

**STUDIES ON THE MINERAL COMPOSITIONS AND ORGANOLEPTIC  
PROPERTIES OF FERMENTED AND EXTRUDED RIPE PLANTAIN AND  
GROUNDNUT BLEND**

**Abstract**

Extrusion cooking is one of the most efficient and versatile food processing technologies that can be used to produce pre-cooked and dehydrated food products. This study aimed at investigating the mineral compositions and organoleptic properties of fermented and extruded ripe plantain and groundnut blend. Ripe plantain and groundnut samples were obtained from Oja Oba market, Akure. The dehauled groundnut seeds were milled to give a paste after which the oil was removed to give fine flour, plantains were dried and milled and both were kept in an airtight container before use. The unripe plantain and groundnut flours were formulated in the ratio of (ripe plantain: groundnut) 100:0; 80:20; 60:40; 50:50 and 0:100 Sample A (100:0) = 100% ripe plantain flour Sample B (80:20) = 80% ripe plantain flour and 20% groundnut flour, Sample C (60:40) = 60% ripe plantain flour and 40% groundnut flour, Sample D (50:50) = 50% ripe plantain flour and 50% groundnut flour and Sample E (0:100) = 100% groundnut flour. A batch of the flour blends was fermented using submerged state fermentation method for 96 hours. The fermentation process was terminated by oven drying at 60°C for 24 hours and later extruded. The sensory evaluation was carried out on the products. The study revealed that fermentation had significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) effects on high sodium contents (ranging from 37.90±0.00 to 44.80±0.01 mg/g) of the blends, potassium (K) content was highest in fermented blends with values ranging from 115.23±0.31 to 125.06±0.06 mg/g, extrusion and fermentation increased magnesium and calcium contents ranging from 18.00±0.57 to 150.0±0.00 and 50.01±0.24 to 220.0±0.57 mg/g respectively of the blends significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) while there was no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in iron content between all the blends. Fermented blends had the highest overall acceptability. The investigation so far revealed that the blending of ripe plantain and groundnut has the potential of producing enriched complementary food for teeming malnourished children of developing countries.

Keywords: fermentation, extrusion, blends, mineral composition, sensory evaluation

**INTRODUCTION**

Plantain, (*Musa paradisiaca*) is loosely applied to any banana cultivar that is eaten when cooked. However, there is no formal botanical distinction between bananas and plantains. Cooking is also a matter of custom, rather than necessity. Ripe plantains can be eaten raw since

38 the starches are converted to sugars as they ripen. In some countries, there may be a clear  
39 distinction between plantains and bananas, but in other countries, where many cultivars are  
40 consumed, the distinction is not made in the common names used. In more formal usage, the  
41 term 'plantain' is used only for 'true' plantains, while other starchy cultivars also used for  
42 cooking are called 'bananas' (Cronauer *et al.*, 2012). Plantains are a major staple food in West  
43 and Central Africa, the Caribbean islands, Central America and Northern Coastal parts of South  
44 America. They are treated as a starchy fruit with a relatively neutral flavor and soft texture  
45 when cooked. As with all bananas, part of the attractiveness of plantains as food is that they  
46 fruit all year round, making them a reliable all-season staple food. (Valmayor *et al.*, 2000).

47 Mature, yellow plantains can be peeled like typical dessert bananas, the pulp is softer than in  
48 immature green fruit and some of the starch has been converted to sugar (Egbebi and  
49 Badamosi, 2011). The chemical compositions of plantains vary due to the following such as  
50 maturity, degree of ripeness, soil type, variety and climate (Zakpaa *et al.*, 2010). Before its  
51 consumption plantain can be roasted, boiled with beans or tomatoes, cooked, baked, sliced and  
52 fried into chips, dehydrated for preservation and to serve as composite ingredients in industries  
53 for making baby foods (Odenigbo *et al.*, 2013).

54 In traditional medicine, plantain is very useful in the cure of different diseases such as  
55 cardiovascular and kidney problems, dehydration in infants and diabetic patients or people with  
56 arthritis and gastro-intestinal ulcers (Opeke, 2006).

57 It has also been used in the treatment of asthma and bronchitis, diarrhoea and constipation; the  
58 peel of ripe plantain has antiseptic properties and is used to prepare a poultice for wounds or  
59 even applied directly to a wound in an emergency; leaves of plantain have been used  
60 medicinally for a range of disorder from headache to urinary tract infections, the stem juice was  
61 considered as a remedy for gonorrhoea (Skinner, 2005).

62 Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) belongs to the family Fabaceae/Leguminosae, it is commonly  
63 known as the bean or pea family. It is a legume crop grown mainly for its edible seed. It is  
64 widely grown in the tropics and subtropics, being important to both small and large commercial  
65 producers. It is classified as both a grain legume and, because of its high oil content, an oil  
66 crop. (Seijo *et al.*, 2007). It is an herbaceous plant of which there are different varieties such as  
67 Boro light, Boro Red, Mokwa, Campala, Guta and Ela (Anyasor, 2009). Groundnut (*A.*  
68 *hypogaea*) is the fifth most produced oil crop worldwide (USDA, 2013). Groundnut production  
69 worldwide is reported to be greater than 36 million tons per year (USDA, 2013). Based on  
70 current statistics, 42million tonnes of groundnuts are produced. Typically among crop plants,  
71 groundnut pods develop underground rather than aboveground. The major producers are China,  
72 United States, Nigeria and Sudan (FAOSTAT, 2014).

73 Most groundnuts are processed into groundnut cake and edible oil and processed into animal  
74 feed and as soil fertilizer, while others are used for industrial purposes such as soaps, polish,  
75 insecticides and nitroglycerin (Heuze *et al.*, 2017). In the previous study as reported by Yu *et*  
76 *al.*, (2007), the functional properties of defatted groundnut flour such as emulsification, bulk  
77 density, viscosity, and water and oil absorption were essential in food processing and  
78 formulation of food product. The regular consumption of groundnut and groundnut products  
79 help to lower the blood cholesterol level (Lokko *et al.*, 2007). Kris-etherton *et al* (2008)  
80 reviewed the scientific data concerning groundnut consumption and coronary heart disease and  
81 concluded that regular consumption of groundnuts significantly reduces risk.

82 Fermentation in food processing is the process of converting carbohydrates to alcohol or  
83 organic acids using microorganisms under anaerobic conditions (Ojokoh and Ajayi-Choco,  
84 2018). Fermentation usually implies that the action of microorganisms is desired. The science of  
85 fermentation is known as zymology or zymurgy (McGovern *et al.*, 2004). The term  
86 fermentation sometimes refers specifically to the chemical conversion of sugars into ethanol,

87 producing alcoholic drinks such as wine, beer, and cider. However, similar processes take place  
88 in the leavening of bread (CO<sub>2</sub> produced by yeast activity), and in the preservation of sour  
89 foods with the production of lactic acids, such as in sauerkraut and yoghurt.

90 Fermentation is a very important process that allows the utilization of microorganisms to  
91 breakdown complex compounds to yield a unique tasting and aromatic foods, meet the  
92 requirements of low-cost, prevent food spoilage and foodborne diseases (Ojokoh, 2014). Not  
93 only does the process of fermentation preserve foods, but fermentation also improves  
94 digestibility by breaking down proteins within various foods and have been known to enrich  
95 substrates with nutritional essentials, such as vitamins, amino acids and fatty acids (Achi,  
96 2005). All over the world, fermented foods are known to provide an important part of the  
97 human diet. Fermented foods and beverages provide about 20- 40% of human food supply  
98 (Fagbemi *et al.*, 2005).

99 Extrusion cooking is one of the most efficient and versatile food processing technologies that  
100 can be used to produce pre-cooked and dehydrated food products such as snacks food, baby  
101 foods, breakfast cereals, noodles, pastas and cereal based blends. Cereals in turn are the  
102 customary, traditional snacks ingredient due to their high starch content (Perez-Navarret *et al.*,  
103 2006).

104 During extrusion, a set of mixed ingredients are forced through an opening in a perforated  
105 plate or die with a design specific to the food, and is then cut to a specified size by blades.  
106 Extrusion cooking was adopted for use in nutrition intervention projects mostly for  
107 malnourished individuals in many less-developed continents like Asia, Latin America and  
108 Africa (Osundahunsi, 2006). The machine which forces the mix through the die is an extruder,  
109 and the mix is known as the extrudate. Many food extrusion processes involve a high  
110 temperature over a short time. The first extruder was designed to manufacture sausages in the  
111 1870s (Karwe *et al.*, 2008). Extrusion enables mass production of food via a continuous

112 efficient system that ensures uniformity of the final product. This is achieved by controlling  
113 various aspects of the extrusion process. It has also enabled the production of new processed  
114 food products and revolutionized many conventional snack manufacturing processes (Riaz *et*  
115 *al.*, 2000).

## 116 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 117 **Collection of Raw Materials**

118 Ripe plantain and groundnut sample were obtained from Oja Oba market in Akure,  
119 Ondo State, Nigeria. The samples were kept in a sterile transparent polythene bag and then  
120 transported to microbiology laboratory FUTA, for further analysis.

### 121 **Processing of Ripe Plantain Flour**

122 Ripe plantain was sorted for maturity and washed with water. The healthy ripe  
123 plantain was peeled and sliced thinly into 3mm diameter and oven dried at 40°C for 72hours.  
124 The dried ripe plantain was then fed into an attrition mill. The milled flour was sieved with a  
125 mesh sieve into fine flour and kept in an airtight container before use.

### 126 **Processing of Groundnut Flour**

127 Groundnut seeds were cleaned by sorting out dirt and stones. The cleaned  
128 groundnut seeds were coarsely milled to separate the coat from the cotyledon. The husk was  
129 separated from the seed by blowing air into it. The dehauled groundnut seeds were milled to  
130 give a paste after which the oil was removed to give fine flour using an attrition mill after  
131 which it was sieved through a mesh. The groundnut flour was kept in an airtight container  
132 before use.

### 133 **Formation of Groundnut-Plantain flour**

134 The unripe plantain and groundnut flours were formulated in the ratio of (ripe plantain:  
135 groundnut) 100:0; 80:20; 60:40; 50:50 and 0:100 Sample A (100:0) = 100% ripe plantain flour  
136 Sample B (80:20) = 80% ripe plantain flour and 20% groundnut flour, Sample C (60:40) = 60%

137 ripe plantain flour and 40% groundnut flour, Sample D (50:50) = 50% ripe plantain flour and  
138 50% groundnut flour and Sample E (0:100) =100% groundnut flour.

### 139 **Fermentation of Ripe Plantain and Groundnut Blends**

140 A batch of the flour blends was fermented using submerged state fermentation method for 96  
141 hours. The fermentation process was terminated by oven drying at 60°C for 24 hours.

### 142 **Extrusion of the Samples**

143 The extrusion process was carried out in a Brabender 20DN single screw laboratory  
144 extruder (Brabender OHG, Duisburg, Germany) having a uniform tapered screw with a  
145 nominal compression ratio of 2:1, diameter 19mm, length to diameter 20:1, die diameter 3mm  
146 and screw speed at feed inlet which was kept constant at 30rpm. Electrical heating was applied  
147 to the three barrel zones along the screw. The screw speed was maintained at 200rpm.

148 Two batches of samples were subjected to extrusion cooking. The first batch consists of the  
149 unfermented blends while the second batch was the fermented blends. The blends were  
150 hydrated and preconditioned by adding 10ml of water to 100g of the sample and manually  
151 mixed in a sterile bowl to ensure even distribution of water and form a dough. The dough were  
152 extruded using a Brabender 20DN single-screw laboratory extruder (Brabender OHG,  
153 Duisburg, Germany). All the extrudates were air dried for 12hours after which they were stored  
154 at  $38\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  in sterile polyethylene bags and kept in properly labelled airtight containers

### 155 **Mineral Compositions**

156 The mineral content was analysed from the solutions obtained by first dry-ashing the  
157 sample. The ash in 10% (vol/vol) HCl was filtered and made up to the mark in a 100ml  
158 volumetric flask using distilled de-ionised water. Sodium and potassium were determined by  
159 flame photometry while calcium, magnesium and iron were determined by atomic absorption  
160 spectrophotometer (AAS) (AOAC, 2012).

### 161 **Sensory Evaluation**

162 The sensory evaluation was done by the method of panel of 15 judges, samples of the raw flour  
163 blend, extruded unfermented (EUF), fermented extruded (FE) flour blend and fermented  
164 unextruded flour blend (FUE), and was served to the panel. The panels rated the samples based  
165 on the colour, aroma, texture, taste and overall acceptability by grading them on a nine-point  
166 hedonic scale.

167	Like extremely	= 9
168	Like very much	= 8
169	Like moderately	= 7
170	Like slightly	= 6
171	Neither Like nor Dislike	= 5
172	Dislike slightly	= 4
173	Dislike moderately	= 3
174	Dislike very much	= 2
175	Dislike extremely	= 1

## 176 **Data analysis**

177 **Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed by using XLSTAT software.**

178

## 179 **RESULTS**

### 180 **Changes in the Mineral Compositions of Ripe Plantain and Groundnut flour blends**

#### 181 **a. The sodium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

182 The changes in the sodium content of the blends are represented in Table 1. The sodium  
183 content for the raw blends ranged from  $0.50\pm 0.09$  to  $7.20\pm 0.05$ . Fermented blends exhibited  
184 values ranging from  $37.90\pm 0.00$  to  $44.80\pm 0.01$ . Extruded unfermented blend had a sodium  
185 content of  $4.70\pm 0.01$  to  $18.00\pm 1.73$ . Extruded fermented blends exhibited sodium content ranging from  
186  $6.20\pm 0.00$  to  $22.63\pm 0.00$ .

#### 187 **b. Potassium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

188 The potassium contents of the blends were shown in Table 1. There was a significant  
189 difference ( $p\leq 0.05$ ) in all the samples. Potassium (K) content was highest in Fermented blends

190 with values ranging from 115.23±0.31 to 125.06±0.06. Raw flour blends exhibited the lowest  
191 potassium content with values ranging from 60.31±0.03 to 73.51±0.16.

### 192 **c. Magnesium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

193 Magnesium content of the samples is shown in Table 1. There was no significant  
194 difference ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the raw blends. Fermented blends exhibited values ranging from  
195 12.03±0.03 to 120.00±0.00. Extruded unfermented blend exhibited values ranging from 4.14±0.00 to  
196 5.00±0.57. Extruded fermented blends had values ranging from 18.00±0.57 to 150.0±0.00.

### 197 **d. Calcium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

198 The calcium content of plantain-groundnut blends are shown in Table 1. The raw blends  
199 recorded values ranging from 33.80±0.05 to 41.47±0.15. Fermented blend exhibited values  
200 ranging from 40.03±0.03 to 200.00±0.00. Extruded unfermented blend had calcium content ranging  
201 from 36.58±0.55 to 45.31±0.06. Extruded fermented blends ranged from 50.01±0.24 to 220.0±0.57

### 202 **e. Iron content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends**

203 The iron content of ripe-plantain-groundnut blends is shown in Table 1. There was no  
204 significant difference ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) between all the blends. The raw blends had values ranging from  
205 from 1.82±0.02 to 2.61±0.06. Fermented blends exhibited values ranging from 1.02±0.01 to 5.61±0.03.  
206 Extruded unfermented blends had iron content ranging from 0.66±0.06 to 1.24±0.14. Extruded  
207 fermented samples had values ranging from 0.87±0.03 to 2.90±0.01.

208 **Table 1: Mineral composition of ripe plantain and defatted groundnut blends**

SAMPLE	Na(mg/g)	K(mg/g)	Mg(mg/g)	Ca(mg/g)	Fe(mg/g)
RA	2.78±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	67.90±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	4.53±0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	33.80±0.05 <sup>d</sup>	2.61±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
RB	2.40±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	69.00±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	4.60±0.08 <sup>ab</sup>	29.47±0.14 <sup>c</sup>	2.11±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
RC	6.00±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	62.70±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	5.09±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	41.47±0.15 <sup>i</sup>	1.97±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
RD	0.50±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	60.31±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	4.51±0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	27.60±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.82±0.02 <sup>a</sup>
RE	7.20±0.01 <sup>e</sup>	73.51±0.16 <sup>f</sup>	4.15±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	23.40±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.52±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
FA	39.53±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	125.06±0.06 <sup>q</sup>	120.00±0.00 <sup>k</sup>	200.00±0.00 <sup>p</sup>	2.93±0.04 <sup>a</sup>



<b>FB</b>	40.70±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	118.11±0.28 <sup>n</sup>	72.00±0.00 <sup>i</sup>	140.02±0.41 <sup>o</sup>	1.02±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
<b>FC</b>	37.90±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	115.23±0.31 <sup>m</sup>	48.06±0.18 <sup>g</sup>	140.00±0.57 <sup>o</sup>	5.61±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
<b>FD</b>	42.24±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	120.53±0.31 <sup>o</sup>	12.03±0.03 <sup>c</sup>	120.01±0.22 <sup>m</sup>	1.08±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
<b>FE</b>	44.80±0.01 <sup>e</sup>	123.33±0.20 <sup>p</sup>	24.00±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	40.03±0.03 <sup>g</sup>	2.21±0.66 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EUA</b>	18.00±1.73 <sup>e</sup>	84.14±0.43 <sup>k</sup>	5.00±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	45.31±0.06 <sup>j</sup>	1.24±0.14 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EUB</b>	6.90±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	80.70±0.00 <sup>j</sup>	4.60±0.10 <sup>ab</sup>	40.22±0.06 <sup>gh</sup>	1.16±0.09 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EUC</b>	4.70±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	72.07±0.20 <sup>e</sup>	3.88±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	38.70±0.06 <sup>f</sup>	0.66±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EUD</b>	14.54±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	79.00±0.00 <sup>i</sup>	4.45±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	36.58±0.55 <sup>e</sup>	0.91±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EUE</b>	10.90±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	76.00±0.57 <sup>h</sup>	4.14±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	40.92±0.01 <sup>hi</sup>	0.70±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EFA</b>	22.63±0.00 <sup>e</sup>	88.28±0.31 <sup>l</sup>	150.0±0.00 <sup>l</sup>	220.0±0.57 <sup>q</sup>	2.90±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EFB</b>	9.70±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	81.00±0.11 <sup>j</sup>	77.10±0.00 <sup>j</sup>	130.00±0.00 <sup>n</sup>	1.59±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EFC</b>	6.20±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	74.33±0.08 <sup>g</sup>	50.00±0.00 <sup>h</sup>	120.03±0.31 <sup>m</sup>	1.82±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EFD</b>	19.09±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	84.30±0.65 <sup>k</sup>	18.00±0.57 <sup>d</sup>	90.03±0.31 <sup>l</sup>	0.87±0.03 <sup>a</sup>
<b>EFE</b>	11.50±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	76.54±0.08 <sup>h</sup>	27.00±0.57 <sup>f</sup>	50.01±0.24 <sup>k</sup>	1.76±0.00 <sup>a</sup>

209 **Values are means of triplicate determinations ± SD. Means in the same column with**  
210 **different superscripts are significantly different (p≤0.05)**

211 Keys:

212 RA= Plantain 100g, RB= Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, RC= Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, RD= Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g,  
213 RE= Groundnut 100g, FA= Fermented Plantain 100g, FB= Fermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, FC= Fermented Plantain  
214 60g Groundnut 40g, FD= Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g, FE= Fermented Groundnut 100%. EUA=Extruded  
215 Unfermented Plantain 100g, EUB=Extruded Unfermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, EUC=Extruded Unfermented Plantain  
216 60g Groundnut 40g, EUD= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g, EUE= Extruded unfermented Groundnut 100g,  
217 EFA-Extruded Fermented Plantain 100g, EFB-Extruded Fermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, EFC-Extruded Fermented  
218 Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, EFD-Extruded Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g EFE- Extruded Fermented 100g.

219

## 220 **Organoleptic Analysis (Sensory Evaluation) of Plantain-Groundnut Blends**

221 The result obtained in the evaluation demonstrated that there was no significant  
222 difference in the blends for colour, texture, aroma, taste and overall acceptability. Fermented  
223 blends and extruded unfermented blend recorded low values for colour. Raw blends, fermented  
224 blends and extruded fermented blends recorded highest values for texture. Fermented blends  
225 had the highest value for taste. Fermented blends recorded highest value for aroma. Raw  
226 blends, fermented blends and extruded fermented for overall acceptability. This result is  
227 represented in Table 2.

228 **Table 2: Sensory evaluation of ripe plantain- groundnut blends.**

Samples	Colour	Texture	Taste	Aroma	Overall acceptability
RA	8.00±0.19 <sup>fg</sup>	8.06±0.15 <sup>efgh</sup>	8.00±0.16 <sup>fg</sup>	8.33±0.12 <sup>ab</sup>	8.53±0.13 <sup>fg</sup>
RB	7.06±0.22 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.00±0.19 <sup>bc</sup>	7.20±0.22 <sup>cde</sup>	7.40±0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	7.66±0.15 <sup>cd</sup>
RC	8.13±0.23 <sup>ghi</sup>	7.66±0.21 <sup>def</sup>	7.60±0.19 <sup>efg</sup>	7.93±0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	8.26±0.18 <sup>efg</sup>
RD	8.40±0.16 <sup>hi</sup>	8.46±0.13 <sup>h</sup>	8.20±0.20 <sup>ghi</sup>	8.60±0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	8.86±0.09 <sup>h</sup>
RE	7.20±0.20 <sup>bcde</sup>	6.86±0.23 <sup>bc</sup>	6.80±0.22 <sup>bc</sup>	7.06±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	7.20±0.20 <sup>abc</sup>
FA	7.93±0.20 <sup>efghi</sup>	8.00±0.19 <sup>efgh</sup>	8.53±0.16 <sup>hi</sup>	8.80±0.10 <sup>ab</sup>	8.93±0.66 <sup>h</sup>
FB	7.80±0.20 <sup>defgh</sup>	7.73±0.15 <sup>defg</sup>	8.06±0.18 <sup>fg</sup>	8.06±0.11 <sup>ab</sup>	8.46±0.13 <sup>fg</sup>
FC	7.00±0.25 <sup>bc</sup>	7.13±0.27 <sup>cd</sup>	7.66±0.21 <sup>efg</sup>	8.80±0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	8.20±0.17 <sup>def</sup>
FD	8.33±0.12 <sup>hi</sup>	8.26±0.15 <sup>fg</sup>	8.66±0.12 <sup>i</sup>	8.80±0.10 <sup>ab</sup>	8.86±0.09 <sup>h</sup>
FE	6.00±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±0.19 <sup>d</sup>	6.13±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	7.40±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	6.80±0.20 <sup>a</sup>
EUA	7.33±0.42 <sup>bcdef</sup>	7.46±0.30 <sup>cde</sup>	7.06±0.33 <sup>cde</sup>	8.06±0.30 <sup>ab</sup>	7.66±0.31 <sup>cd</sup>
EUB	6.66±0.34 <sup>ab</sup>	6.86±0.27 <sup>bc</sup>	6.93±0.22 <sup>cd</sup>	6.60±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	7.53±0.21 <sup>bc</sup>
EUC	6.93±0.40 <sup>bc</sup>	6.26±0.22 <sup>a</sup>	6.26±0.28 <sup>ab</sup>	10.9±4.79 <sup>b</sup>	7.21±0.35 <sup>abc</sup>
EUD	8.40±0.21 <sup>hi</sup>	8.20±0.17 <sup>fg</sup>	8.40±0.23 <sup>hi</sup>	8.26±0.24 <sup>hi</sup>	8.53±0.16 <sup>fg</sup>
EUE	6.66±0.27 <sup>ab</sup>	6.20±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	6.26±0.18 <sup>ab</sup>	6.26±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	6.80±0.14 <sup>a</sup>
EFA	8.60±0.13 <sup>i</sup>	8.33±0.15 <sup>hi</sup>	8.33±0.18 <sup>hi</sup>	8.26±0.15 <sup>ab</sup>	8.60±0.13 <sup>fg</sup>
EFB	7.53±0.19 <sup>cdefg</sup>	7.66±0.15 <sup>def</sup>	7.53±0.13 <sup>def</sup>	7.46±0.16 <sup>def</sup>	7.73±0.18 <sup>cde</sup>
EFC	7.20±0.20 <sup>bcde</sup>	7.13±0.19 <sup>cd</sup>	7.13±0.21 <sup>cde</sup>	7.20±0.20 <sup>cde</sup>	7.73±0.15 <sup>cde</sup>
EFD	8.26±0.11 <sup>ghi</sup>	8.26±0.11 <sup>fg</sup>	8.60±0.13 <sup>hi</sup>	8.73±0.11 <sup>ab</sup>	8.80±0.10 <sup>gh</sup>
EFE	6.85±0.17 <sup>bc</sup>	6.50±0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	6.64±0.13 <sup>abc</sup>	6.78±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	7.07±0.16 <sup>ab</sup>

229 **Values are means of triplicate determinations ± SD. Means in the same column with**  
230 **different superscripts are significantly different (p≤0.05)**

231 Keys: RA= Plantain 100g, RB= Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, RC= Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, RD= Plantain 50g  
232 Groundnut 50g, RE= Groundnut 100g.FA=Fermented Plantain 100g, FB= Fermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, FC=  
233 Fermented Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, FD= Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g, FE= Fermented Groundnut 100%.  
234 EUA=Extruded Unfermented Plantain 100g, EUB=Extruded Unfermented Plantain 80g Groundnut 20g, EUC=Extruded  
235 Unfermented Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, EUD= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 50gGroundnut 50g, EUE= Extruded  
236 unfermented Groundnut 100g, EFA-Extruded Fermented Plantain 100g, EFB-Extruded Fermented Plantain 80g Groundnut  
237 20g, EFC-Extruded Fermented Plantain 60g Groundnut 40g, EFD-Extruded Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50g EFE-  
238 Extruded Fermented 100g.

## 239 DISCUSSION

240 Mineral such as sodium (Na) and potassium (K) are essential in food. Fermentation  
241 improved the Na content of the blends. Calcium (Ca) is good for strong bones, teeth and  
242 muscle. It has been reported that magnesium is a component of chlorophyll and it is an  
243 important content in connection with ischemic heart disease and calcium metabolism in bones  
244 (Bergman *et al.*, 2009).Zinc (Zn) and Iron (Fe) are also essential for growth. Baiyeri *et al.*,

245 (2011) found significantly high levels of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Magnesium, and  
246 Calcium in fully ripe plantain pulp, but low levels of Fe, Cu, Zn, Na. Plantains are also reported  
247 to be a great source of vitamins A, B1, B2, B3, B6 and C (Shodehinde *et al.*, 2013). Studies  
248 have reported processing methods for ripe plantain before consumption.  
249 Sensory evaluation indicated that there was no significant difference in the colour, texture.  
250 Aroma, taste and overall acceptability of the raw flour blends, unfermented extruded blends,  
251 Fermented unextruded blends and fermented extruded blends. Extruded unfermented blends  
252 had the most pleasant aroma, raw flour blend had the best colour and texture while Fermented  
253 unextruded blends recorded the best taste. It was observed that fermentation enhanced the  
254 aroma of the blends. The judges had a preference for fermented extruded blends; this may be  
255 because it had the best taste, aroma and overall acceptability. The fermented blends had better  
256 flavour than other test blends while raw blends had the best colour. Based on these they were  
257 much more acceptable. This is not surprising because it is known that the appearance of food  
258 evokes the initial response and flavour determine the final acceptance or rejection of the  
259 product by the consumer (Onoja and Obizoba 2009). Colour changes of the fermented blends  
260 may be as a result of browning which occurred during fermentation.

## 261 **CONCLUSION**

262 The investigation so far revealed that the blending of ripe plantain and groundnut has  
263 the potential of producing enriched complementary food for teeming malnourished children of  
264 developing countries. From the results of this research work, it is evident that fermentation and  
265 extrusion will produce acceptable products and will go a long way to increase the nutritional  
266 and sensory attributes of blends.

## 267 **REFERENCES**

268 Achi, O. K. (2005). "Traditional Fermented Protein Condiments in Nigeria". *African Journal of*  
269 *Biotechnology* **4** (13): 1612-1621.

- 270 Anyasor, G. N., Ogunwenmo, K. O., Oyelana, O. A., Ajayi, D. and Dangana, J. (2009).  
271 Chemical Analyses of Groundnut (Arachi hypogaea) Oil. *Pakistan Journal of*  
272 *Nutrition*, (3):269-272.
- 273 AOAC, (2012). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists  
274 international. 19th edition. Gathersburg, Maryland, U.S.A.
- 275 Baiyeri, K. P., Aba S. C., Otitoju, G. T. and Mbah, O. B. (2011). The effects of ripening and  
276 cooking methods on mineral and proximate composition of plantain (Musa sp. AAB  
277 cv. 'Agbagba') fruit pulp. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **10**(36):6979-6984
- 278 Bergman, B. C., Tsvetkova, T., Lowes, B. and Wolfel, E. E. (2009). Myocardial FFA  
279 metabolism during rest and atrial pacing in humans. *American Journal of*  
280 *Physiological Endocrinology Metabolism*, **296**:E358–E366.
- 281 Cronauer, S. S. and Krikorian, A. D. (2012). Banana (Musa spp.); In Y.P.S. *Journal of*  
282 *Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry*. 233.
- 283 Egbebi, A. O, Bademosi T. A, (2011). Chemical composition of ripe and unripe banana and  
284 plantain. *International Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, **1**(1):1-5.
- 285 Fagbemi, T. N., Oshodi, A. A., Ipinmoroti, K. O. (2005). Processing effects on some  
286 antinutritional factors and in vitro multienzyme protein digestibility (IVPD) of three  
287 tropical seeds: breadnut (Artocarpusaltilis), cashewnut (Anacardiumoccidentale) and  
288 fluted pumpkin (Telfairiaoccidentalis). *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, **4**:250- 256.
- 289 *FAOSTAT, (2014) "Peanut production" Food and Agricultural Organization of the United*  
290 *Nations, Statistics Division. 2014. Retrieved 23 November 2016.*
- 291 Heuzé, V., Thiollet, H., Tran, G. and Lebas, F., (2017). Peanut forage. Feedipedia, a programme  
292 by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO.

- 293 Karwe, Mukund, V. (2008). "Food extrusion". *Food Engineering*. **3**. Oxford Eolss Publishers Co  
294 Ltd. ISBN 978-1-84826-946-0.
- 295 Kris-etherton, P. M., Hu, F. B., Ros, E. and Sabate, J. (2008). The role of tree nuts and peanuts in  
296 the prevention of coronary heart disease: multiple potential mechanisms. *The*  
297 *journal of nutrition*. 138(9), 1746S-1751.
- 298 Lokko, P., Armar-klemesu, A. and Mattes, R. D. (2007). Regular peanut consumption improves  
299 plasma lipid levels in healthy Ghanaians. *International Journal of food Sciences*  
300 *and Nutrition*, **58**(3), 190-200.
- 301 McGovern, P. E., Zhang, J., Tang, J., Zhang, Z., Hall, G. R., Moreau, R. A., Nunez, A., Butrym,  
302 E. D., Richards, M. P. and Wang, C.(2004). Fermented beverages of pre- and proto-  
303 historic China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **101**(51):17593–  
304 17598.
- 305 Odenigbo, M. A., Asumugha, V. U, Ubbor, S., Nwauzor, C., Otuonye, A. C., Offia-Olua, B. I.  
306 (2013). Proximate composition and consumption pattern of plantain and cooking  
307 banana. *British Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, **3**(4):1035-1043
- 308 Ojokoh, A. O. (2014). Proximate composition and antinutrient content of pumpkin (*Cucurbita*  
309 *pepo*) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) flour blends fermented with *Lactobacillus*  
310 *plantarum*, *Aspergillus niger* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Ife Journal of Science* **16**(3): 1-  
311 11.
- 312 Onoja, U. S. and Obizoba, I. C. (2009). Nutrient composition and organoleptic attributes of gruel  
313 based on fermented cereal, legume, tuber and root flour. *Agro-science Journal of*  
314 *Tropical Agricultural and Food Environmental Extension*. **8**(3):162-168.
- 315 Opeke, L. K. (2006). Essential of crop farming. Spectrum Book Limited. Spectrum house Ring  
316 Road, Ibadan, 81-84.

- 317 Osundahunsi, O. F. (2006). Functional properties of extruded soybean with plantain flour blends.  
318 *Journal of Food and Agricultural Environment*, **4**(1): 75-60.
- 319 Perez-Navarrete, C., Gonzalez, R., ChelGuerrero, L. and Betancur-Ancona, D. (2006). Effect of  
320 extrusion on nutritional quality of maize and lima bean flours blends. *Journal of*  
321 *Science, Food and Agriculture*, **86** (14): 2477-2484.
- 322 Riaz Mian, N. (2000). *Extruders in Food Applications*. CRC Press. 193.
- 323 Seijo, G., Lavia, G. I., Fernández, A., Krapovickas, A., Ducasse, D. A., Bertioli, D. J., Moscone,  
324 E. A. (2007). Genomic relationships between the cultivated peanut (*Arachis*  
325 *hypogaea*, Leguminosae) and its close relatives revealed by double GISH.  
326 *American Journal of Botany*, **94**:1963-1971.
- 327 Shodehinde, S. A., Oboh, G. (2013). Antioxidant properties of aqueous extract of unripe *Musa*  
328 *paradisiaca* on sodium nitroprusside induced lipid peroxidation in rat pancreas in  
329 vitro. *Asian Pacific. Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*. **3**(6): 449-457.
- 330 Skinner, P. (2005). *Plantain and Banana*. London: Macmillan Publishers Ltd.
- 331 USDA, (2013). United State Department of Agriculture (USDA).
- 332 Valmayor, R. V. Jamaluddin, S. H., Silayoi, B., Kusumo, S., Danh, L. D., Pascua, O. C. and  
333 Espino, R. R. C. (2000). 'Banana Cultivar Names and Synonyms in Southeast  
334 Asia'. *Bioversity international*. 55.
- 335 Yu, J., Ahmedna, M. and Goktepe, I. (2007). Peanut protein concentrates: production and  
336 functional properties as affected by processing, *Food Chemistry*, **103**:121-129.
- 337 Zakpaa, H. D., Al-Hassan, A., Adubofour, J. (2010). An investigation into the feasibility of  
338 production and characterization of starch from "Apantu" plantain (giant horn)  
339 grown in Ghana. *African Journal of Food Science*, **4**(9):571-577. 5.

341 Ojokoh A. O and Ajayi-Choco T., 2018. Effects of Fermentation and Extrusion on The  
342 Microbiological and Proximate Composition of Ripe Plantain and Groundnut  
343 Blend, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 8 (9), 668-676

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW