# Socio demographic Distribution of Ocular Axial Length in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

## 3 Abstract

AIM: To determine the socio demographic distribution of ocular axial length (AL) for use in
 estimating intraocular lens power, detect abnormal values and possibly associate them with
 pathological conditions.

METHOD: This is a community based descriptive study carried out in Port Harcourt City LGA,
 Nigeria of subjects 18 years of age or older and with Visual Acuity > 6/18. The major
 ethnic/linguistic groups in Rivers state are Ikwerre, Ogoni, Ekpeye Kalabari, Okrika, Ogba,
 Igbani, Obolo and Etche. Socio demographic data was obtained through a proforma. Ocular
 examinations done included visual acuity, applanation tonometry, and ophthalmoscopy.
 Axial length (AL) was measured using Amplitude (A) scan ultrasonography (SONOMED
 PACSCAN 300AP). Data analysis was by SPSS (Version 17), and p value was set at ≤ 0.05.

14

**RESULTS:** Four hundred and sixty six (466) subjects participated in the study made up of two 15 16 hundred and twelve (212) males (45.5%) and two hundred and fifty four (254) females (54.5%) with M: F ratio of 1:1.2. The age range was 18-92 years and mean age of the subjects 17 18 studied 43.0±14.2 years. Findings revealed mean AL to be 23.2±1.0 mm which was greater in males than females. The longest mean AL in males was seen among age group 51 and 60 19 20 years and that for females was seen in age group 41 and 50 years after which in both gender there was noticed to be a decline in mean axial lengths. There was no statistically significant 21 22 relationship between age and axial length. Axial length was found to be longer in subjects 23 with higher level of education and this pattern was statistically significant.

24

CONCLUSION: AL was significantly longer in males and has a positive relationship with the
 level of education of the study population. The longest mean AL in males was seen among
 age group 51 and 60 years and that for females was seen in age group 41 and 50 years after

which in both gender there was noticed to be a decline in mean axial lengths.

29

30 **Keywords:** Socio demographic Ocular Axial Length Black Population.

#### 31 Introduction

Axial Length (AL) is an important biometric parameter in the eye, and its measurement is 32 important in several conditions including the determination of the refractive status of the eye 33 as well as determination of intraocular lens power for patients prior to cataract surgery<sup>1</sup>. It is 34 defined as the distance between the anterior and the posterior poles of the eve or as the 35 distance from the anterior curvature of the cornea to the retinal pigment epithelium in 36 alignment along the optical axis of the eye.<sup>2,3</sup> At birth, the axial length is approximately 17-37 18mm; following which it increases by about 5mm (up to 23mm) from birth to age 3- 6years 38 until it reaches an average of 24mm in adulthood.<sup>3</sup> Mean axial length in the Blue mountain eye 39 study,<sup>4</sup> was 23.44mm, values noted for the Tanjong Pagar study,<sup>5</sup> in China was 23.23mm, while 40 that gotten by Adio et al,<sup>6</sup> in Nigeria was 23.57mm±1.19 which is in agreement with previously 41 documented literature. It has been found from previous studies, to be affected by age, sex and 42 educational status <sup>7,8,9</sup> Refractive error, anterior chamber depth, corneal curvature and central 43 corneal thickness are also affected by it.<sup>10,11,12,13</sup> Previous studies have also shown a relationship 44 between short axial length of the eye and an increased incidence of retinal vein occlusions<sup>14</sup> 45 46 and hypermetropia while longer axial lengths have been noted to be associated with an increased incidence of cataracts,<sup>15</sup> and myopia. Axial length is also said to have an influence on 47 emmetropisation of the eye.<sup>16</sup> It is also the most important parameter in the calculation of 48 intraocular lens power prior to cataract surgery, and helps in the diagnosis of pathological 49 conditions like staphyloma and risk of retinal detachment.<sup>7</sup> Therefore there is a need to know 50 the normal values of the axial length in our environment which can subsequently be used as a 51 52 vardstick to detect those with abnormal values, and subsequently screen them for the associated pathological conditions. 53

- 54
- 55 56 57 58 59 60
- 61

Axial length is a quantifiable variable measured either by ultrasonography (which could be by 62 contact or immersion techniques; amplitude (A) Scan or brightness (B) Scan) or by optical 63 methods (Partial Coherence Interferometry).<sup>2</sup> The measurement of axial length using the 64 amplitude scan is the "Gold standard" in ophthalmology, <sup>17, 18</sup> with values slightly differing from 65 those of the more modern intraocular lens (IOL) master measurements. However, values 66 obtained using the contact methods do not significantly vary from those obtained by 67 immersion.<sup>19</sup> It is longer in myopes and shorter in hyperopes<sup>3</sup>. The axial length is the most 68 important anthropometric variable in the calculation of Intra ocular Lens power as a 0.1mm 69 70 error in its measurement will result in as much as 0.25D change in post-operative refraction.<sup>20</sup>

Age, gender and educational status are known factors that affect the ocular axial length values. 71 72 Many cross sectional studies have shown a positive relationship between ocular axial length and age with variations in axial length also noted in the different gender. Lee et al,<sup>8</sup> who studied 73 the association of age, (among other parameters) with ocular dimensions in an older white 74 75 population aged 58 to 100 years, in the Beaver Dam Eye study noted that subjects younger 76 than 65 years had longer axial lengths than those aged 75 years and older, and that larger eyes 77 were observed in men than women. But he also noted that the adjustments for height accounted for most of the sex differences, and that age differences in axial length were 78 79 attenuated although not statistically significantly, after adjustments for height. Similarly, Jivraka et al,<sup>21</sup> who studied variability of axial length 750 eyes of 750 patients in California USA, 80 discovered that Axial length tended to be longer in younger patients and was inversely 81 correlated with age and men had a longer axial length than their female counterparts. In the 82 same vein, a study carried out by Koibuchi et al.<sup>22</sup> in Okinawa Japan discovered that the axial 83 lengths for males were longer than those for females although noted that above the age of 60 84 years the dimension became significantly smaller. 85

On the other hand, Pereira et al,<sup>23</sup> studied ocular biometric parameters and refractive error in Brazilian adults and their relationships, in subjects aged between 21 to 70 years, and reported that , every 10 year increase in age was related to a smaller axial length of 0.15mm and that there was no influence of gender on the analyzed biometric parameter. The study nevertheless had a small sample size (n= 173) and a higher percentage of females (64.7%) which may have accounted for the lack of influence of gender

92 Also, Fanny A. et al,<sup>17</sup> who studied ocular biometric values of the black African patients in The 93 Ivory Coast, in 325 eyes of 217 patients, discovered that men's eyes were significantly longer 94 than women's eyes and stated that the reasons for the differences were several and may have 95 been related to natural, socioeconomic or technical factors. Nothing was however mentioned 96 on the variation of this parameter with age.

Igbinedion and Ogbeide,<sup>24</sup> using 400 normal eyes of 200 normal subjects, at the University of 97 Benin Teaching Hospital, Nigeria, carried out measurements of ocular volume using 98 Computerized Tomography. They discovered that ocular volume correlated positively with the 99 age of the patients to about 50 years after which some reduction was observed, males were 100 said to have larger eyeballs in comparison to females although the difference was not 101 statistically significant. Also, lyamu et al,<sup>13</sup> who carried out a study on central corneal thickness 102 103 and axial length in 95 subjects aged between 20-69 years, showed that analysis of variance performed on the mean difference in axial length across the age group was not statistically 104 105 significant, so also were the regression analysis on axial length and age and the mean difference 106 between males and females.

Ogbeide et al,<sup>25</sup> studied ultrasonographic ocular diameters in Nigerians, in a hospital based 107 study using 200 subjects with age range of 3-92 years, and noted a gradual increase in all 108 eyeball diameters with age, with the highest values recorded in the older age group. He also 109 noted that the mean diameters were slightly higher in males than females although not 110 statistically significant. However he had a predominantly female sample (62%). This was also in 111 keeping with the hospital based study carried out by Adio et al,<sup>6</sup> on ocular axial length and 112 keratometry readings in healthy eyes of people in Southern Nigeria, using 800 eyes of 400 113 114 subjects in which she noted that the average axial length for males was slightly higher than that for females, although no variation with age was mentioned. 115

116 On the whole, several studies report positive cross sectional associations between age and axial 117 length, with the distribution skewed to higher values in middle age.

- 118 The lower values of axial length found in females may have been as a result of differences in 119 stature or height between females and males with most males being taller, whereas the trend
- of axial length with age could have been related to the fact that the globe grows rapidly from
- birth until the age of about 13-15 years after which growth slows significantly or stops.<sup>23</sup>

On the whole according to Uranchimeng et al,<sup>26</sup> axial length distribution is said to closely follow a Gaussian or normal distribution but is leptokurtic (i.e. the frequency distribution more concentrated around the mean than the corresponding normal distribution) with a modest right hand skew.

The influence of educational status has been noted in several studies. Uranchimeng et al,<sup>26</sup> on studying cultural differences in the Axial length of young adults living in Mongolia noted a 0.36mm increase (p<0.001) in axial length with unit increase in educational achievement (primary, secondary, and college). Similarly in the Beijing eye study,<sup>27</sup>higher mean axial length was significantly associated with higher level of education (p<0.001). Also on multivariate

- analysis by Hashemi et al,<sup>7</sup> in Iran, axial length was noted to positively correlate with years of
- education (P<0.001) while in the Epic- Norfolk study,<sup>9</sup> among British adults, axial length was
- 133 noted to have the strongest significant relationship with educational attainment.

#### 135 Method

This is a community based descriptive study carried out in Port Harcourt City LGA, Nigeria of subjects 18 years of age or older and with Visual Acuity > 6/18. The major ethnic/linguistic groups in Rivers state are Ikwerre, Ogoni, Ekpeye Kalabari, Okrika, Ogba, Igbani, Obolo and Etche. Comprehensive ocular examinations done included visual acuity with Snellen's chart, intra ocular pressure with Perkin's applanation tonometer, and funduscopy with Welch Allen's ophthalmoscope. Axial length (AL) was measured using Amplitude (A) scan ultrasonography (SONOMED PACSCAN 300AP). Data analysis was by SPSS (Version 17), and p value was set at  $\leq$ 0.05. 

-

- -

## 156 **RESULTS**

- 157 There were two hundred and twelve (212) males (45.5%) two hundred and fifty four (254) 158 females (54.5%) with male to female ratio of 1: 1.2 in this study.
- 159 The Ocular Axial Length (AL) values of the population studied were analysed.
- 160 The mean age of the subjects studied was 43.0±14.2 years with the age distribution between 18
- and 91 years, and a peak age group of between 31 and 40 years as shown in Figure 1.
- 162 The mean age for males was 41.6 ±12.7 years and that for females 44.8+15.8 years.
- 163 The gender distribution for different ages is shown in Table 1. About one quarter of the males
- in the population studied, (n=54; 25.5% of total male population) were within 41 and 50 years

and majority of the female population (n=83; 32.6% of female population) were within 31 and

- 166 40 years. There was a significant difference between both genders at different age groups (p=
- 167 0.01)
- 168 The mean AL of the general adult population studied was 23.2 ±1.0 mm (range 20.5 30.0mm).
- 169 The mean distribution of AL in males was 23.6 ±1.2 mm (21.2 to 30.0 mm) and in females 22.9
- $\pm 0.7$  mm (20.5 to 25.2mm). The mean difference between gender was 0.7 $\pm 0.1$  (95% C.I 0.5 to
- 171 0.8, t-value 7.0 and p= 0.0001).
- 172 The mean distribution in different age group between genders is shown in Figure 2.
- The longest mean AL in males was seen among age group 51 and 60 years and that for females was seen in age group 41 and 50 years after which in both gender there was noticed to be a decline in mean axial lengths.
- Figures 3 and 4 show the relationship between age and AL on bivariate linear regression between genders and it showed that there was no statistically significant relationship between age and axial length in both genders (p >0.05).
- Axial length was found to be longer in subjects with higher level of education and this pattern
  was statistically significant (F-test 3.710, p= 0.006).as shown in Table 2.
- 181
- 182
- 183
- 184



Age groups / Genu	er Male	Female	Total
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
<30 years	43(51.2)	41(48.8)	84 (18.0)
31 – 40 years	48 (36.6)	83 (63.4)	131 (28.1)
41 – 50years	54 (43.5)	70 (56.5)	124 (26.6)
51 – 60 years	38 (50.7)	37 (49.3)	75 (16.1)
61 – 70 years	14 (42.4)	19 (57.6)	33 (7.1)
>70 years	15 (78.9)	4(21.1)	19 (4.1)
Total	212 (45.5)	254 (54.5)	466 (100.0)









211 Bivariate linear regression

# 212 Figure 4: Relationship between Age and Axial Length in females

- 213
- 214

## 215 Table 2: Pattern of axial length with educational status

Educational status	Freq	Mean Axial length ±SD	F-test	p-value	
No formal education	20	22.5 ± 0.4	3.710	0.006	
Primary	74	23.0 ± 1.0			
Secondary	181	23.2 ± 1.1			
Tertiary	155	23.4 ± 1.1			
Religious education	36	23.5 ±1.0			
Total	466	23.2 ±1.0			

216 One Way Analysis of Variance test

#### 217 DISCUSSION

This study describes the socio demographic distribution ocular axial length (AL) with a view to deriving possible usable working formulae for estimating intraocular lens power for cataract surgeries in resource-challenged settings and possibly associate abnormal values with pathological conditions in normal adults in the communities of Port Harcourt City Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria.

223 Most of the subjects studied were of Rivers ethnicity (n=184; 39.5%) which could be explained 224 by the fact that the study was carried out in the communities that make up Port Harcourt city 225 LGA. This was similar to the study carried out by Adio,<sup>6</sup> on 400 subjects in UPTH eye clinic 226 where 56% of the subjects were from Rivers state. Most of the subjects were businessmen and 227 women which may probably be due to the fact that Port Harcourt is largely a commercial city.

The mean axial length of the population in this study was 23.2±1.0mm which was similar to the 228 values noted by Connell et al,<sup>28</sup> (23.03±1.61mm), Hashemi et al,<sup>7</sup>(23.14mm) and the Beijing eye 229 study,<sup>27</sup> (23.25±1.14). It was however slightly lower than that obtained by Adio et al 230 (23.57±1.19 mm), and Iyamu et al,<sup>13</sup>(23.5±0.70mm). This difference may have been attributed 231 to the fact that the former was a hospital-based study and may not have been representative of 232 the population. While the latter had a smaller sample size(n=95), and had an age range of 20-69 233 234 years as opposed to this study which had an age range of 18-91 years. The younger age range may have attributed to the higher mean axial length since it has been noted in several studies 235 that there is a decline in axial length with older age. The study by lyamu et al also had a male to 236 female ratio of 1.4:1 as opposed to this study (1:1.2). The higher proportion of males in the 237 study by Iyamu may have further attributed to the higher mean axial length since axial length 238 has been noted to be higher in males than females. The mean axial length in this study was also 239 noted to be lower than that in the Central India eye study (22.66mm) this may be related to the 240 lower height and BMI values in the Indian population  $(1.56\pm0.09m, 19.37\pm kg/m^2)$ . 241

In this study, males were found to have significantly longer axial lengths than females with a 242 mean difference of 0.7±0.1mm (p=0.0001) (Figs 2, 3). This was similar to studies carried out by 243 Adio et al,<sup>6</sup> in Nigeria, Hashemi et al,<sup>7</sup> in Iran, Lee et al,<sup>8</sup> in Britain and the Tanjong Pagar eye 244 study,<sup>5</sup> and the Central India eye study,<sup>1</sup>where males were found to have higher axial lengths 245 than females but dissimilar to the Beijing eye study where there was no statistically significant 246 difference between the axial length in males and females and the study on Nigerians by Iyamu 247 et al,<sup>13</sup>where the mean axial length in females was higher than that in males. This difference in 248 pattern may have been due to the fact that the sample size in the study by Iyamu was small 249 250 (n=95) with a smaller proportion of female. The age range of the sampled females is also not known as this may have affected the relative mean axial length if the females in the populationwere younger.

The longest axial length in males in this study was seen within age group 51 to 60 years which was similar to that found by Lee et al,<sup>8</sup> who stated that adults younger than 65 years had larger eyes than those aged 75 years and above while in females the longest mean axial lengths were noticed amongst the age group 41 to 50 years similar to that obtained by the Tanjong Pagar eye study.

- There was no statistically significant relationship between axial length and age in both gender on bivariate linear analysis in this study (Figs 3 and 4), this was similar to the results got by lyamu et al,<sup>13</sup> where regression analysis performed on axial length and age showed no statistical significance (p=0.46), and Connell et al,<sup>28</sup> in Eritrean eyes who stated that there was no correlation between age and biometric readings of his subjects.
- In this study, ocular axial length was found to be longer in those with higher levels of education 263 (p= 0.006) (see Table 2). This was in agreement with results obtained from studies carried out 264 265 in other parts of the world where positive associations were noted between axial length and level of education.<sup>7,9,26,27</sup> In the study by Hashemi et al<sup>7</sup>, AL increased by a coefficient of 0.011 266 on multivariate analysis for each year of education, this was similar to results noted by Foster et 267 al.<sup>9</sup> where a coefficient of 0.21 was noted per increase in educational level and the study by 268 Uranchimeg et al,<sup>26</sup> an increase in AL of 0.36mm was noted per rise in educational level 269 270 (coefficient 0.19-0.52). However, from this study although regression analysis was not done on 271 the relationship, those with no formal education were noted to have the shortest eyes. Those with tertiary and religious education were at par with each other with Religious education being 272 slightly higher. This may be explained by the fact that most people with religious education may 273 have had some form of prior tertiary education or that the religious education may be 274 275 considered a form of tertiary education.
- 276

### 277 Conclusion

AL was significantly longer in males and has a positive relationship with the level of education of the study population. The longest mean AL in males was seen among age group 51 and 60 years and that for females was seen in age group 41 and 50 years after which in both gender there was noticed to be a decline in mean axial lengths

282 Ethical approval and consent:

- 283 Ethical clearance was obtained from relevant authorities. Socio demographic data and consent
- 284 were obtained through an interviewer based Performa.

285

286

References

287 288 289	1.	Nangia V, Jonas JB, Matin A, Kulkarni M, Sinha A, Gupta R. Body height and ocular dimensions in the adult population in rural Central India. The Central India Eye and Medical Study. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2010;248:1657–1666.
290 291 292	2.	Axial length. Encycl. Ophthalmol.2013;Available from: http://www.springerreference.com/docs/html/chapterdbid/335541.html [assessed 22 Jul 2014]
293 294 295	3.	Butterworth-Heinemann. axial length of the eye. Dict. Optom. Vis. Sci. 7th Ed. © 2009 Butterworth-Heinemann2009;Available from: http://medical- dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/axial+length+of+the+eye[assessed 21 Aug 2014]
296 297 298	4.	Fotedar R, Wang JJ, Burlutsky G, Morgan IG, Rose K, Wong TY, et al. Distribution of axial length and ocular biometry measured using partial coherence laser interferometry (IOL Master) in an older white population. Ophthalmology 2010;117:417–423.
299 300 301	5.	Wong TY, Foster PJ, Ng TP, Tielsch JM, Johnson GJ, Seah SK. Variations in ocular biometry in an adult Chinese population in Singapore: The Tanjong Pagar survey. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2001;42:73–80.
302 303	6.	Adio AO, Onua AA, Arowolo D. Ocular Axial Length and Keratometry Readings of Normal Eyes in Southern Nigeria. Niger J Ophthalmol 2010;18:12–14.
304 305 306	7.	Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Miraftab M, Emamian MH, Shariati M, Abdolahinia T, et al. The distribution of axial length, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, and vitreous chamber depth in an adult population of Shahroud, Iran. BMC Ophthalmol 2012;12:50.
307 308 309	8.	Lee KE, Klein BEK, Klein R, Quandt Z, Wong TY. Association of age, stature, and education with ocular dimensions in an older white population. Arch Ophthalmol 2009;127:88–93.
310 311 312	9.	Foster PJ, Broadway DC, Hayat S, Luben R, Dalzell N, Bingham S, et al. Refractive error, axial length and anterior chamber depth of the eye in British adults: the EPIC-Norfolk Eye Study. Br J Ophthalmol 2010;94:827–830.

313 314	10.	Lavanya R, Wong T-Y, Friedman DS, Aung HT, Alfred T, Gao H, et al. Determinants of angle closure in older Singaporeans. Arch Ophthalmol 2008;126:686–691.
315 316 317	11.	Sayegh FN. The correlation of corneal refractive power, axial length, and the refractive power of the emmetropizing intraocular lens in cataractous eyes. Ger J ophthalmol 1996;5:328–331.
318 319	12.	Sherpa D BB. Association between axial length of the eye and primary angle closure glaucoma. Kathmandu Univ Med J 2008;6:361–363.
320 321	13.	Iyamu E, Iyamu JE, Amadasun G. Central corneal thickness and axial length in an adult Nigerian population. J Optom 2013;6:154–160.
322 323	14.	Cekiç O, Totan Y, Aydin E, Pehlivan E, Hilmioglu F. The role of axial length in central and branch retinal vein occlusion. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers 1999;30:523–527.
324 325	15.	Hoffer KJ. Axial dimension of the human cataractous lens. Arch Ophthalmol 1993;111:914–918.
326 327 328	16.	Pennie FC, Wood IC, Olsen C, White S, Charman WN. A longitudinal study of the biometric and refractive changes in full-term infants during the first year of life. Vis Res 2001;41:2799–2810.
329 330 331 332	17.	Fanny A, Ouattara A, Aka J, Coulibaly F, Gbé K, Boni S B-CR, Konan-Touré ML AC. Ocular biometric values of the black African patient and theoretical consideration of the role of these values in various pathologies: analysis of 325 eyes. J Fr Ophtalmol 2007;30:68–72.
333 334	18.	Trivedi RH, Wilson ME. Axial length measurements by contact and immersion techniques in pediatric eyes with cataract. Ophthalmology 2011;118:498–502.
335 336	19.	Watson A, Armstrong R. Contact or immersion technique for axial length measurement? Aust N Z J Ophthalmol 1999;27:49–51.
337 338	20.	JJ Kanski BB. Clinical ophthalmology: A systematic approach. In: Clinical Ophthalmology: A systematic approach. Elsiever saunders; 2011. page 650–652.
339 340 341	21.	Jivrajka R, Shammas MC, Boenzi T, Swearingen M, Shammas HJ. Variability of axial length, anterior chamber depth, and lens thickness in the cataractous eye. J Cataract Refract Surg 2008;34:289–294.
342 343 344	22.	Koibuchi H, Hayakawa K, Uehara T, Ogido T, Nagataki S, Suzuki M. Anterior chamber dimensions in normal subjects on Kume Island, Okinawa. Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi Acta Soc Ophthalmol Jpn 1993;97:1185–1192.

345 346	23.	Pereira GC, Allemann N. Ocular biometry, refractive error and its relationship with height, age, sex and education in Brazilian adults. Arq Bras Oftamol 2007;70:487–493.
347 348	24.	Igbinedion BO, Ogbeide OU. Measurement of normal ocular volume by the use of computed tomography. Niger J Clin Pract 2013;16:315–319.
349 350	25.	Ogbeide OU, Omoti AE. Ultrasonographic ocular diameters in Nigerians. West Afr J Med 2009;28:97–101.
351 352 353	26.	Uranchimeg D, Yip JLY, Lee PS, Wickremasinghe S, Wong TY, Foster PJ. Cross- sectional differences in axial length of young adults living in urban and rural communities in Mongolia. Asian J Ophthalmol 2005;7:133–139.
354 355	27.	Yin G, Wang YX, Zheng ZY, Yang H, Xu L, Jonas JB. Ocular axial length and its associations in Chinese: the Beijing Eye Study. PLoS One 2012;7:43172.
356 357	28.	Connell B, Brian G BM. A case-control study of biometry in healthy and cataractous Eritrean eyes. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 1997;4:151–155.