

1 **IMPACT OF FREE DAY SECONDARY EDUCATION**
2 **FUNDING ON STUDENT ENROLMENT KCSE**
3 **ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT IN GUCHA SOUTH SUB-**
4 **COUNTY, KENYA**

5 **ABSTRACT**
6
7

Aims: To determine the impact of subsidized Free Day Secondary Education funding on student enrolment and Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education (KCSE) academic achievement in Gucha South schools.

Study design: Descriptive survey research design

Place and Duration of Study: Public day secondary schools in Gucha South Sub-County, Kisii County, Kenya. Study was conducted between January to April 2016.

Methodology: A descriptive survey research design was used to collect data from public day secondary schools in Gucha South Sub-County. Study population comprised of 323 subjects: 35 principals, 280 teachers, one Sub-County Director of Education and 7 Zonal Quality and Standards Officers (ZQASOs) in the Sub-County. 30% of the study population subjects were randomly sampled to give 11 principals, 84 teachers, 2 ZQASOS and one Sub-County Director of Education (SCDE) hence a total of 98 respondents. Structured questionnaires and Interview schedules were used to collect data from the sampled respondents. Qualitative data collected from interviews and open-ended questions were analysed using meanings and implications coming from respondents. Quantitative data was analysed using descriptive statistics in the form of frequency counts, means and percentages. Impact of the FDSE funding on academic achievement was measured in the KCSE grading whereby a lower to higher level reflected a positive impact.

Results: Student enrollment rose from an average of 117 per school in 2008 to 212 in 2012. KCSE achievement improved over the years from a mean score of 3.25 in 2008 to 4.50 in 2012.

Conclusion: FDSE policy is a worthy initiative for it enhanced access to education, increased student enrollment as well as improved the academic achievement of learners through a positive deviation of the mean in KCSE from lower to upper grades

8
9 *Keywords: [Secondary education, enrolment, academic achievement, student enrollment]*
10

11 **1. INTRODUCTION**
12

13 Secondary school education has higher rates of return compared to primary school education [1]. This
14 is due to the fact that at secondary school students develop reasoning and thinking skills, learn a way
15 of life that enables them to be valuable citizens and promote nationhood. To expand quality
16 secondary education is therefore critical for a better educated workforce [2]. In Africa, the
17 governments of various countries have been committed to promoting Education for All (EFA). This
18 has led to increased demand for secondary education and hence increased budgetary allocation [3].
19 In Kenya, secondary education aims to prepare the learners to make positive contribution to the
20 development of society. It also helps learners to choose with confidence as well as cope with
21 vocational education after school. Furthermore, education helps in the acquisition of attitudes of
22 national patriotism, self-respect, self-reliance, cooperation, integrity, adaptability and sense of
23 purpose. [4]

24 In developed countries such as Australia, Britain, France and Sweden, secondary school education is
25 financed by governments [5]. In Kenya, secondary education has grown steadily since independence
26 in 1963, commanding a huge proportion of budgetary allocation (40 %) from the government [6]. This
27 is attributed to the fact that education is widely recognized as key to national development [7]. An
28 increase in access and quality of education, relative to the national population is critical to social,

29 cultural, political, religious and economic growth. Secondary education in Kenya aims at equipping
30 learners with knowledge, skills and attitudes for development of self and the nation at large [8].
31 Secondary education also has large effects on human capital, reducing low-skill self employment, and
32 increasing formal employment [9].

33 The provision of education to the country's children has been and will continue to be an expensive
34 investment to the government and individual households. This is why the Kenyan Ministry of
35 Education aptly states that the provision of education is a collaborative effort between it, and a diverse
36 group of partners and stakeholders including individuals, non-Governmental organisations, local
37 authorities, faith or religious based organizations, development partners, local communities and
38 parents [10].

39 The issue of financing education has been addressed by the Government through the evolution of
40 education policies since the 1963 independence with the production and publication of educational
41 reports. The Ominde Report of 1964 proposed an education system to foster national unity and
42 development. The Gachathi Committee Report of 1976 focused on changing the structure of
43 education. It was followed by the Mackay Report of 1981 that led to the establishment of the 8-4-4
44 system of education. The Sessional Paper No. 6 of 1988 addressed financing of education as its
45 running theme and was an outcome of the Kamunge Report leading to cost sharing [11].

46 In Kenya, education being centrepiece of the Government's Vision 2030, an ambitious plan has been
47 put in place to transform the country into a middle- income country by 2030. Free Primary Education
48 (FPE) and Free Day Secondary Education (FDSE) policies are part of this vision and have produced a
49 dramatic response in an effort to attain the Millennium Development Goals. The Government in 2003
50 introduced Free Primary Education (FPE) to ensure access, retention, equity, and relevance, internal
51 and external efficiencies within the education system [8]. This led to the increase of pupils in public
52 primary schools from 5.9 million in December 2002 to 6.9 million in January 2003 and 7.2 million in
53 2004. As per the year 2007, there were 7.7 million children in primary schools [7]. This increased
54 enrolment needed a way for these children to further their education after KCPE. Therefore, the
55 Kenyan government in 2008 as part of the fulfilment of the campaign pledges of 2007, increased its
56 support to public secondary schools through the Free Day Secondary Education (FDSE) policy. The
57 policy aimed at enhancing enrolment and improving quality in secondary education. Funds disbursed
58 to all public secondary schools were to cater for personal emoluments, activity, strengthening
59 mathematics and sciences, medication, repair, maintenance and improvement and tuition as
60 examination material costs. This sought to supply enough learning materials and required
61 infrastructure to all public secondary schools. The funds were also meant to enhance curriculum
62 implementation, timely syllabus coverage, access to education, retention of students and academic
63 performance.

64 In Gucha South, the KCSE performance in public day secondary schools had not been satisfactory
65 (Table 1) before the introduction of FDSE. The poor KCSE achievement before the introduction of
66 FDSE was attributed to lack of learning resources, student absenteeism as a result of lack of fees and
67 poor school infrastructure.

68
69

Table 1 Gucha South Sub-County KCSE Performance for 2003- 2007

Year	Mean
2003	3.45
2004	3.42
2005	3.61
2006	3.51
2007	3.49

70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78

With the FDSE funding, the relationship between FDSE funds and students academic achievement as well as enrolment in public day secondary schools in Gucha South Sub- County has not been established, a gap that this study sought to address by examining the impact of FDSE on student enrolment and academic achievement in public day secondary schools in Gucha South Sub-County, Kisii County, Kenya. Objectives and research questions for this study were to find out how FDSE affects students' enrolment and academic achievement in KCSE in public day secondary schools in Gucha South Sub-County.

79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Location of the Study

The study was conducted in public day secondary schools in Gucha South Sub-County, Kisii County, Kenya. The Sub-County is located at a latitude of 0° 55' 54 S and longitude 34° 08' 11 E. It has four Educational Divisions namely; Nyamarambe, Tabaka, Moticho and Etago and seven educational Zones. These Zones are: Nyakembene, Omogenda, Suguta, Tabaka/Rigena, Mochengo, Etago and Moticho. It has 44 Public secondary Schools whereby 35 are mixed day secondary schools. Tabaka Division consists of Tabaka ward where Tabaka/Rigena zone is situated. Nyamarambe Division comprises Bogetenga and Boikanga wards with Nyakembene and Mochengo zones. In Etago division, there is Chitago/Borabu ward with Omogenda and Etago zones. Moticho division has Moticho and Getenga wards with Moticho and Suguta zones.

2.2 Study population, Sample Size and Sampling Techniques

The study population consisted of three hundred and twenty three (323) subjects made up of: 35 principals, 280 teachers from the 35 Public day secondary schools in Gucha South Sub-County, one Sub-County Director of Education and 7 ZQASOs. Based on the 30% recommended sample size [12-14], 11 principals, 84 teachers, 2 ZQASOS and one Sub-County Director of Education were randomly sampled from each of the individual study population to give a total of 98 respondents (Table 2). Saturation sampling technique was used to select the Sub-County Director of Education for he was the only one.

101 **Table 2 Population, Sample Size and Percentage of the Sample Size to Population.**

Description	Population	Sample Size	Percentage(%)
Principals	35	11	30
Teachers	280	84	30
ZQASOs	7	2	30
DEO	1	1	100
TOTAL	323	98	30

2.3 Data Collection

102
103
104 The tools for data collection in this study were questionnaires for principal and teachers, Interview
105 schedules were administered to the SCDE, ZQASOS to gather data on the impact of FDSE policy on
106 academic achievement in public day secondary schools. In-depth interviews were as well
107 administered to ten teachers and four principals for collection of quality data through the process of
108 probing. The interview schedules for the SCDE, ZQASOs, principals and teachers centred on
109 students' enrolment as well as KCSE performance. The researcher next visited the sampled schools
110 to individually administer the questionnaires to teachers and principals that were filled and collected
111 on the same day to avoid falsification, losses and time wastage. The questionnaires were self-
112 administered. The interviews were conducted in the schools, education offices of the Sub-County,
113 ZQASOs and in schools using the developed and piloted interview schedules. The interview data was
114 audio- recorded using a phone. The respondents were informed prior to the interview and assured
115 that the information they gave was to be treated confidentially and used only for purposes of the
116 study.

2.4 Methods of Data Analysis

117
118
119 Qualitative data collected from interviews and open-ended questions were analysed using meanings
120 and implications coming from respondent information. Responses from open ended questions and

121 interviews included quoted words. The quoted words were put as they were expressed. The
 122 responses from interviews were transcribed and then organised into themes and sub-themes that
 123 emerged and were complimented by the data from questionnaires. Quantitative data were analysed
 124 using descriptive statistics in the form of frequency counts, means and percentages.

125

126

127 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

128

129 3.1 Demographic data

130 The demographic data of the respondents included gender, level of education and work experience.
 131 The study was carried out in public day secondary schools in Gucha South Sub-County, Kisii County
 132 amongst 84 teachers and 11 principals. The female teachers comprised 25% of the teachers'
 133 population and 75 % male teachers, while the female respondents were 8 % for the principals and 92
 134 % males. There was one male Sub-County Director of Education; two ZQASOs.

135

136 3.1.1 Education Level

137

138 Results (Table 3) show that a majority of the principals that is 63.6 % had a Bachelor's degree while
 139 36.4 % had Masters Degree. None of the principals was a diploma holder. 72.6 % of the teachers had
 140 a Bachelors degree, 16.7 % had a Masters degree and 10.7 % with diploma certificates. On the other
 141 hand, 50 % of interviewed ZQASOs had a first degree with the other 50 % having a diploma in
 142 education. None of the ZQASOs was a masters holder. The one SCDE had a master of education
 143 degree.

144

145 **Table 3 Education Levels of the Principals, Teachers, Zonal Quality and Standards Officers**
 146 **and Sub County Director of Education**

Education level	Principals		Teachers		ZQASO		SCDE	
	n=11	%	n=84	%	n=2	%	n=1	%
Masters	4	36.4	14	16.7	0	0	1	100
Bachelors	7	63.6	61	72.3	1	50	0	0
Diploma	0	0	9	10.7	1	50	0	0
Total	11	100	84	100	2	100	1	100

147

148 3.1.2 Respondent's Work Experience

149 Results (Table 4) show that the working experience for the principals was as follows: 18.2 % had an
 150 experience of between 1-5 years, 45.4 % had 6-10 years and 36.4 % had 11-15 years. As for the
 151 teachers: 11.9 % had a working experience of 1-5 years, 40.5 % 5-10 years, 30.9 % 11-15 years and
 152 16.7 % of 16-20 years. The two ZQASOs and the Sub-County Director of Education had both worked
 153 for 6-10 years.

154

155 **Table 4 Work experience of respondents**

Work Experience	Principals		Teachers		ZQASO		SCDE	
	n=11	%	n=84	%	n=2	%	n=1	%
16- 20 Years	0	0	14	16.7	0	0	0	0
11- 15 Years	4	36.4	26	30.9	0	0	0	0
6 -10 Years	5	45.4	34	40.5	2	100	1	100
1 - 5 Years	2	18.2	10	11.9	0	0	0	0

156

157 The data in Table 4 show that a majority of the principals that is 45.4% had a working experience of
 158 over six years. This meant that they had a vast experience on management of schools that helped in
 159 the administration of the schools. The greater percentage of the teachers that is 40.5 percent had a
 160 teaching experience of over six years. The SCDE and the ZQASOs both had a working experience of
 161 6 to 10 years. This experience enabled the respondents to have the ability to give credible responses.

162

163 3.2 School Enrolment

164

165 3.2.1 Student enrolment in the Schools

166 The study aimed at presenting the enrolment in the schools for the period before and after the
 167 introduction of FDSE policy. Table 5 shows the enrolment trends of students between the years 2008
 168 to 2012 in the schools.

169

170

171 **Table 5 Number of Students Enrolled in the Eleven Schools (2008-2012)**

172

School	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
A	189	247	360	400	419
B	94	109	110	127	129
C	110	142	165	189	194
D	99	120	139	164	178
E	130	148	194	200	209
F	89	101	130	149	151
G	143	183	207	229	210
H	115	148	188	210	213
I	90	138	160	193	198
J	110	128	148	162	158
K	120	180	235	280	280
Total	1289	1644	2036	2303	2339
Average	117	149	185	209	212

173 Table 5 shows that the average number of students in the sampled schools increased steadily from
 174 117 in the year 2008 to 212 in the year 2012. The total enrolment for sampled schools rose from 1289
 175 in 2008 to 1644 in 2009, 2036 in 2010, 2303 in 2011 and 2339 in 2012. This shows that the schools
 176 recorded improved enrolment after the introduction of FDSE policy. This is commendable in that more
 177 students had access to secondary education in Gucha South Sub-County. The increased enrolment
 178 had an effect as the Government capitation to schools also went higher which enabled the schools to
 179 procure more learning materials, improved physical facilities which had a bearing on KCSE
 180 achievement.

181 3.2.2 Number of Students in Class

182 The study also asked the teachers to indicate the number of students in each of the classes they
 183 taught. Table 6 shows the responses of the teachers in regard to students in their classes.

184

185 **Table 6 Number of Students in a Class (2012)**

No. of Students	FI		FII		FIII		FIV	
		%		%		%		%
1-20	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
21-30	00	00	00	00	00	00	05	06
31-40	24	28.6	25	29.8	20	23.8	16	19
41-50	42	50	44	52.4	45	53.6	45	53.6
Over 50	18	21.4	15	17.8	19	22.6	18	21.4
Total	84	100	84	100	84	100	84	100

186

187 Table 6 shows that in form four, 6 % of the teachers attended to classes of between 21-30
 188 students, 19 % of the teachers attended to between 31- 40 students in class, 53.6 % attended to
 189 class sizes of between 41-50 students while 21.4 % of the teachers attended to class sizes of over 50
 190 students. In form three, 23.8 % of teachers attended to between 31- 40 students in class, 53.6 %
 191 attended to class sizes of between 41-50 students while 22.6 % of the teachers attended to classes of
 192 over 50 students. In form two, 29.8 % of teachers attended to between 31- 40 students in class, 52.4
 193 % attended to class sizes of between 41- 50 students while 17.8 % of the teachers attended to
 194 classes of over 50 students. In form one, 28.6 % of teachers attended to 31- 40 students in class, 50
 195 % attended to class sizes of between 41- 50 while 21.4 % of the teachers attended to classes of over
 196 50 students. There is an indication from the data that 73.2 % of the teachers had students in their
 197 classrooms of over forty meaning that the classrooms had the required number due to FDSE policy.

198

199

200 3.3 Learner Academic Achievement

201

202 3.3.1 Frequency of internal Examinations

203 The researcher sought from the principals the frequency of administering internal examinations after
 204 the introduction of FDSE funds. Table 7 shows the frequency with which the internal examinations
 205 were administered.

206

207 **Table 7 Frequency of administering Internal Examinations**

Frequency of internal exams	No of Principals(n=11)	Percentage
Thrice a term	6	54.5
Twice a term	4	36.4
Once a term	1	9.1
Yearly	0	0
Total	11	100

208

209 Table 7 shows that 54.5 % of the principals indicated that their schools did evaluation examinations
 210 three times a term, 36.4 % of them had their schools examinations twice a term and 9.1 % once a

211 term. This indicated that most of the schools did two or more examinations in a term thus enhancing
 212 KCSE academic achievement.

213

214 **3.3.2 KCSE Performance**

215

216 The study also sought to establish the effect of FDSE on KCSE academic achievement. The
 217 principals were to indicate if the introduction of FDSE policy had led to improved KCSE performance
 218 in their schools. Table 8 shows the response of the principals.

219

220 **Table 8 KCSE Performance**

Improved KCSE Performance	No. of principals (n=11)	Percentage
Yes	9	81.8
No	2	18.2

221

222 Table 8 shows that 81.8 % of the principals indicated that their school KCSE means had
 223 Improved with the introduction of FDSE policy while 18.2 % indicated that their school results had not
 224 improved. Thus for most of the schools, FDSE policy led to improved KCSE results. For the principals,
 225 whose KCSE performance had not improved attributed it the large number of students in class, staff
 226 shortage as well as poor syllabus coverage.

227 The principals were also to indicate KCSE performance for their schools from 2008-2012 as shown in
 228 Table 9

229

230 **Table 9 School KCSE Performance from 2008-2012**

School	Mean score				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
A	3.636	4.712	4.846	4.859	4.895
B	3.259	4.145	4.894	5.22	5.894
C	3.457	4.647	4.814	4.902	5.45
D	3.913	4.392	4.549	4.710	4.78
E	3.107	4.0	4.34	4.849	5.15
F	3.56	3.871	3.971	4.014	4.38
G	2.772	2.806	3.44	3.889	4.034
H	3.625	3.653	3.563	3.712	4.023
I	2.71	3.286	3.677	3.776	4.01
J	3.001	3.071	3.158	3.178	3.326
K	2.714	3.154	3.156	3.241	3.582
Average	3.250	3.794	4.037	4.214	4.502

231 Table 9 shows that the performance of the sampled public day schools in KCSE improved from an
 232 average of 3.25 in 2008 to 3.794 in 2009, 4.037 in 2010, 4.214 in 2011 and 4.502 in 2012. From these
 233 findings it is clear that the performance in public day secondary schools improved with the introduction
 234 of FDSE policy. Hence FDSE had a positive impact on academic achievement in public day
 235 secondary schools in Gucha South Sub-County.

236 Most of the principals, 63.6 % rated FDSE funding for examinations to be adequate for the purchase of
 237 stationery for examinations, laboratory chemicals and equipment, revision materials and examination
 238 preparation equipments. Some principals (36.4 %) however, felt the funds were not adequate and
 239 resorted to demanding for duplicating papers from students for examinations thus administering fewer
 240 examinations and few item examinations. The reduction of student absenteeism had led to full
 241 classrooms and as one teacher put it, "we no longer have to adjourn lessons because half of the class
 242 has been sent home to collect school fees". Thus for majority of principals the FDSE funds provided
 243 adequate examination materials for use by teachers in the administration of internal examinations.
 244 Consequently, there was an improved KCSE performance

245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301

Discussion

Education in most developed countries beyond the compulsory level is financed in part and sometimes wholly by the government [15]. Secondary school education is vital for national development and various stakeholders do recognize quality education as a pathway to achieving desirable lifestyles for all people [16]. The implementation of the FDSE policy requires adequate skills and experience to cope with its rising demand for the management and teachers who implement the curriculum. The skills are attained from the training the relevant personnel. Most principals had bachelors and master degrees and the one SCDE had a master degree in education. The knowledge and skills that the respondents possessed enabled them to ensure that the policy of FDSE was ineptly implemented in the schools to attain the targets that were set. Courses undertaken in colleges and universities gave the respondents the desirable technical, human and conceptual skills to analyse and diagnose complicated situations for the smooth operation of the policy.

Basically, when there is no overcrowding in class as a result of large numbers of students, there is active participation and a positive teaching morale is enhanced and therefore quality teaching. However, it was indicated that an average of 20.8 % of the teachers handle classes of over 50 students way above the recommended number of 40 per teacher as directed by the Ministry of Education. Increased enrolment was attributed to the Government subsidy to secondary schools. These findings were similar to those of [17] who also indicated that enrollment to school increases wherever education costs are subsidized in schools. A study by [18] on teacher population in Kenya since the introduction of FDSE found out that the average number of teachers shortage per school increased progressively from 3.6 in 2007, 4.00 in 2008 and 4.3 in 2009. The number of teachers has remained constant over the years despite the increase in enrolment and the number of schools. It is worth noting that teaching a classroom of above 41 students complicates the teachers' teaching methodology and provision of valid tests and examinations. Before the introduction of the FDSE, enrolment as well as the number of students consistently attending classes was greatly affected due to lack of adequate school fees from the parents and guardians. However, with the introduction of FDSE funding examination as well as revision materials, equipped laboratories enhanced learning and thus improved academic achievement. The reduction of student absenteeism led to full classrooms. Consequently the KCSE performance improved. It is generally agreed that the most important manifestations of schooling quality are literacy, greater cognitive abilities and better student performance in examinations [19]. Therefore, despite the shortcoming of large classes as a result of increased student enrollment with the introduction of FDSE, there was an improvement in the KCSE academic achievement.

4. Conclusion

FDSE policy is a worthy initiative for it enhanced access to education, provides physical facilities, learning resources which in return improved the academic achievement of learners through a positive deviation of the mean in KCSE from lower to upper grades.

REFERENCES

1. Wei, X., Tsang, M. C., & Chen, L.K. (1999). *Education and earnings in Rural China*, Education Economics, 7(2), 167-187.
2. UNESCO, (1997). *Science, Education and Development: Planning and Issues at Secondary Level*. Paris: UNESCO.
3. World Bank, (2008). *Governance, management and accountability in secondary education in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Washington DC: World Bank.
4. Sifuna, D. N., & Otiende, J. E. (2006). *An introductory history of education* (Revised Edition). Nairobi: Nairobi University Press.
5. Cameroon, D.M. (1997). *The Framework for Managing and Financing Post-Secondary Education in Canada*. The Forum Papers, National Forum on Post-Secondary Education in Canada. Institute for Research on Public Policy, Halifax.

- 302 6. Republic of Kenya, (2011). Ministry of Finance Budget Highlights citizens Guide 2011/2012.
 303 Nairobi: Ministry of Finance.
 304
 305 7. Republic of Kenya, (2007a). Ministry of Education Strategic Plan2006-2011. Nairobi:Ministry
 306 of Education.
 307
 308 8. Republic Of Kenya, (2006). Ministry of Education: Secondary History and
 309 Government. *Teachers Handbook*. Nairobi: Kenya Institute of Education.
 310
 311 9. Ozier, O. (2010). The Impact of secondary schooling in Kenya: A regression discontinuity
 312 analysis. Department of economics: University of California at Berkeley.
 313
 314 10. Republic of Kenya, (2011). Ministry of Finance Budget Highlights citizens Guide 2011/2012.
 315 Nairobi: Ministry of Finance
 316 11. Achoka, J. S. K., Odebero, S.O., Maiyo, J. K., & Mualuko, J.N. (2007). Access to basic
 317 education in Kenya: Inherent concerns in *Educational Research and Reviews vol. 2 (10)*, pp.
 318 275-284.
 319
 320 12. Kombo, D. S., & Tromp, D. L. A., (2009). *Proposal and thesis writing; an introduction*.
 321 13. Bell, J. (1993). *Doing your Research Project*. Buckingham: Oxford University Press.
 322 14. Mugenda, O. M., & Mugenda, A. G. (1999). *Research methods: Quantitative and Qualitative*
 323 *Approaches* (Rev. 2003). Nairobi: Acts press.
 324
 325 15. Goldin, C. (2001). *The Human capital century and American leadership: Virtues of the past*
 326 *NBER working paper NO.8239*.Cambridge: National Bureau for Economic Research.
 327 16. Munavu, R. M., Ogutu, D. M., & Wasanga, P. M. (2008). *Sustainable Articulation Pathways*
 328 *and Linkages between Upper Secondary and Higher Education in Africa*: Paper Presented at
 329 the Biennale on Education in Africa workshop, *Maputo, Mozambique*. May 5-9, 2008.
 330
 331 17. Justesen, M. K. (2002). *Learning from Europe: The Dutch and Danish school systems*.
 332 London: Adam Smith Institute.
 333
 334 18. Branson, N,Leibbrandt, M & Zuze,T.L. (2009). *The Demand for Education for Tertiary*
 335 *Education in South Africa*. Cape Town: SALDRU.
 336 19. UNESCO, (2004). *Global Monitoring Report*. Paris: UNESCO.
 337
 338

339 **DEFINITIONS**

340

Curriculum	Content of an education programme.
Free Day Education Impact	Waiver of all forms of tuition fees The consequences of educational inputs due to FDSE.
Quality Education	Education that is both relevant to the learners' needs and aspirations and helps in the attainment of national goals of education.

342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398

ABBREVIATIONS

- KCSE** Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education
- ZQASOS** Zonal Quality and Standards Officers
- SCDE** Sub-County Director of Education
- FDSE** Free Day Secondary Education

APPENDICES

**APPENDIX I
TEACHERS' QUESTIONNAIRE**

This research is strictly for purposes of the study 'The Impact of Free Day Secondary Education funding on KCSE Academic Achievement'. Kindly provide answers to these questions as honestly as possible. Your answers will be treated confidentially. Do not indicate your name or that of your school anywhere on this questionnaire.

SECTION A: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Please tick (✓) as appropriate or fill in the spaces provided.

1. Your gender

- Male
- Female

2. How old are you, in years?

- between 20 – 29
- between 30 – 39
- between 40 – 49
- over 50

3. Indicate your Professional qualification.

- Master of education
- Bachelor of education
- Diploma in education
- PGDE

Others, (please specify) _____

4. What is your teaching experience?

- 1-4 years
- 5-9 years
- 10-14 years
- 15-19 years
- over 20 years

SECTION B: PHYSICAL FACILITIES AND LEARNING RESOURCES

SECTION C: ENROLMENT AND WORK LOAD

7(a) How many students are there in each class?

- 0 -20
- 21 – 30
- 31 - 40
- 41 – 50
- Over 50

(b) How many lessons do you have in a week?

- 0-12
- 13 – 20
- 21 – 28
- 29 – 36
- over 36

(c) Are the classrooms overcrowded?

- Yes
- No

(d) How do you rate your teaching work load?

- Too high
- High

- 399 Average Low
400 (e) How do you cope with the increased workload?
401 Use of remedial classes/long hours
402 Combining some streams
403 (f) Are examination preparation materials adequate in school?
404 Yes
405 No
406 8. Does the increased enrolment affect effective teaching?
407 Yes
408 No

409 **SECTION D: PERFORMANCE IN KCSE**

- 410 (b) How often do you give examinations to your students?
411 weekly
412 monthly
413 twice a term
414 once a term
415 Any other (Please specify) _____
416 (c) Are resources / materials provided for examinations enough in the school?
417 Yes
418 No
419 If No, what materials are inadequate?
420 typing or photocopying papers
421 writing materials
422 ink
423 laboratory chemicals / consumables
424 Any other, (specify) _____
425

426 **APPENDIX II**

427 **INTERVIEW SCHEDULE FOR SUB-COUNTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION**

- 428 1. For how long have you been a Sub-County Director of Education?
429 2. What is your Educational Qualification?
430 3. Have FDSE funds affected the availability of physical facilities in the public day secondary
431 schools?
432 4. How has FDSE affected the availability of learning resources in the district's public day
433 secondary schools?
434 5. How has FDSE impacted on students' enrolment in the day public secondary schools in the
435 Sub-County?
436 6. Are there enough trained teachers in the public day secondary schools commensurate to the
437 student enrolment?
438 7. How do you rate the teachers' workload in public day secondary schools in your area?
439 8. How has the FDSE Policy impacted in the performance of students in KCSE in public day
440 secondary schools in Gucha South?