Original Research Article

EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE REGIMES ON MORPHOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF SELECTED CANOLA (*Brassica napus*) GENOTYPES

ABSTRACT

Seven canola genotypes selected from early and mid-maturing groups of canola genotypes presently planted in the Western Cape canola production area were grown in 3 litre plastic bags filled with a mixture of sand and compost at ratio of 1:1 and irrigated with fully balanced nutrient solution at EC=2.0 in two glasshouses at night/day temperature regimes of $10/15^{o}$C and $15/20^{o}$C. Plant heights were measured at 14 days interval from 28 to 84 days after planting (DAP). Plants were sampled for leaf area (LA) and above ground dry mass (DM) at budding, flowering and seed physiological maturity stages. Plant growth rates (PGR) from planting to budding, from budding to flowering and from flowering to physiological maturity growth stages were calculated. Relative growth rates (RGR) and net assimilation rates (NAR) from budding to flowering and from flowering to physiological maturity stages were also calculated. Days after planting, GDD and PTU at budding, flowering and physiological maturity were correlated with leaf area, dry mass, number of pods plant-1   and pod dry mass plant-1 at budding, flowering and physiological maturity stages to determine whether there were relationships between the variables. The study showed that by increasing night/day temperature from $10/15^{o}$C to $15/20^{o}$C plant height, number of leaves plant-1 at budding stage, leaf area at budding , plant growth rate (PGR) from planting to budding stage and relative growth rate (RGR) from budding to flowering stage were increased. However, PGR from budding to physiological maturity, RGR from flowering to physiological maturity, net assimilation rate (NAR) from budding to flowering stage, leaf area at flowering and physiological maturity stages , as well as number of flower stems, number of pods plant-1, above ground total dry mass at flowering and physiological maturity stages were decreased. Pod dry mass at physiological maturity decreased by 22.24% to 40.35% for different genotypes which clearly demonstrated the variations in sensitivity of canola genotypes to increasing night/day temperatures and also indicates that canola crop can be genetically improved for heat tolerance.

KEYWORDS: Physiology, Canola, Morphology, Genotypes, heat tolerance, relative growth rate

INTRODUCTION

Canola (B*rassica* napus) is increasingly becoming an important field crop in South Africa. It can be used

to produce high quality cooking oil and margarine, animal feed, biofuel (Anonymous 2006) and in crop

rotation systems to break the disease chain and improve weed management (Burton *et al*. 2008). It is a

native of Canada and is characterized by seeds which contain oil that has a low erucic acid content. Such

oils contain less than 2% erucic acid, the solid component of the seed must contain less than 30

micromoles of any one or any mixture of 3-butenyl glucosinolate, 4-pentyl glucosinolate, 2-hydroxy-3-

butenyl glucosinolate and 2-hydroxy-4-pentyl glucosinate per gram of air-dry oil free solid (Anonymous

2006).

38 In South Africa and Australia canola is also planted in April or May but the growth take place during

39 winter period with daylight lengths of 9.5 hrs in May to 12 hrs in September and is harvested during

40 October. The phenological development affects the success of canola production and is largely

41 controlled by temperature (Morrison *et al*. 1992). Accurate timing of these phenological events is

42 generally considered the most important factor determining crop adaptation and maximum yield in a

43 particular environment (Fischer 1979, Richard 1991).

44 Canola developmental stages can be divided into six phases according to Harper and Berkenkamp

45 (1975): Phase 0-Pre-emergence, Phase 1-Seedling, Phase 2-Rosette, Phase 3-stem elongation, Phase 4-

46 flowering, Phase 5-Seed maturation. Under climate change scenario, increase in both the mean and

47 extremes of temperature are expected for many parts of the world (IPCC 2001). These changes can

48 impact largely on the growth and phenological development of crops. Temperature and to less extent

49 photoperiod have been reported to be major environmental factors that determine the timing and

50 duration of each of the phenological phases in the physiological development of crops (Roberts *et al*.

51 1993). Many models have been developed to explain the phenological phases that take place during

52 growth and development of crops (Alocija and Ritchie 1991, Matthews and Hunts 1994), while the

53 physiological mechanisms that govern the transition from one phenophase to another are strongly

54 influenced by environmental factors and have been described using photothermal models (Summerfield

55 *et al*. 1991).

56 Photoperiod has been reported to be principally factor that determines the time of floral initiation and

57 hence onset of anthesis in many crop species (Burtero *et al*. 1999). Photoperiod, for example affects

58 floral development of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) (Coolhaas and Wormer 1953), caryopteris (Piringer *et al*.

59 1963), wheat(*Triticum aestivum* L.) (Slafer and Rawson 1994), barley *(Hordeum vulgare* L.) (Kernich *et*

60 *al*. 1996) and quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa willd*) (Burtero *et al*. 1999). However, it is not clear whether

61 the duration of the reproductive phase is affected directly (immediate response) by the photoperiod

62 experienced during this phase or indirectly (delayed response) by photoperiod experienced in earlier

63 developmental phases. The delayed effects on reproductive development could be because of the fact

64 that more leaf primordial are formed under an extended duration of the vegetative period and this

65 means that anthesis has to wait longer because more leaves have to appear and all the leaves must

66 appear before anthesis will occur (Kiniry *et al*. 1992). The underlying assumption here is that the total

67 leaf number cannot be altered after the end of vegetative growth phase during anthesis and seed filling.

68   However, Slafer and Rawson (1995) and Kernich et al.(1996) have shown that time from the end of leaf

69   appearance to anthesis is affected by the photoperiod after floral initiation, but not leaf number in

70   wheat and barley respectively.

71   Ritchie and Smith (1991) reported that temperature regime is a major factor controlling the rate of leaf

72   appearance. Hence "phyllochron" is defined as a constant interval of thermal time between successive

73   leaves appearance. However the effect of temperature on the time interval between successive leaves'

74   appearance (phyllochron) is crop specific for the different field conditions (Cao and Moss, 1989). For

75   Chenopodium photoperiod was reported to decrease the ''plastochron" (the time between initiation of

76   two successive primordia) with transfers from inductive to marginally or vice versa (Thomas, 1961). A

77   photothermal duration effect on seed maturation processes has been demonstrated for soybean

78   (*Glycine max* (L) *merril*), peanut (*Arachis hypogeal L*), bambaranut (*Vigna subterrenea* (L) *verdc*), rice

79   (*Oryza* s*ativa*), muccuna spp, maize (Z*ea mays L*), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) and field pea (P*isum*

80   *sativum*) (Bagnall and King, 1991, Birch et al 1997, Craufurd and Qi 2001, Craufurd et al. 2003,

81   Linnemam 1993, Morandi et al. 1998, Poggio *et al*. 2005 and Qi *et al*. 1998,). It has also been reported

82   that photothermal regime ==influences== vernalisation sensitivity of crops. Plants vernalised for 50 days

83   showed greater response to photoperiod than those vernalised for 15 days. As the duration of stem

84   enlongation lengthened in photoperiod-sensitive genotypes by exposure to less inductive photoperiods,

85   a higher number of fertile florets at anthesis are produced, leading to an increased grain number and

86   thereby to higher yield (Gonzalez *et al*. 2003). The timing of leaf emergence, flowering and seed filling as

87   influenced by photothermal exposure and duration are critical factors in crop production, especially in

88   the Mediterranean environment with its characteristic period of increasing temperatures and water

89   stress that occur towards the end of the growing season. This has been extensively studied in other

90   cereal crops as highlighted earlier in this introduction, but such study has not been carried out in canola

91   being that it is relatively a new crop in South Africa. Therefore this study was conducted to determine

92   the effect of temperature regimes on the morphological development of canola in order to maximally

93   exploit its productive potentials, and enhance its agronomic management. In addition, results obtained

94   from this study will serve as a tool for canola breeding for the South African climatic conditions and also

95   provide information with regard to its production potential in new production areas.

1.   MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in glasshouse controlled environment at department of Agronomy, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa. Experiment was laid out as a completely Randomized design (CRD) with two temperature regimes and seven genotypes of canola as treatments. Four replications were used and single plant represents an experimental unit. Provision was made for three sampling times.

Seven genotypes of canola evaluated were Hyola 571 CL, AGAMAX, 45Y86, 44Y84, Hyola 50, 43Y85, and Hyola 575 CL. These were planted (four seeds per 3 litre plastic bags filled with the mixture of sand and compost at ratio of 1:1 and irrigated with fully balance nutrient solution at 2.0 EC) in two glasshouses. The genotypes were selected based on the duration of their maturity. 45Y86 and Hyola 50 were mid-maturing genotypes; 44Y84 was mid-early; while, 43Y85, AGAMAX, Hyola 571 CL and Hyola 575 CL were early maturing genotypes. During the seedling stage, plants were thinned to one per bag. The two temperature regimes were set at $15/20^{o}C$ and $10/15^{o}C$ night/day temperatures respectively. The plants were irrigated twice a day to re-fill the bags to field water capacity.

Daylight length (number of hours of sunshine) was obtained from the South African weather service (http//www.Weathera.com). Crops were planted on 11 February 2014 and the final harvest was done on 14 July 2014 with the result that the day length varied between 13:20 hours at planting and 10:48 hours during the final harvest. The light intensity in the glasshouses and outside exposed environment were measured weekly at 12h00n from the seedling stage of the plants and averages of 211.6 $\mu molm^{-2}s^{-1}$ for $15/20^{o}C$ glasshouse, 249.1 $\mu molm^{-2}s^{-1}$ for $10/15^{o}C$ glasshouse and 481.5 $\mu molm^{-2}s^{-1}$ for outside environment were obtained. Temperature loggers were put in each glass house to record the actual temperature of the glass houses to make sure that the set temperatures were achieved.

The number of days required to reach the following growth stages (GS) according to Harper and Berkenkamp (1975) were recorded: Seedling stage (GS 1.0); first true leave (GS 2.1); visible inflorescence at center of rosette or budding (GS 3.1); first flower open (GS 4.1); beginning of seed filling) (GS 4.4); lower pods filled to full size and become translucent (GS 5.1); and seeds in lower pods turn brown which is physiological maturity (GS 5.4). Plant height was measured at 28, 42, 56, 70, 84, days after planting (DAP). Before budding it was done from the base of the above the soil stem to the tip of the tallest leave), but after budding, it was measured to the tip of the flower bud. The total number of leaves plant^{-1} was counted after the end of the vegetative stage when budding started (growth stage 3.1). Plants in both glasshouses were sampled at the budding, full flowering and physiological maturity stages to

126   determine the leaf area and dry mass after being oven dried for 48hrs at 80°C. Number of flower stems

127   (NFS) and pods plant^{-1} (NPP) were recorded at final harvest (physiological maturity) stage and pods dry

128   mass (PDM) plant^{-1} were also obtained after oven drying the samples for 80°C. Formulae described by

129   Paine *et al*. (2012) were adopted to calculate the following plant growth parameters for different

130   genotypes and temperature regimes. Plant growth rate (PGR) from planting date to budding, from

131   budding to flowering and from flowering to physiological maturity were calculated by dividing difference

132   between the dry mass at beginning(DM1) and at end (DM2) of each growth interval with the number of

133   days needed for the different growth intervals. Relative growth rates (RGR) were calculated by dividing

134   each PGR with DM1 while net assimilation rates (NAR) were calculated by dividing PGR with leaf area at

135   beginning of each growth interval (LA1). Relative growth rate (RGR and net assimilation rate (NAR) were

136   only calculated from budding to flowering and from flowering to physiological maturity because plant

137   did not have any leaf area at planting and seed mass at planting are so small that RGR values would be

138   unrealistic. Because of large differences between plants only mean values and not individual replication

139   values were used. DAP, Growing Degree Days (GDD) and Photo thermal Unit (PTU) at budding, flowering

140   and physiological maturing stages were correlated with LA, DM, NPP and PDM at budding, flowering and

141   physiological maturing stages to determine whether there were relationships between the variables.

142   Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed, using Statistical software, version 12®. The Bonferroni

143   test's least significant difference (LSD) values were calculated at the 5% probability level to compare

144   treatment means.

145   **3.1   Results and Discussion**

146   *3.1 Plant height*

147   As expected all genotypes showed a significant increase in plant height with time (days after planting)

148   and heights of about 150 cm were achieved after 84 days when plants were already in the pod filling

149   stage (Figure 1). Genotypes responded differently to temperature treatments. Genotypes, 43Y85,

150   44Y84, Hyola 575 and Hyola 50 showed little response to the different temperature treatments (10/15°C

151   and 15/20°C), but all other genotypes showed a significant increase in plant height with an increase in

152   night/day temperature from 10/15°C and 15/20°C. Differences in plant height were in most cases

153   shown from 56 DAP onwards and largest differences were found with early and mid-early maturing

154   genotypes Hyola571 and AGAMAX and 43Y85 because these genotypes were already at the budding

155   stage, which is characterized by rapid stem elongation. But because early maturing genotypes such as

156   43Y85 and Hyola 575 did not show a large response to temperature, no conclusion can be drawn with

157   regard to the response for different maturity groups.

158   These results are in agreement with the findings of Qaderi *et al*. (2006) who reported that higher

159   temperatures increased height of canola plants, but Dong *et al*. (2011) reported that higher

160   temperatures in combination with short day lengths reduced stem height in rice crop in eastern China.

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

Figure 1 Plant heights (cm) of different canola genotypes, measured at 28, 42, 56, 70 and 84 days after planting (DAP), in response to night/ day temperatures of 10/15°C and 15/20°C. *Values with the same alphabetical lettering do not differ significantly at P=0.05*

3.2 Number of leaves

The total number of leaves ranged from 10 to 14 per plant. Genotypes did differ with regard to the number of leaves produced when subjected to different growing temperatures (Figure 2). In general genotypes tend to produce more leaves at the higher night/day temperature (15/20°C), but with the exception of the early maturing cultivar 43Y85 and the mid maturing cultivar 45Y86. At the lower temperature regime (10/15°C), early maturing genotypes Hyola 571 and Hyola 575, produced less leaves than other genotypes. At the higher temperature regime of 15/20°C, Hyola 571, Hyola 575 and AGAMAX produces less leaves than genotypes 43Y85, 44Y84 and 45Y86. Hyola 571 and Hyola 575 also produce less leaves than Hyola 50. Hyola 50 on the other hand, produces less leaves than early maturing 43Y85 and mid-early 44Y84 and mid maturing 45Y86. Because genotypes 43Y85, 44Y84 and 44Y85 tend to produce the largest number of leaves at especially the higher temperature regime, results suggested that number of leaves produced before budding stage when stem elongation started, may to a larger

7

187 degree be related to the cultivar origin than maturity grouping. These results are in contrast to the

188 findings of Slauenwhite and Qaderi (2013) who found no significant difference in leaf numbers plant[-1]

189 among four canola genotypes; 46A76, 45H72, 45H24 and 45H21 grown at day/night temperature

190 regimes of 24/20°C and 30/26°C, though we don't know the maturity grouping of these genotypes.

191 These authors also reported that higher temperature reduced leaf number plant[-1]. This contrasting

192 results may indicate that the lowest temperature regime of 24/20°C used in their study were already

193 above the optimum for leaf initiation in canola.

194

195

196

197

198

199

201 Figure 2 Number of leaves plant[-1] of different canola genotypes, measured at the beginning of budding

202 (growth stage 3.1) in response to night/ day temperatures of 10/15°C and 15/20°C. *Values with the*

203 *same alphabetical lettering do not differ significantly at P=0.05*

204 *3.3 Leaf area*

205 In general leaf area plant[-1] increased from budding stage to reach a maximum at flowering, where as it

206 started to decrease. At all sampling stages, leaf area plant[-1] (cm^3) was affected by temperature regime.

8

207   On average, larger leaf areas were produced at the lower night/day temperature of 10/15°C during
208   flowering and final harvesting stage (Figure 3), but not so at budding stage. This tendency indicates an
209   increase in leaf senescence at the higher temperature regime. Different canola genotypes however
210   responded differently to the increase in temperature from 10/15°C to 15/20°C.

211   At budding stage only Hyola 575 and Hyola 50 showed a significant increase in leaf area plant^{-1} with an
212   increase in temperature (Figure 3), resulting in significant larger leaf areas plant^{-1} compared to early
213   maturing Hyola 571 at the higher temperature regime (15/20°C), but not so at the lower temperature
214   regime (10/15°C). Although Hyola 571 showed on average the smallest leaf area plant^{-1} at budding
215   stage, no clear trend due to maturity grouping was shown.

216   At flowering stage, significant decreases in leaf area plant^{-1} due to the increase in temperature from
217   10/15°C to 15/20°C were shown for genotypes, Hyola 571, AGAMAX and 45Y86, while the reverse was
218   the case for 43Y85 (Figure 3). Cultivar AGAMAX produced the largest leaf area plant^{-1} at the low
219   temperature regime (10/15°C), while at the higher temperature regime (15/20°C), the leaf area of
220   43Y85 plants at flowering were significantly larger than other genotypes. On average, early maturing
221   genotypes Hyola 571 and Hyola 575 tend to produce the smallest leaf area plant^{-1}.

222   During the final harvest at growth stage 5.4, leaf area plant^{-1} with the exception of the early maturing
223   cultivar Hyola 571 tend to decrease with an increase in temperature regime, but differences were not
224   significant (Figure 3).   No significant differences were recorded between genotypes at the 10/15°C
225   temperature regime, but at the higher temperature regime (15/20°C), Hyola 575 showed a significantly
226   smaller leaf area compared to 44Y84. In general mid-early maturing genotypes tend to have larger leaf
227   areas than early maturing or mid maturing genotypes at this stage.

228   These results did not show clear evidence that genotypes of the same maturity group followed similar
229   pattern with regard to their leaf area development at any of the sampling times, but in general mid-
230   early maturing genotypes tend to produce the largest leaf area plant^{-1}. Higher night/day temperatures
231   resulted in larger leaf areas at budding, but smaller leaf areas at flowering and especially during the final
232   harvesting at growth stage 5.4. Schwabe (1957) and Humphries (1969) also showed that leaf initiation
233   and expansion rate during the early growth stage of seedlings are increased by higher temperatures.
234   Rawson and Dunstone (1986) as well as Nanda *et al*., (1995) reported that temperature affects crop
235   phenology and thus can change pattern of leaf area development by altering the source-sink

relationship. They observed that before onset of flowering, leaves and stem were the main sites of assimilation, taking up to 46% and 41% of dry matter respectively, but at onset of pod filling, leaves as assimilated only 19% of dry matter produced.

Figure 3 Leaf area plant^{-1} (cm2) of different canola genotypes , measured at (a)the beginning of budding (growth stage 3.1) (b) flowering and (c) during the final harvest at growth stage 5.4 in response to night/ day temperatures of 10/15°C and 15/20°C. *Values with the same alphabetical lettering do not differ significantly at P=0.05*

247 *3.4 Dry mass*

248 Above ground dry mass plant^{-1} increased with time for all genotypes, but was affected by both cultivar
249 and temperature (Figure 4).

250 At budding stage (growth stage 3.1), a higher dry mass plant^{-1} was generally recorded for plants grown
251 at the higher temperature regime of 15/20°C, but differences were only significant for the genotypes
252 Hyola 575, Hyola 50 and 45Y86.

253 At flowering, above ground dry mass was, with the exception of the early maturing cultivar 43Y85, in all
254 genotypes significantly reduced when grown at the higher temperature regime of 15/20°C. With the
255 exception of Hyola 571 which produced significantly less dry mass than 43Y85, no differences were
256 recorded between genotypes growing in the 15/20°C glasshouse. In the cooler glasshouse (10/15°C), the
257 highest dry mass at flowering was produced by early and mid-early genotypes Hyola 571 and AGAMAX.

258 At final harvest (FH), no significant interaction between growing temperature regime and cultivar was
259 recorded with dry mass of all genotypes reduced at the higher temperature regime of 15/20°C (Figure
260 4). AGAMAX and 43Y85 recorded significantly higher dry mass than all genotypes in the 15/20°C, but
261 only higher than Hyola 571 in the 10/15°C glasshouse. In general early and mid-early maturing types
262 (Hyola 575,Hyola 571, AGAMAX and 43Y85) showed larger reductions in dry mass of 41.31%, 34.69%,
263 39.65% and 40.65% respectively in the higher temperature glasshouse, while mid and mid to mid-early
264 maturing types, 45Y86, Hyola 50 and 44Y84 showed reductions of 18.81%, 30.40% 28.41% respectively.

265 In general, canola plants at 15/20°C temperature regime accumulated more above the ground dry mass
266 at budding stage and more for late maturing genotypes at 10/15°C temperature regime. It seems that
267 the trait(s) for lateness enabled, to produce late maturing genotype leaves by reducing the time
268 between appearances of successive leaves. Therefore more leaves and leaf area recorded by late
269 maturing genotypes at higher temperature regime during budding stage as shown in figures 2 and 3
270 might be responsible for more above ground dry mass accumulated at budding stage. Canola has been
271 reported to partition more dry mass that leaving in the early growth stage than wheat, barley and
272 sorghum (Rood *et al*., 1984, Deligios *et al*., 2013). While Faraji *et al*., (2009) and Faraji (2014) showed
273 significant positive correlations between leave number before flowering and dry mass as well as final
274 grain yield.

Results from this study are in agreement with earlier studies (Qaderi *et al.* 2006, Gou, *et al.*, 2010, Nordli *et al.*, 2011) reporting an increase in dry matter production during earlier growth stages with higher temperatures, but a decrease in total dry mass production due to more rapid crop development and a shortened growth period.

Figure 4 Dry mass plant[-1] (g) of different canola genotypes , measured at (a)the beginning of budding (growth stage 3.1) (b) flowering and (c) during the final harvest at growth stage 5.4 in response to night/ day temperatures of 10/15°C and 15/20°C. *Values with same alphabetical lettering do not differ significantly at P=0.05*

288

289   *3.4 Number of flower stems*

290   Although all genotypes showed a decrease in the number of flower stems when grown at a lower

291   temperature (10/15°C) instead of 15/20°C, differences were only significant for cultivar 44Y84 (Figure

292   5). With the exception of Hyola 575, cultivar 44Y84 produced significantly more flower stems compared

293   to other genotypes at the lower temperature regime of 10/15°C, but at the higher temperature regime

294   (15/20°C) no significant differences were recorded between genotypes tested, except for Hola 575 and

295   Hola 50.

296   The reduction in number of flower stems recorded in the higher temperature regime could be attributed

297   to the fact that the higher temperature regime of 15/20°C reduced the duration of different growth

298   stages, so that plants have less time to develop flower stems. Similar results were reported by Kutcher

299   *et al*. (2010) who found that high temperatures during vegetative growth reduced number of flowers

300   produced per plant.

301   Except for the already mentioned difference between 44Y84 and others genotypes at the lower

302   temperature regime, the number of flower stems produced by different genotypes did not show any

303   relationship with their maturity grouping as early and later maturing genotypes produced the same

304   number of flower stems.

305

306

307

308

13

309

Figure 5 Flower stems plant[-1] of different canola genotypes, measured during the final harvest at growth stage 5.4 in response to night/ day temperatures of 10/15°C and 15/20°C. *Values with the same alphabetical lettering do not differ significantly at P=0.05*

3.6 Number of pods

The number of pods plant[-1] ranged from 841 to 1483. Genotypes differed with respect to number of pods plant[-1] when grown at different temperature regimes (Figure 6). With the exception of Hyola 50 and 44Y84, all genotypes produced significantly less pods plant[-1] at the higher temperature regime of 15/20°C compare to 10/15°C. However, differences between genotypes at both temperature regimes (10/15°C and 15/20°C) were not significant. With exception of 45Y86, later maturing genotypes (44Y84 and Hyola 50) showed less reduction in the number of pods per plant in the higher temperature regime than early and mid-early maturing types.

14

321

Figure 6 Effect of temperature on number of pods plant^{-1} of different canola genotypes, measured during the final harvest at growth stage 5.4 in response to night/ day temperatures of 10/15°C and 15/20°C. Values with the same alphabetical lettering do not differ significantly at P=0.05

3.7 Dry mass of pods

With exception of 44Y84 and 43Y85, all genotypes showed a significant reduction in dry mass of pods plant^{-1} at the 15/20°C temperature regime compared to the 10/15°C temperature regime (Figure 7). Dry mass of pods varied between about 80 and 116 g plant^{-1} at the lower day/night temperature of 10/15°C and differences between genotypes were not significant except for the difference between 45Y86 and 44Y84,. No significant differences between genotypes were recorded at the 15/20°C temperature regime and the pod dry mass plant^{-1} varied between about 58 and 72 g. Early maturing Hyola 575 and Hyola 571 showed higher pods dry mass reductions than mid-maturing Hyola 50 with an increase in temperature. In contrast to this, early maturing 43Y85 showed less response than mid-maturing 45Y86, indicating genetic differences between early maturing genotypes.

The reduced duration of growth stages, increased rate of respiratory break down of accumulated dry mass and accelerated leaf senescence due to the higher temperature might be the reason for the reduced pod dry mass at the 15/20°C regime. Kutcher *et al*. (2010) reported that increased mean temperature during vegetative development reduced the number of seeds and size of seed per flower and consequently resulted in seed yield reduction, the view also shared by findings of Morrison and Stewart (2002).

341

Figure 7 Pod dry mass plant[-1] of different canola genotypes, measured during the final harvest at growth stage 5.4 in response to night/ day temperatures of 10/15°C and 15/20°C. Values with the same alphabetical lettering do not differ significantly at P=0.

3.8 Effect of temperature on plant growth rate (PGR), relative growth rate (RGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) of canola genotypes at budding, flowering and physiological maturity stages

3.8.1 Plant growth rate (PGR).

Plant growth rate (PGR) increased progressively from planting to budding and from flowering to physiological maturity at both temperature regimes (Table 1). On average a PGR of 0.2414 g plant[-1] day^{-1} was recorded from planting to budding compared to 1.4452 g plant[-1] day^{-1} and 2.0295 g plant[-1] day^{-1} measured from budding to flowering and from flowering to physiological maturity. However, at each sampling stage, PGR differ as a result of both temperature and genotypes tested. From planting to budding, all genotypes showed a higher PGR at the 15/20°C temperature regime compared to the 10/15°C temperature regime, but from budding to flowering and flowering to physiological maturity a higher PGR was measured for all genotypes at the lower (10/15°C) temperature regime compared to the 15/20°C temperature regime. Genotypes also differed at both temperature regimes with respect to PGR. From planting to budding a PGR of 0.2752 g plant[-1] day^{-1} was measured on average for the higher temperature regime of 15/20°C compared to 0.2075 gplant[-1] day^{-1} for the lower temperature regime (10/15°C). At the 15/20°C temperature regime, 45Y86 showed highest PGR, while Hyola 571 recorded the highest PGR at the 10/15°C temperature regime from planting to budding. From budding to flowering stage, a higher PGR of 1.6124 g plant[-1] day^{-1} were recorded on average by genotypes at the

363   10/15°C temperature regime compared to 1.2780 g plant^{-1} day^{-1} on average at the 15/20°C temperature

364   regime. At the 10/15°C temperature regime, AGAMAX recorded highest PGR, whereas at 15/20°C

365   temperature regime 43Y86 showed the highest PGR. Genotypes also showed a higher PGR at the lower

366   temperature regime of 10/15°c compared to higher temperature regime (15/20°C) from flowering to

367   physiological maturity. At the 10/15°C temperature regime genotypes grew at 2.2008 g plant^{-1} day^{-1},

368   while at the 15/20°C temperature genotypes grew at 1.8584 g plant^{-1} day^{-1}. Cultivar, 43Y85 showed the

369   highest PGR at 10/15°C, whereas at 15/20°C 44Y84 recorded the highest PGR from flowering to

370   physiological maturity.

371   The increase in PGR from planting to physiological maturity indicated that PGR for all genotypes

372   followed the normal growth rate curve, which usually increases as plant growth duration increase.

373   Similar results have been reported on soybean, barley and maize (Garmash 2005, Liu *et al*. 2006,

374   Thomas *et al*. 2010, Tsimba *et al*. 2013). Increased PGR from planting to budding at 15/20°C

375   temperature regime and decrease from budding to flowering and flowering to physiological maturity

376   suggest that increasing the mean night/day temperature from 12.5^{o}C to 17.5^{o}C increased PGR during

377   the vegetative growth stage (planting to budding) by increasing the rate of leaf appearance and

378   expansion, but as growth progress the increase in temperature decreased PGR by increasing the rate of

379   leaf senescence and respiratory break down of photosynthates (Munier-Jolain et al. 2008, Tsimba et al.

380   2011, Tacarindua et al. 2012). Although genotypes differed in growth rate, it did not show any

381   relationship with their maturity grouping.

382   *3.8.2 Relative growth rate (RGR).*

383   A higher RGR of 0.1528 g g^{-1} day^{-1} was shown on average from budding to flowering compared to a

384   lower RGR of 0.0669 g g^{-1} day^{-1} from flowering to physiological maturity (Table 1). From budding to

385   flowering, RGR was higher at the 10/15°C temperature regime (0.1840 g g^{-1} day^{-1}) than at the 15/20°C

386   temperature regime (0.1215 g g^{-1} day^{-1}) , while from flowering to physiological maturity a higher RGR of

387   0.0727 g g^{-1} day^{-1} was recorded by genotypes at the 15/20°C temperature regime compare to a PGR of

388   0.0610 g g^{-1} day^{-1} at the 10/15°C temperature regime . AGAMAX showed the highest RGR at the 10/15°C

389   temperature regime, whereas at 15/20°C temperature regime 44Y84 recorded the highest RGR from

390   budding to flowering stage. From flowering to physiological maturity 43Y85 showed the highest RGR at

391   the 10/15°C temperature, while AGAMAX showed the highest RGR at 15/20°C.

392 The higher RGR observed from budding to flowering compared to flowering to physiological maturity
393 could be attributed to the quantity of the dry mass at the beginning of the growth stage (DM1). The RGR
394 from budding to flowering was calculated by dividing PGR with dry mass at budding, while RGR from
395 flowering to physiological maturity was calculated by dividing PGR with dry mass at flowering stage. The
396 DM at flowering stage was higher than DM at budding stage, therefore as (DM1) increases RGR within
397 any range of growth stages decreases. The same applies for differences between temperature regimes.,
398 dry mass at budding stage were higher at 15/20°C temperature regime, so there was lower RGR from
399 budding to flowering stage and vice-visa, while at flowering stage dry mass were higher at 10/15°C
400 temperature regime and lower RGR were observed from flowering to physiological maturity and vice-
401 visa. Similar trends of RGR have been observed on wheat, soybean and maize (Victor *et al*. 2006,
402 Federick *et al*. 2013, Tacarindua *et al*. 2013, Tsimba *et al*. 2013) and therefore show that the efficacy of
403 crops to accumulate dry mass decreases towards the end of the growing season . Differences between
404 genotypes did not show any relationship with maturity grouping.

405 *3.8.3 Net assimilation rate (NAR).*

406   A higher NAR of 0.00136 g cm^{-1} day^{-1} was recorded by genotypes at both temperature regimes from
407 budding to flowering when compared to the 0.00083 g cm^{-1} day^{-1} from flowering to physiological
408 maturity. From budding to flowering genotypes recorded higher NAR of 0.00161 g cm^{-1} day^{-1} at 10/15°C
409 temperature regime compared to the 0.00111 g cm^{-1} day^{-1} at the 15/20°C temperature regime. From
410 flowering to physiological maturity there was no difference between NAR at different temperature
411 regimes. Genotypes of the same maturity groups did not show similar NAR values at different sampling
412 stage or temperature regimes

413 At the 10/15°C temperature regime Hyola571 recorded the highest NAR from budding to flowering,
414 while 43Y85 showed the highest NAR at 15/20°C. From flowering to physiological maturity there were
415 no difference between temperature regimes but genotypes did differ. At the 10/15°C temperature
416 regime Hyola 575 showed the highest NAR, whereas all genotypes, with the exception of 43Y85, showed
417 NAR values of 0008-0009 g cm^{-1} day^{-1} at the 15/20°C temperature regime.

418 The higher NAR recorded from budding to flowering stage than from flowering to physiological maturity
419 can be attributed to lower leaf area at budding stage (LA1), which was used as the divisor of the PGR
420 from budding to flowering and higher leaf area at flowering (LA1) which was use as divisor of PGR from
421 flowering to physiological maturity. These results agreed with findings of Gaetan et al. (2008) and John
422 and Kim (2014) who also showed that NAR and photosynthetic efficiency of plants decrease towards the
423 end of the growing season.

424

425 **Table 1** Effect of temperature on plant growth rate (PGR) (g plant^{-1}day^{-1}), relative growth rate of plants
426 (RGR)(g g^{-1} day^{-1}) and net assimilation rate of plants (NAR) (g cm^{-2}day^{-1}) of the different canola
427 genotypes determined for the periods: Planting to budding; Budding to flowering and from flowering to
428 physiological maturity.

		Planting to budding	Budding to flowering			Flowering to physiological maturity		
Temp	**Cultivar**	PGR	PGR	RGR	NAR	PGR	RGR	NAR
10/15°C	Hyola571	0.2315	2.04	0.215	0.0021	1.7377	0.0433	0.0007
	Hyola575	0.1773	1.645	0.2269	0.0019	2.414	0.0719	0.0011
	AGAMAX	0.2178	2.1241	0.2379	0.0018	2.3058	0.0512	0.0008
	43Y85	0.2176	1.3847	0.1472	0.0013	2.5697	0.078	0.001
	44Y84	0.2136	1.303	0.1385	0.0013	2.1754	0.0613	0.0008
	45Y86	0.209	1.6135	0.1785	0.0016	1.8589	0.051	0.0007
	Hyola50	0.1857	1.1763	0.144	0.0013	2.3432	0.07	0.0007
10/15°Cmean		**0.2075**	**1.6124**	**0.184**	**0.00161**	**2.2008**	**0.061**	**0.00083**
15/20°C	Hyola571	0.2957	1.086	0.0993	0.0012	1.5571	0.0714	0.0009
	Hyola575	0.2765	1.0736	0.105	0.0009	1.886	0.0747	0.0008
	AGAMAX	0.2692	1.3177	0.1323	0.0011	1.9666	0.0735	0.0009
	43Y85	0.2656	1.6456	0.1511	0.0014	1.8259	0.0639	0.0006
	44Y84	0.2144	1.3425	0.1527	0.0013	1.9567	0.0786	0.0009
	45Y86	0.3062	1.1723	0.1035	0.009	1.898	0.0714	0.0009
	Hyola50	0.299	1.308	0.1067	0.001	1.9185	0.0757	0.0008
15/20°Cmean		**0.2752**	**1.278**	**0.1215**	**0.00111**	**1.8584**	**0.0727**	**0.00083**
GSmean		**0.2414**	**1.4452**	**0.1528**	**0.00136**	**2.0295**	**0.0669**	**0.00083**

429 GSmean (growth stage mean)

430 **4.0 Conclusions**

431 The study demonstrated that an increase in night/day temperature from 10/15°C to 15/20°C resulted

432 in an increase in plant height, leaf number at budding stage, leaf area at budding, plant growth rate

433 from planting to budding stage, but reduces plant growth rate from budding to physiological maturity,

434 net assimilation rate from budding to flowering stage, leaf area at flowering and physiological maturity

435 stages, as well as the number of flower stems, number of pods plant^{-1}, above ground l dry mass at

436 flowering and physiological maturity stages and pod dry mass at physiological maturity stage by 22.24%

437 to 40.35%.

438 It also showed that on average, later maturing (mid-maturing) genotypes produced more leaves, leaf

439 area at budding, flowering and physiological maturity stages, as well as above ground dry mass at

440 budding stage compared to early maturing genotypes. However, they produced less flower stems and

441 pods plant^{-1}. At physiological maturity, early maturing genotypes (Hyola 575 and Hyola 571) showed the

442 highest reduction in pods dry mass of 40.35% and 38.28% respectively with an increase in temperature

443 to 15/20°C. Surprisingly, the early maturing 43Y85 shared most of morphological characteristics of later

444 maturing (mid- maturing) group, instead of those of early and mid-early types, indicating that the

445 response of different genotypes to an increase in temperature might to a large degree be related to

446 their genetics (genotype) and not to their maturity grouping.

447 **References**

448 Alocija EC, Ritchie JT. (1991)*. A model for the phenology of rice In: Hodges T. (Ed) *Predicting crop*

449 *phenology*. CRC press Boca Raton FL. Pp 181-190.

450 Anonymous. .2006. Canola production manual.

451 Birch, R.G. (1997). Plant transformation: Problems and strategies for practical application. Annual Review

452 of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 48:297-326

453 Bagnall D, King RW. (1991). Response of peanut (Arachis hypogeal) to temperature, photoperiod and

454 irradiance In: Bertero *et al*.1999. Photoperiod sensitive development phases in quinoa *(chenopodum*

455 *quinoa willd*). *Field Crops Research 60: 237-243.*

456 Bertero HD, King RW, Hall AJ. (1999). Photoperiod-sensitive development phases in quinoa

457 (*Chenopodum quinoa willd). Field Crops Research 60: 231-243.*

458   Burton WA, Flood RF, Norten RM, Field B, Potts DA, Robertson MJ, Salibury PA. (2008). Identification of

459   variability in phenological responses in canola- quality *Brassica juncea* for utilization in Australia

460   breeding programs. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research.59:847*-881.

461   Cao W, Moss DN. (1989). Day length effect on leaf emergence and phyllochron in wheat and barley.

462   *Crop Science 29:1021-1025*.

463   Coolhaas C, Wormer TM. (1953). Developmental differences in rice plants in relation to photoperiodism.

464   *Netherland Journal of Agricultural Science 1:207-216.*

465   Craufurd PQ, Hauser IE, Dingkuhn M. (2003). photothermal responses of *O. sativa* and *O. glaberima*

466   varieties and interspecific progenies from west Africa. *Field Crops Research 83:313-324.*

467   Craufurd PQ, Qi A. (2001). Photothermal adaptation of sorghum (*sorghum bicolor*) in Nigeria.

468   *Agriculture, Forest and Meteorology 108:199-211*.

469   Deliqio PA, Faci R, Sulas L, Hoogenboom G, Ledda L. (2013). Predicting growth and yield of winter

470   rapeseed in mediterranean environments: model adaptation at fields scale. *Field Crops Research* 144:

471   100-112.

472   Faraji A, Latific N, Soltani A, Rad AHS. (2009). Seed yield and water use efficiency of canola (Brasssica

473   napus L.) as affected by high temperature stress and supplemental irrigation. *Science Direct* 132-140.

474   Faraji A. (2014). Seed weight in canola as a function of assimilate supply and source-sink ratio during

475   seed filling period. *International Journal of Plant Production* 8: P255

476   Fischer RA. (1979). Growth and water limitation to dryland wheat yield in Australia: a physiological

477   frame work. *Journal of Ausralian Instittute of Agricultural Science. 45:83-94.*

478   Fredrick TS, Martin L, Martthew PR, Hannah EJ. (2013). Quantifying the relationship between

479   temperature regulation in the ear and floret development stage in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L)*under

480   heat and drought stress. *Functional Plant Biology* 40: 700-707.

481   Gaetan L, Karine C, Christain F, Bruno A, Catherine G. (2008). Relative contributions of light interception

482   and radiation use efficiency to the reduction of maize productivity under cold temperatures. *Functional*

483   *Plant Biology* 38: 885-899.

484   Garmash EV. (2005). Temperature controls a dependence of Barley plant growth on mineral nutrition

485   level. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology* 52:338-344.

486   Gonzalez FG, Slafer GA, Marelles DJ. (2003). Floret development and spike growth as affected by

487   photoperiod during stem enlongation in wheat. *Field Crop Research:* In press.

488   Gou R, Lin Z, Mo X, Yang C. (2010). Response of crop yield and water use efficiency to climate change in

489   the North China plain. *Agricultural water management* 97: 1185-1194.

490   Harper FR, Berkenkamp B. (1975). Revised growth key for *Brassica* Campestris and B. Napus. *Canadian*

491   *Journal of Plant Science 55: 657-658*.

492   HUMPHRIES, E. C. and BOND, W. (1969), Experiments with CCC on wheat: effects of spacing, nitrogen

493   and irrigation. Annals of Applied Biology, 64: 375–384.

494   IPCC . 2001. *Climate change 2001; the scientific basis, contribution of working group to the* third

495   *assessment report of intergovernmental panel on climate change*. Cambridge University press, pp 103.

496   John WP, Kim C. (2014). Crop yields components-photoassimilate supply or utilization limited-organ

497   development. *Functional Plant Biology* 41:893-913.

498   Kernich GC, Halloran GM, Flood RG. (1996). Constant and interchanged photoperiod effects on the rate

499   of development in barley (*hordeum vulgare*). *Australian Journal of plant physiology 23:489-496*.

500   Kiniry JR, Rosenthal WD, Jackson BS, hoogenbroom G. (1992). Predicting leaf development of crop plants

501   In*: Hodges T(Ed) predicting crop phenology*. CRC.press Boca Raton FL. Pp 29-42.

502   Kirkegaard JA, Sprague SJ, Lilley JM, McCormick JI, Virgona JM, Morrison MJ. (2012). Physiological

503   response of spring canola (*Brassica napus*) to defoliation in diverse environments. *Field Crop Research*

504   *125:61-68*.

505   Kutcher HR, Warland, JS, Brandt SA. (2010). Temperature and precipitation effects on canola yields in

506   Saskatchewan, Canada. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 150: 161-165

507   Lineman AR. (1993). Phenological development in Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean*) at constant

508   exposure to photoperiods of 10 and 16h. *Annals of Botany 71:445-452.*

509   Liu X, Herbet SJ, Baath K, Hashemi AM. (2006). Soybean (*Glycine max*) seed growth characteristics in
510   response to light enrichment and shading. *Plant Soil Environment* 52:178-185.

511   Matthews RB, Hunt. LA. (1994). GUMCAS: a model describing the growth of cassava (*manihot esculent*
512   *L.crantz*). *Field Crop Research 36: 69-84.*

513   Morandi EN, Casano LM, Riggiado LM. (1988). Post flowering photoperiod effects on reproductive
514   efficiency and seed growth in soybean*. Field crops Research* 18:227-241.

515   Morrison MJ, Stewart DW, McVetty PBE. (1992). Maximum area expansion rate and duration of summer
516   rape leaves*. Canadian Journal of plant Science 72:117-126*.

517   Morrison MJ, Stewart DW. (2002). Heat stress during flowering in summer *Brassica*. *Crop Science* 85:
518   431-438.

519   Morrison, MJ, McVetty, PBE. (1991). Leaf appearance rates in summer rape. *Canadian Journal*
520   *Plant Science* 71: 405-412.

521   Munier-Jolain N, Larmure A, Salon C. (2008). Determinism of carbon and nitrogen accumulation in
522   legume seeds. *CR Biol*ogy 331: 780-787.

523   Nanda R, Bhargava SC, Rawson HM. (1995). Effect of sowing date on rates of leaf appearance, final leaf
524   numbers and areas in *Brassica* Compestris, B. juncea, B. napus and B Carinata. *Field Crops Research* 42:
525   125-134.

526   Nordli EF, Stom, M, Torrie   S. (2011). Temperature and photoperiod control of morphology and
527   flowering time in two year greenhouse grown Hydrangea macrophylla cultivars. *Scienta Horticulturea*
528   127: 372-377.

529   Paine CET, Matthews TR, Vogt DR, Purves D, Rees M, Hector A, Turnbull LA. (2012). ''How to fit
530   nonlinear plant growth models and calculate growth rates: updates for ecologists". *Methods in Ecology*
531   *and Evolution* 3:245.

532   Pinrige AA, Down RJ, Borthwick HA. (1963). Photocontrol of growth and flowering of caryopteris.
533   *American Journal of Botany 50, 86-90.*

534   Poggio SL, Satorre EH, Dethiou S, Gonzalez GM. (2005).Pod and seed numbers as a function of
535   photothermal quotient during the seed set period of field pea (*Pisum Sativum*) crops. *European Journal*
536   *Agriculture 22:55-69.*

537   Qaderi MM, Kurepin LV, Reid DM. (2006). Growth and physiological responses of canola (Bassica napus)
538   to three component of global climate change temperature carbon di oxide and drought. *Physiologia*
539   *Plantarium* 128: 710-721.

540   Rawson HM, Dunstone Rl. (1986). Simple relationships describing the responses of leaf growth to
541   temperature and radiation in sunflower. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 13: 321-327.

542   Richards RA. (1991). Crop improvement for temperature Australia: future opportunities. *Field Crops*
543   *Research 26: 141-169.*

544   Ritchie JT, Ne Smith DJ.(1991). Temperature and crop development In: Hanks RJ, Ritchie EJT,(eds)
545   *Modelling plant and soil systems*. Madison WI: American Society of Agronomy*. PP 5-29.*

546   Ritchie JT, Ne Smith DS. (1991). Temperature and crop development, In; Hanks RJ, Ritchie EJT (eds),
547   *modelling plant and soil systems*. Madison WI: American Society of Agronomy. Pp 5-29.

548   Roberts EH, Summerfield RJ, Ellis RH, Qi A. (1993). Adaptation of flowering in crops to climate. *Outlook*
549   *Agriculture 22:105-110.*

550   Rood SB, Major DJ, Charnetski WA. (1984). Seasonal changes in $^{14}CO_2$ assimilation and ^{14}C
551   translocation in oilseed rape. *Field Crops Research* 8: 341-348.

552   Schwabe, WW. (1957). The study of plant development in controlled environment In; Hudson JP, (ed)
553   *Control of the plant environment*. London. PP 234-242.

554   Siebert S, Ewert F. (2012). Spatio-temporal patterns of phonological development in Germany in relation
555   temperature and day length. *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology 152: 44-57.*

556   Slafer GA, Rawson. HM. (1994). Sensitivity of wheat phasic development to major environmental
557   factors: a re-examination of some assumptions made by physiologists and modelers. *Australian Journal*
558   *of Plant Physiology 21:393-426.*

559 Slauenwhite KLI, Qaderi MM. (2013). Single and interactive effects of temperature and light quality on

560 four canola cultivars. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 199: 286-298.

561 Summerfield RJ, Roberts EH, Ellis RH, Lawn RJ. (1991). Towards the reliable prediction of time to

562 flowering in six annual crops In: The development of simple models for fluctuating field environments*.*

563 *Experimental Agriculture 27: 11-31*.

564 Tacarindua CRI, Shiraiwa T, Hamma K, Kumagi E, Sameshima R.(2012). The response of soybean seed

565 growth characteristics to increased temperature under near-field conditions in a temperature gradient

566 chamber. *Field Crop Research* 131: 165-171.

567 Tacarindua CRI, Tatshihik SI, Koki H, Etsushi K, Royi S. (2013). The effect of increased temperature on

568 crop growth and yield of soybean grown in a temperature gradient chamber*. Field Crop Research* 154:

569 74-81.

570 Thomas JMG, Boote KJ, Pan D, Allen LH. (2010). Elevated temperature delays onset of reproductive

571 growth and reduces seed growth rate of soybean. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 1:19-32.

572 Thomas RG. (1961). Correlation between growth and flowering in *chenopodium amaranthicolor* :

573 initiation of leaf and bud primordial. *Annals of Botany 25:138-151.*

574 Tsimba R, Gregory O, Edmeades, James PM, Peter DK. (2013). The effect of planting date on maize

575 phenology; thermal time durations and growth rates in a cool temperate climate. *Field Crop Research*

576 150:145-155.

577 Tsimba R. (2011). *Development of decision support system to determine the best maize (zea mays)*

578 *hybrid-planting date option under tropical typical New Zealand management systems.* Massey

579 University. New Zealand, Pp 261 (PHD thesis).

580 Victor OS, Jaun PM. (2006). Modelled wheat phenology captures rising temperatures trends; Shortened

581 time to flowering and maturity in Australia and Argentina. *Field Crop Research* 99:136-146.

582 www.Australian oilseed federation (2013)

583 X. Qi · R. E. Niks · P. Stam· P. Lindhout, "Identification of QTLs for partial resistance to leaf
584 rust (Puccinia hordei) in barley", Theor Appl Genet (1998) 96 : 1205-1215

585 Gustavo A. Slafer & H. M. Rawson, "Intrinsic earliness and basic development rate assessed for
586 their response to temperature in wheat", 1995, Euphytica 83: pp 175-183.

587

588

589
590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603
604

605

606
607

608

609

610

611

612

613