## Gross Hematuria and Prostatic Cancer in Libyan Patients

# 1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### ABSTRACT

#### Aim:

Gross hematuria due to prostate cancer is an important clinical presentation and it is necessary to collect, analyze and determine certain criteria and data in the diagnosis and management of prostatic cancer .The aim of the present study is to find out the frequency of gross hematuria and to correlate it with gross hematuria and serum Prostatic Specific Antigen (PSA).in prostatic cancer patients.

#### Materials and methods:

A retrospective study was done in patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer in Hawari center for urology in Benghazi Libya from 2011 up to 2017. 60 cases were taken for the present study and 13data such as age of the patient, first complaint such as gross hematuria, serum PSA histopathology result, the types of therapy received (medical or surgical) were analyzed.

#### Resusts:

25 ptercent cases in the present study had gross hematuria and there was a positive correlation betydaen gross hematuria, serum PSA levels and Gleason score.

#### Contelusion:

The sult of this study indicate that the presentation of hematuria is not uncommon in prostate cancer and there is a mandatory need for screening of PSA and digital rectal examination (DRE) for an aged from 50-70 years old for early diagnosis and management of prostate cancer.

Key2words: prostate cancer, hematuria., PSA, Gleason score.

#### Intraduction:

Groas hematuria is one of the clinical findings in patients with prostatic cancer. Prostate cancer is one 20 f the major health problems that affect men's health. Gross hematuria in patients with prostate cancer is, therefore, a finding that needs to be taken into consideration in the diagnosis and 31 nanagement of prostatic cancer patients along with other confounding factors like smoking histôzy, symptoms of infection, stage of the cancer. The present study is undertaken to evaluate the presence and management of gross hematuria in Libyan prostatic cancer patients. (1-4)

#### MaBarials and Methods:

A response study was done in patients diagnosed with prostatic cancer in Hawari center for urology in Benghazi Libya from 2011 up to 2017. 60 cases were taken for the present study and 37 data such as age of patient, first complaint such as gross hematuria, serum PSA result, histoge athology result, the types of therapy received (medical or surgical) were analyzed.

#### Res89ts:

The4total number of patients included in the present study was 60. Out of 60 patients with prostate cancer, the gross hematuria was noticed in 15 patients in this series which is app#2ximately 25 % of the patients, while the high PSA level was documented in all the patients.

Age group	Number of patients	Percentage %
51-60 years	4	7 %
61-70years	23	39%
71-80 years	19	32 %
81-90 years	12	20%
91-100 years	2	2%
total	60	100%

 Table 1. The average age group of prostate cancer patients.

Out40 f 60 patients 4 of them were between the age 51-60 years (about 7% of patients), 23 patients were between the age of 61-70 years (about 39% of patients). 19 patients were between the 40 ge of 71-80 years (about 32%),12 patients were between the age of 81-90(about 20%) 2 patients were more than 90 years old (about 2%)...

Symptoms	Number of patients	Percentage %
Gross hematuria	15	25%
Urine retention	5	8%
Asymptomatic	25	42%
Other symptoms	15	25%
Total	60	100%

#### Table 2 .Common symptoms observed in patients with prostate cancer

50

The51 umber of patients presented with gross hematuria are 15 (about 25%). 25 patients (ab52t 42%) were asymptomatic, 15 patients (25%) presented with other symptoms like void53 g discomfort, symptom of bone metastasis) and 5 patients (8%) had urine retention as c54 nplaints.

#### Table.3.Gross hematuria associated with high PSA at presentation.

	Number of patients	percentage
Gross hematuria	15	25%
High PSA	60	100%

Out56f 60 patients about 25% of patients had gross hematuria and high PSA at time of first presontation of prostate cancer showing a positive correlation with PSA using Spearman's rank80f correlation (Rs = 0.116),

## Table 4. Serum PSA Level in prostatic cancer patients

PSA	Number of patients	Percentages %
Between 4-10 ng/ml	9	16%
Between 10-20 ng/ml	14	23%
Between 20-50 ng /ml	17	28%
More than 50 ng/ml	20	33%
Total	60	100%

The Boighest reading of PSA is 1787 ng/ml and the lowest reading is 6.6 ng/ml; The mean PSA leves 1 was 15 ng/ml (SD = 4.06)

Gleason score	Number of patients	Percentage %
2-6	22	36%
7	8	14%
8-10	30	50%
Total	60	100%

#### Table5. Histopathology result and Gleason score:

22 of 3 patients had a Gleason score of 2-6(36%), 8 of patients have Gleason score 7(14%), 30 patient the Gleason score between 8-10 (50%) the most common Gleason score in this study was (4+559).

Serum PSA		Gleason score 6) No %	<u> </u>	1 Gleason score 7-10)No %	Total
4-10ng/mL	4	6.6 %	5	8.3 %	9 - (15%)
10-20ng/mL	6	10%	8	13.3 %	14-(23.3%)
20-50ng/mL	5	8.3%	12	20 %	17-(28.3%)
>50ng/mL	7	11.6%	13	21.6 %	20-(33.3%)
Total	22	36.6%	38	63.3 %	60-(100%)

High7PSA level are associated with high Gleason score.

68

69

70

Type of management	Number of patients	Percentage
TUR-P	26	43%
Surgical castration	12	20%
Hormonal therapy	16	27%
Radical prostatectomy	2	3.3%
Radiotherapy	4	6.7%
Total	60	100%

TURP- trans urethral resection of the prostate

Morzsthan 63% of patients required surgical intervention. i.e. more than 43% of patients had TUR6P for management of hematuria, while 20% of patients underwent surgical castration 27% of patient received hormonal therapy, 6% of patients received radiotherapy and two cases undzewent radical prostatectomy.

#### **Discussion:**

Prostate cancer is the second most common malignancy affecting men aged 50-70years.80This tumor is highly aggressive when detected late and has poor prognosis. (1-3).81Therefore, early screening of men with gross hematuria for prostatic cancer may help early82detection and treatment. It was found that more than 25% of the patients diagnosed with83prostate cancer had gross hematuria. The hematuria was due to prostate bleeding.(3)84Cystoscopy, trans-rectal prostatic biopsy, transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP)85histopathological findings were carried out for the diagnosis in most of cases.(3)86

Most of the patients had high PSA (>6.5 ng/ml) and therefore, PSA screening was included for87early detection and monitoring management of prostate cancer.Various treatment for gross88hematuria in prostate cancer patients were suggested and included medical and surgical89management such as hormonal manipulation, TUR-P, radiotherapy and radical prostatectomy.(4-906)91

In 64 % of the patients a significant correlation between histopathology findings (high Gleason92score) and serum PSA levels. (7-10) were observed. Gross hematuria was reported to be more93frequent in elderly patients affecting their quality of life.(4-6).94

73

The mean age of patients in our study was 73 and in other studies 74.3. In our study more than	95
63% of patient required surgical intervention for management of hematuria as compared to the	96
other study reported by Ofer etal (2013).(3)	97

In our study, all patients who had bone metastasis had a PSA value of > 20 ng/mL, and in only 1 98 patient (0.46%) with bone metastasis PSA concentration was around 50ng/mL. There was no 99 statistically significant correlation between PSA level and tumor grading by Gleason score 100 and between Gleason score and bone metastasis in other studies reported (4, 6-8). Bannakij 101 et al (2014) (9) had reported that there was a significant correlation between PSA level and 102 Gleason score in prostatic cancer with bone metastasis. In our study also there was a 103 significant correlation between PSA levels, Gleason score in prostatic cancer with bone 104 metastasis. Further studies are being carried out with more number of patients to evaluate 105 the role of PSA, Gleason score in prostatic cancer with or without bone cancer. 106

The finding of high PSA levels in Libyan prostate cancer patients in our study and other studies107supports the recommendation that serum PSA level should be checked in every patient above 50108years old for early detection and management prostate cancer.109

#### **Conclusion:**

111Complete investigation of any patient admitted with gross painless hematuria must be

112 indicated to rule out prostate cancer.

113

**21**4 There is mandatory need for screening of PSA and DRE for men aged from 50-70 years 115 old for early diagnosis and management of prostate cancer.

116

**317**Prostate cancer is sometimes symptomatic disease and gross hematuria is not uncommon 118 presentation and prostate cancer should be suspected.

119

420 The most common diagnostic modality for prostate cancer is currently trans-rectal

121 ultrasound with guided biopsy.

122

**5**23 Medical treatment can be effective in some cases.

124

110

**625** Diagnosis of gross hematuria can be accomplished in most cases by cystoscopy. The 126 management of these patients was difficult. Transurethral surgical intervention is often 127 needed. Surgery is very effective in alleviating gross hematuria.

128

129

131 132

133

## Refeences:

1. Sikesel RL1, Miller KD2, Jemal A3. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018 Jan;686(1):7-30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21442. Epub 2018 Jan 4.

2. Data M. Albala, John Reynard, Simon Brewster, Suzanne Biers. Prostatic cancer epidesaiology, etiology, prostate specific antigen and prostate cancer screening. Oxford Américan handbook of urology.2011;6:188-208.

3.OfferON Gofrit, Ran Katz, AmosShapiro, Vladimir Yutkin, Galina Pizov, Kevin C.Zorn et al. Gross hematuria in patients with prostate cancer: etiology and management. International Scholarly Research Notices.2013;Article ID 685327, 4 pages.

4.Bh#a ML, Bergstralh EJ, Iocca A, Scherer B,Zincke H. Use of Gleason score, prostate specific antigen, seminal vesicle and margin status to predict biochemical failure after radical prostatectomy. *J Urol*.2001;165:119-25.

5.Kanthilatha Pai1, Gauri Salgaonkar, Ranjini Kudva and Padmaraj Hegde. Diagnostic correlation between Serum PSA, Gleason Score and bone scan results in prostatic cancer patients with bone metastasis. BRITISH BIOMEDICAL BULLETIN.2015; ISSN-2347-5447.

6. Widd AM, Wender RC, Etzioni RB, Thompson IM, D'Amico AV, Volk RJ. American Cancer Socilety guideline for the early detection of prostate cancer: update2010. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2010; 60:705-98.

7.B.152R. Barrass, R. Thurairaja, J. McFarlane, and R. A. Persad. Haematuria in prostate cancer: new1531utions for an old problem. BJU International.2006;97(5)900–902.

8.Altār4J. Wein, Louis R. Kavoussi, Alan W. Partin, Craig A. Peters. Prostate cancer tumor markās, Prostate Biopsy, Pathology of Prostatic neoplasia, diagnosis and staging of Prostate Cantas. Campbell-Walsh Urology eleventh edition.2016;3:2543-2608.

9. Bastanakij L, Wisan A, Wilaiwan C, and Chaichawan U. .Correlation and diagnostic performance of the prostate-specific antigen level with the diagnosis, aggressiveness, and bone metastasis of prostate cancer in clinical practice. Prostate Int. 2014 Sep; 2(3): 133–139. Publistated online 2014 Jul 30. doi: 10.12954/PI.14054