is not applicable.
 335

336 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

337

338 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

339

340 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

341 This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved
342 the final manuscript.

343

344 **REFERENCES**

345

- 346 1. Desssaalegn Y, Ayalew A, Woldetsadik K. Integrating plant defense inducing
347 chemical, inorganic salt and hot water treatments for the management of
348 postharvest mango anthracnose. *Postharvest biology and technology*. 2013; 85:83-
349 88.
- 350 2. Sripong K, Jitareerat P, Tsuyumu S, Uthairatanakij A, Srilaong V, Wongs-Aree C,
351 Ma G, Zhang, Ikato M. Combined treatment with hot water and uv-c elicits disease
352 resistance against anthracnose and improves the quality of harvested mangoes.
353 *Crop protection*. 2015; 77:1-8.
- 354 3. Fischer IH, Moraes MF, Palharini MCA, Fileti, MS, Cruz JCS, Firmino AC. Effect of
355 conventional and alternative products on postharvest disease control in avocados.
356 *Revista brasileira de fruticultura, Jaboticabal*. 2018;40(1):1-10.
- 357 4. Fonseca MCM, Lehner MS, Gonçalves MG, Paula Júnior TJ, Silva AF, Bonfim
358 F.P.G, Prado AL. Potential of essential oils from medicinal plants to control plant
359 pathogens. *Revista brasileira de plantas medicinais*. 2015;17(1):45-50.
- 360 5. Ramos K, Andreani Jr R, Kozusny-Andreani DI. Óleos essenciais e vegetais no
361 controle *in vitro* de *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. *Revista brasileira de plantas*
362 *medicinais, campinas*. 2016; 18(2):605-612.
- 363 6. Abouraïcha E, El Alaoui-Talibi Z, El Boutachfaiti R, Petit E, Courtois B, Courtois J, El
364 Modafar C. Induction of natural defense and protection against *Penicillium*
365 *expansum* and *Botrytis cinerea* in apple fruit in response to bioelicitors isolated from
366 green algae. *Scientia horticulturae*. 2015; 181:121-128.
367 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2014.11.002>
- 368 7. Llorens E, Vicedo B, López MM, LapeñaL, Graham JH, García-Agustín P. Induced
369 resistance in sweet orange against *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* by hexanoic acid.
370 *Crop protection*. 2015;74:77-84.
- 371 8. Stangarlin JR, Schwan-Estrada KRF, Cruz MES, Nozaki MH. Plantas medicinais.
372 *Revista. Biotecnologia, ciência e desenvolvimento*. 1999; 11:16-21.
- 373 9. Brand SC, Blume E, Muniz MFB, Milanesi PM, Scheren MB, Antonello IM. Garlic
374 and rosemary extracts in the induction of phaseollin in beans and fungitoxicity on
375 *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum*. *Ciencia rural*, 2010; 40(9):1881.
- 376 10. Matiello J, Bonaldo SM. Atividade elicitora de fitoalexinas em soja e sorgo por
377 extratos e tinturas de espécies medicinais. *Revista brasileira de plantas medicinais,*
378 *campinas*, 2013; 15(4):541-550.
- 379 11. Krzyzaniak Y, Trouvelot S, Negrel J, Cluzet S, Valls J, Richard T, Bougaud A,
380 Jacquens L, Klinguer A, Chiltz A, Adrian M, Héloir M. A plant extract acts both as a
381 resistance inducer and anoomycide against grapevine downy mildew. *Frontiers in*
382 *plant science*. 2018;9:1085.

- 383 12. Teske M, Trentini AMM. Herbarium – compêndio de fitoterapia. Curitiba: herbarium,
384 1997. 317p.
- 385 13. Ihaka R, Gentleman R. R: a language for data analysis and graphics. Journal of
386 computational and graphical statistics, 1996; 5(3):299-314.
- 387 14. Balbi-Peña, M. L, Becker A, Stangarlin JR, Franzener G, Lopes MC, Schwan-
388 Estrada KRF. Controle de *Alternaria solani* em tomateiro por extratos de *Curcuma*
389 *longa* e curcumina: i. Avaliação *in vitro*. Fitopatologia brasileira. 2006; 31(3):310-
390 314.
- 391 15. Alsahli A, Alaraidh I, Rashad Y, Abdel Razil E. Extract from *curcuma longa* L.
392 Triggers the sunflower immune system and induces defence-related genes against
393 fusarium root rot. Phytopathologia mediterranea. 2018; 57(1):26-36.
- 394 16. Celoto MLB, Papa MFS, Sacramento LVS, Celoto FJ. Antifungal activity of plant
395 extracts to *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. *Acta science agronomy*. 2008; 30(1):01-
396 05.
- 397 17. Moura GS, Schwan-Estrada, KRF, Alves APF, Franzener G, Stangarlin JR. Control
398 of anthracnose in yellow passion fruit by lemon grass (*Cymbopogon citratus*)
399 derivatives. Arquivos do instituto biologic. 2012; 79(3):371-379.
- 400 18. Ferreira RB, Rodrigues AAC, Moraes FHR, Silva EKC, Nascimento IO. Organic
401 residues in control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. Sp. *passiflorae* in yellow passion fruit
402 (*passiflora edulis* f. *Flavicarpa*). Acta biológica colombiana. 2015; 20(3):111-120.
- 403 19. Santos MC, Oliveira Junior LFG, Oliveira LFM, Carvalho CRD, Gagliardi RR. Perfil
404 volátil e potencial fungitóxico do hidrolato e extrato de sementes e folhas de
405 *Schinus terebinthifolius* raddi. Revista ciência agrônômica. 2014; 45(2):284-289.
- 406 20. Moura GS, Franzener G, Stangarlin JR, Schwan-Estrada KRF. Antimicrobial activity
407 and phytoalexin induction of *Baccharis trimera* (less.) Dc. Hydrolate. Revista
408 brasileira de plantas medicinais. 2014; 16(2):309-315.
- 409 21. Zhou H, Tao N, Jia L. Antifungal activity of citral, octanal and α -terpineol against
410 *geotrichumcitri-aurantii*. Food control. 2014; 37:277-283.
- 411 22. Gonçalves AH, Pereira AS, Santos GRS, Guimarães LGL. Atividade fungitóxica *in*
412 *vitro* dos óleos essenciais de *Lippia sidoides* cham. *cymbopogon citratus* (d.c.) stapf.
413 e de seus constituintes majoritários no controle de *Rhizoctonia solani* e *Sclerotium*
414 *Rolfsii*. Revista brasileira de plantas medicinais, campinas. 2015; 17(4):1007-1015.
- 415 23. Kiran Babu GD, Shanmugam V, Ravindranath SD, Joshi VP. Comparison of
416 chemical composition and antifungal activity of *Curcuma longa* L. Leaf oils produced
417 by different water distillation techniques. Flavour and fragrance journal. 2007;
418 22:191–196.
- 419 24. Bonaldo SM, Schwan-Estrada KRF, Stangarlin JR, Tessmann DJ, Scapim CA.
420 Fungitoxicidade, atividade elicitora de fitoalexinas e proteção de pepino contra
421 *Colletotrichum lagenarium*, pelo extrato aquoso de *Eucalyptus citriodora*.
422 Fitopatologia brasileira, Brasília. 2004; 29(2):128-134.
- 423 25. Diaz-puentes LN. Resistencia sistémica adquirida mediada por el ácido salicílico.
424 Biotecnología em el sector agropecuario y agroindustrial. 2012; 10 (2):257– 267.