## Gross Hematuria and Prostatic Cancer in Libyan Patients

# 

#### ABSTRACT

#### Aim:

Gross hematuria due to prostate cancer is an important clinical presentation and it is necessary to collect, analyze and determine certain criteria and data in the diagnosis and management of prostatic cancer .The aim of the present study is to find out the frequency of gross hematuria and to correlate it with gross hematuria and serum Prostatic Specific Antigen (PSA).in prostatic cancer patients.

#### Materials and methods:

A retrospective study was done in patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer in Hawari center for urology in Benghazi Libya from 2011 up to 2017. 60 cases were taken for the present study and 13data such as age of the patient, first complaint such as gross hematuria, serum PSA histopathology result, the types of therapy received (medical or surgical) were analyzed.

#### Resusts:

**p6**rcent cases in the present study had gross hematuria and there was positive correlation betwaren gross hematuria, serum PSA levels and Gleason score.

#### Contelusion:

The sult of this study indicate that the presentation of hematuria is not uncommon in prostate cancer and there is a mandatory need for screening of PSA and digital rectal examination (DRE) for an aged from 50-70 years old for early diagnosis and management of prostate cancer.

Key2words: prostate cancer, hematuria., PSA, Gleason score.

#### Intraduction:

Groas hematuria is one of the clinical findings in patients with prostatic cancer. Prostate cancer is one 20 f the major health problems that affect men's health. Gross hematuria in patients with prostate cancer is, therefore, a finding that needs to be taken into consideration in the diagnosis and 31 nanagement of prostatic cancer patients along with other confounding factors like smoking histôzy, symptoms of infection, stage of the cancer. The present study is undertaken to evaluate the presence and management of gross hematuria in Libyan prostatic cancer patients. (1-4)

## MaBarials and Methods:

A response study was done in patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer in Hawari center for urology in Benghazi Libya from 2011 up to 2017. 60 cases were taken for the present study and 37 data such as age of patient, first complaint such as gross hematuria, serum PSA result, histoge athology result, the types of therapy received (medical or surgical) were analyzed.

#### Res89ts:

The4total number of patients included in the present study was 60. Out of 60 patients with prostate cancer, the gross hematuria was noticed in 15 patients in this series which is app#2ximately 25 % of the patients, while the high PSA level was documented in all the patients.

Age group	Number of patients	Percentage %
51-60 years	4	7 %
61-70years	23	39%
71-80 years	19	32 %
81-90 years	12	20%
91-100 years	2	2%
total	60	100%

 Table 1. The average age group of prostate cancer patients.

Out40 f 60 patients 4 of them were between the age 51-60 years (about 7% of patients), 23 patients were between the age of 61-70 years (about 39% of patients). 19 patients were between the 40 ge of 71-80 years (about 32%),12 patients were between the age of 81-90(about 20%) 2 patients were more than 90 years old (about 2%)...

Symptoms	Number of patients	Percentage %
Symptoms		Tereentage 70
Gross hematuria	15	25%
Urine retention	5	8%
Asymptomatic	25	42%
Other symptoms	15	25%
Total	60	100%

#### Table 2 .Common symptoms observed in patients with prostate cancer

50

The51 umber of patients presented with gross hematuria are 15 (about 25%). 25 of patients (ab52t 42%) are asymptomatic, 15 patients (25%) presented with other symptoms like void53 g discomfort, symptom of bone metastasis) and 5 patients (8%) had urine retention as c54 mplaints.

## Table.3.Gross hematuria associated with high PSA at presentation.

	Number of patients	percentage	
Gross hematuria	15 25%		
High PSA	60	100%	

Out56f 60 patients about 25% of patients had gross hematuria and are associated with high PSA57at time of first presentation of prostate cancer and showed positive correlation with high58°SA using Spearman's rank of correlation (Rs = 0.116),

## Table 4. Serum PSA Level in prostatic cancer patients

PSA	Number of patients	Percentages %
Between 4-10 ng/ml	9	16%
Between 10-20 ng/ml	14	23%
Between 20-50 ng /ml	17	28%
More than 50 ng/ml	20	33%
Total	60	100%

The bighest reading of PSA is 1787 ng/ml and the lowest reading is 6.6 ng/ml; The mean PSA leves 1 was 15 ng/ml (SD = 4.06)

Gleason score	Number of patients	Percentage %
2-6	22	36%
7	8	14%
8-10	30	50%
Total	60	100%

#### Table5. Histopathology result and Gleason score:

22 of 3 patients had a Gleason score from 2-6(36%), 8 of patients have Gleason score 7(14%), 30 of patient the Gleason score was between 8-10 (50%) the most common Gleason score in this study  $5 \times (4+5) 9$ .

Serum PSA	Low Gleason score (2-6) No %	High Gleason score (7-10)No %	Total	
4-10ng/mL	4 6.6 %	5 8.3 %	9 - (15%)	
10-20ng/mL	6 10%	8 13.3 %	14-(23.3%)	
20-50ng/mL	5 8.3%	12 20 %	17-(28.3%)	
>50ng/mL	7 11.6%	13 21.6 %	20-(33.3%)	
Total	22 36.6%	38 63.3 %	60-(100%)	

Table.6 Relationship between serum PSA levels and Gleason score

High7PSA level are associated with high Gleason score.

68

69

70

71

Type of management	Number of patients	Percentage		
TUR-P	26	43%		
Surgical castration	12	20%		
Hormonal therapy	16	27%		
Radical prostatectomy	2	3.3%		
Radiotherapy	4	6.7%		
Total	60	100%		

TURP- trans urethral resection of the prostate

Moræsthan 63% of patients require surgical intervention. i.e. more than 43% of patients had TUR6P for management of hematuria, more than 20% of patients underwent surgical castration and 770 ore than 27% of patient received hormonal therapy, 6% of patients received radiotherapy and 780 cases underwent radical prostatectomy.

#### **Discussion:**

79

Prostate cancer is the second most common malignancy affecting men aged 50-70years.	80
This tumor is highly aggressive when detected late and has poor prognosis. (1-3).	81
Therefore, early screening of men with gross hematuria for prostatic cancer may help early	82
detection and treatment. It was found that more than 25% of the patients diagnosed with	83
prostate cancer had gross hematuria. The hematuria was due to prostate bleeding.(3)	84
Cystoscopy, trans-rectal prostatic biopsy, transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP)	85
histopathological findings were carried out for the diagnosis in most of cases.(3)	86
Most of the patients had high PSA (>6.5 ng/ml) and therefore, PSA screening was included for early detection and monitoring management of prostate cancer. Various treatment for gross hematuria in prostate cancer <b>patients were suggested which included</b> medical and surgical	87 88 89
management such as hormonal manipulation, TUR-P, radiotherapy and radical prostatectomy.(4- 6)	90 91
$\mathbf{L} = (A \ 0) + \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{L}$	~~

In 64 % of the patients a significant correlation between histopathology findings ( with high92Gleason score) and serum PSA levels. (7-10) were observed. Gross hematuria was reported to be93more in elderly patients which affected their quality of life.(4-6).94

The mean age of patient in our study was 73 and in other study 74.3. In our study more than 63%95of patient required surgical intervention for management of hematuria as compared to the other96study reported by Ofer etal (2013).(3)97

In our study, all patients who had bone metastasis had a PSA value of > 20 ng/mL, and in only 198patient (0.46%) with bone metastasis PSA concentration was around 50ng/mL. There was no99statistically significant correlation between PSA level and tumor grading by Gleason score and100between Gleason score and bone metastasis, (6-8)101

There was significant correlation between serum PSA levels and the Gleason score. The finding102of high PSA levels in Libyan prostate cancer patients in our study and other studies supports the103recommendation that serum PSA level should be checked in every patient above 50 years old for104early detection and management prostate cancer.105

## **Conclusion:**

107Complete investigation of any patient admitted with gross painless hematuria must be 108 indicated to rule out prostate cancer.

There is mandatory need for screening of PSA and DRE for men aged from 50-70 years 111 old for early diagnosis and management of prostate cancer.

**3**13Prostate cancer is sometimes symptomatic disease and gross hematuria is not uncommon 114 presentation and prostate cancer should be suspected.

The most common diagnostic modality for prostate cancer is currently trans-rectal

117 ultrasound with guided biopsy.

**5**19Medical treatment can be effective in some cases.

**621**Diagnosis of gross hematuria can be accomplished in most cases by cystoscopy. The **122** management of these patients was difficult. Transurethral surgical intervention is often **123** needed. Surgery is very effective in alleviating gross hematuria.

125			
126			
127			
128			

## References:

1. Singel RL1, Miller KD2, Jemal A3. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018 Jan;68(1):7-30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21442. Epub 2018 Jan 4.

2. Dasad M. Albala, John Reynard, Simon Brewster, Suzanne Biers. Prostatic cancer epidesaiology, etiology, prostate specific antigen and prostate cancer screening. Oxford Amesidan handbook of urology.2011;6:188-208.

3.Of ASSN Gofrit, Ran Katz, AmosShapiro, Vladimir Yutkin, Galina Pizov, Kevin C.Zorn et al. Gross chematuria in patients with prostate cancer: etiology and management. International Schober IV Research Notices. 2013; Article ID 685327, 4 pages.

4.Bh& ML, Bergstralh EJ, Iocca A, Scherer B,Zincke H. Use of Gleason score, prostate specific antigea, seminal vesicle and margin status to predict biochemical failure after radical prostatectomy. *J Urol*.2001;165:119-25.

5.Kanthilatha Pai1, Gauri Salgaonkar, Ranjini Kudva and Padmaraj Hegde. Diagnostic correlazion between Serum PSA, Gleason Score and bone scan results in prostatic cancer patients with bone metastasis. BRITISH BIOMEDICAL BULLETIN.2015; ISSN-2347-5447.

6. Wtoth AM, Wender RC, Etzioni RB, Thompson IM, D'Amico AV, Volk RJ. American Cancer Socilety guideline for the early detection of prostate cancer: update2010. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2010; 60:704698.

7.B1A7R. Barrass, R. Thurairaja, J. McFarlane, and R. A. Persad. Haematuria in prostate cancer: new1stalutions for an old problem. BJU International.2006;97(5)900–902.

8.Altaa9J. Wein, Louis R. Kavoussi, Alan W. Partin, Craig A. PETERS. Prostate cancer tumor mark50s, Prostate Biopsy, Pathology of Prostatic neoplasia, diagnosis and staging of Prostate Cant51. Campbell-Walsh Urology eleventh edition.2016;3:2543-2608.