# Flood Management Model In Digital Era, Using SAST (Strtategic Assumption Surfacing and Testing) and the Exponential Comparison Method (ECM): A Case Study in Jakarta

## **Abstract**

Flooding is a regular annual disaster in Jakarta, especially in December to February. Geographical position of Jakarta which is 40% below sea level, causes flooding not only from rain, but also from rob due to rising sea levels. In the last 5 years the impact of flooding became more serious, leading to economic and human losses.

This study aims to determine the right strategy of flood mitigation by public involvement in the digital era. The method used includes combination of quality input data from experts representing BNPB (National Disaster Agency), Local Government, Academics, Community Leaders, Businessmen, and Practitioners, in addition to vulnerable field research.Data analysis uses the ECM (Exponential Comparison Method) and SAST (Strategic Assumption Surfacing and Testing).

The result of the study indicates that the most appropriate strategy to mitigate flood disaster is establishment of "Disaster Information Center" and "Disaster alert groups" involving every stakeholder from the community. Utilization of social media, especially WhatsApp, Facebook, and Instagram has been very helpful to share information of flood, especially how to prepare an emergency action to reduce economic and human losses.

Keywords: Flood, Mitigation, Disaster management, Digital Community

## Introduction

Jakarta faces devastating routine flood almost every year. The height of the flood waters in the last 5 years (2013-2017) on an average has reached 4 meters, usually within a time span of 4-8 days. The most devastating flood occurred in 2013 with a maximum water height of 4 meters for more than 5 days. Economic losses exceeded more than 20 trillion dollars (BNPB, 2015). Osti and Nakasu (2014) state that one of the causes of human casualties during floods was drowning (44%). Floods come without warning and they take lives of tens, hundreds and thousands of people. The resulting loss depends on the vulnerability and lack of resilience of the affected population to resist the hazard. If this type of disasters continue it would lead to considerable socio-economic losses, leading to prolonged setbacks to a large number of citizens

the land is affected during floods due to overflowing of 13 rivers crisscrossing Jakarta. The rivers include Ciliwung River, Pesanggrahan river, Kali Baru river, Krukut River, and others. When the rain falls, the overflow of water from the 13 rivers submerges most of the area of Jakarta, especially several densely populated villages.

Of the 13 rivers surrounding Jakarta, Ciliwung has the most extensive impact during the rainy season, because it flows through the center of Jakarta and crosses many villages, crowded markets, and densely populated slum residential areas.

The floods in Jakarta are getting worse in last 5 years, in addition to the damaged watershed (DAS) in the upstream of Puncak and Bogor. The watershed in Jakarta has also experienced narrowing and siltation due to illegal buildings along the river. Flooding in 2013 was the worst and its impact was extensive; average inundation height was 1-3 meter with duration of 20 days. Loss of economic potential reached IDR 20 trillion, 20 people died and 33,500 people were displaced (National Disaster Agency, 2017).

To anticipate flooding, the Government of Jakarta has built East Flood Canal (BKT) and West Flood Canal (BKB). BKT with a length of 23.5 km was built with the aim of protecting the East Jakarta and North Jakarta areas from the overflow of Ciliwung, Cipinang, Sunter, Buaran, Jatikramat, and Cakung rivers, due to water flow discharges at the peak of the rainy season, which was not accommodated. Whereas BKB, with a length of 17.4 km, was built to drain water through the Angke River through the Krukut Canal to accommodate water overflows from Ciliwung, Krukut River and Cideng River ,which will then be channeled to the sea.

Along with the development of the city of Jakarta and its surroundings, the construction of the two canals to drain rainwater is found not adequate. Water overflows caused by overland floods or due to heavy rains still submerge some parts of Jakarta. In addition there are around 400 business activities that directly or indirectly dispose of their wastewater including solid waste into Ciliwung River (Ministry of Environment, 2012). There are 26,818 households, who inhabit the banks of the Ciliwung River are also throwing garbage into the river every day. This causes sedimentation, narrowing of the river, and high rates of pollution in the river. To handle flooding in Jakarta, government have built western canal flood, and east canal flood. But the increase of population and urban development, the two canals are no longer able to accommodate the flow of water when it rains.

A major problem facing Jakarta residents is the handling of yearly floods have not been integrated and well planned. This lapse has made the people clueless regarding the application of appropriate flood management. This vulnerability has resulted in loss of hundreds of human lives and millions of infrastructure's damage. The people are always confused when they are facing flood. In turn the overall chaotic situation has resulted in ill planned area specific shelter homes by the government, leading to temporary shelters making the entire mitigation process an useless and costly. To make the disaster mitigation works useful and cost effective, well planned right mitigation strategies are needed so that the impact of the flood can be reduced.

Along with the development of digital technology, anticipation of flooding by involving the community can be improved through social media. If flood disaster occurs, the public must immediately get information about the dynamics of floods, including the magnitude of the flood to quickly migrate in an orderly manner to the well planned area specific shelter homes. Some of the vital details can be provided by social media. Chang Ngai Weng (2010) states that social media can be used to support flood mitigation, so the people are quickly informed of the status and actions that must be taken. Social media has become a valuable means of communication in many places affected by a natural disaster, which allows people to keep in touch with family and friends and access important information. Velev,D and Plamena Zlateva (2018) state that social media has emerged as a popular medium for providing new sources of information and rapid communications, particularly during natural disasters .

This study aims to determine the most appropriate mitigation strategy by involving the community at every stage, in accordance with objective condition of the peoples, in digital era.

## **Methods**

This research is expert based. Data collection is done through expert discussions. In these discussions it is essential to include all the stakeholders, including policy makers, disaster experts from BNPB (National Disaster Agency), Academics and Community Leaders. In addition to high level experts' discussions, members of the study team should gather additional information by interviewing local influential leaders, and affected common people. During this study 75 representative respondents were interviewed who were randomly selected to find out the types of social media used in flood disaster communication and their perception to disater management system.

Data analysis uses SAST (Strategic Assumption Surfacing and Testing) and ECM (Exponential Comparison Method). SAST and ECM are experts based method. SAST selected for mapping the most important and Certainty aspects through the picture, while ECM method selected for determining priority strategy based on multi criteria; the formula of ECM is as below:

Value Total (TN<sub>i</sub>) = 
$$\sum_{J=1}^{m} (RK_{ij})^{TKK}_{J}$$

Where:

TN<sub>i</sub>: Total alternative value to - i

 $RK_{ij}$ : The degree of relative interest  $j^{th}$  in choice decisions i  $TKK_{j}$ : Degree of interest of criteria  $j^{th}$  decision;  $TKK_{j} > 0$ ; even

N : Number of decision choicesM : Number of decision criteria

## **Literature Review**

Disaster can be defined as a source of danger, and its consequences can adversely affect humans in terms of life, property and environment when the level of danger, and the consequences, exceed the ability of the affected society to cope using its own resources (Alexander 1997). The Flood disaster is a puddle in an area due to overflow of water, which causes material and non-material losses (Indonesian Law No. 24 of 2007).

Mitigation means reducing risk of loss from the occurrence of any undesirable event. (BNPB, 2015). There are two types of mitigation, structural and non structural (Indonesian Geography Center, 2016). Structural mitigation means flood prevention with physical development (dam, levees, reservoir), while non structural mitigation is an effort to reduce the impact of flood other than structural, it included public awareness, establishment of flood alert group, zoning and mapping of flood prone area.

There are 6 important aspects of mitigation strategy: (1) prevention (planning and zoning, drainage system maintenance, beach maintenance); (2) property protection (elevation, relocation, flood proofing, storm shutters, insurance); (3) public education and awareness (Real estate disclosure, hazard information center, flood map and data); (4) natural resources protection (sediment and erosion control, stream corridor restoration, watershed management); (5) emergency services measure (emergency response planning, evacuation, and critical facilities protection); (6) structural project (flood walls, levees, dams); Wetmore and Jamieson (1999). Grigg (1996) stated there are 4 aspects of flood control: (1) Modification of flood vulnerability

and losses by determining of zones of land use arrangements, (2) Normalization of reservoir or river (3) Modification of the impact of flooding with the use of technical mitigation such as insurance, flood proofing, and (4) regulation of maintaining natural capacity, including reforestation.

Indonesia is one of the countries with the high disaster risk, as several types of disasters are most likely to arise. They include: floods, landslides, earthquakes, Tsunamis, volcanic eruptions, Tornados, forest fires, etc. National Disaster Agency (2017) has identified disaster events in 2016 as the following (table 1):

Table 1. Disaster events in Indonesia in 2016

No	Type of Disasters	Number of events	Damage Facilities		
110	Type of Disasters	of events	Education	Worship	Health
1	Flood	850	1.119	265	81
2	Tornado	687	62	36	4
3	Landslide	626	49	47	18
4	Forest fire	178	na	na	na
5	Tidal Wave	23	1	na	na
6	Earth quake	13	254	258	127
		2384			

National Disaster Agency (2017)

The table displays that flooding is the highest occurring disaster with the most severe impacts on education, religious and health facilities. Floods can be classified into several types: (1) Flash flooding, which is large and occurs suddenly and lasts only for a short period of time; (2) Groundwater flooding occurs due to the overflow of very heavy rainwater, especially if the riverbanks are fragile and unable to withstand enough water; (3) River/overland flooding, which occurs due to water delivery from other regions, and may extend for a long time; (4) Coastal flooding (ROB), due to rising tides in areas near the coast; and (5) Headwaters flooding, which occurs in residential area near the upper reaches of the river. Flooding usually occurs due to the high flow of water, which can have destructive effects. Some of the factors that cause flooding include: (1) Illegal logging leading to deforestation, (2) Waste dumped carelessly into rivers, (3) Residential area on river banks, (4) Dense rainfall, (5) Poor drainage system, (6) Broken dams, (7) Spatial errors and (8) Tsunamis. Floods can cause damage to infrastructure, buildings, and the environment, which causes huge economic losses and human casualties (BNPB, 2016). One of the causes of flooding is changes in land use, and low community participation (Kodoatie et al, 2002; and Aminudi, 2013). The role of the community in handling floods is very much needed (BNPB, 2015), both in planning, implementing and supervising the development.

Disasters occur rapidly, instantaneously and indiscriminately. Generally there are four steps of disaster. Disaster always closed with civilization and poverty, the way the people respond and deal with disaster depends on local culture. There are four phases of disaster management (Khan, 2017): Mitigation, preparation, response, and recovery. The four disaster management phases do not occur sequentially and separately. Often phases of the cycle overlap and the length of each phase greatly depends on the severity of the disaster. From these

4 stages, mitigation is an important stage before disaster (Sutopo, 2015), as shown in figure below:




Figure 1. Disaster management cycle (Khan, 2017)

In general, there are two approaches to the problem discussed, including the structural and non-structural methods dealing with flood mitigation (BNPB, 2016). The core of structural method is a physical approach, such as normalization, dam building, channel construction and others, while the non-structural method is a non-physical approach, such as socialization, erosion control, watershed utilization arrangements, and so on. Included in non-structural approach is the use of information technology for disaster mitigation, to involve the wider community.

Flood handling in several developed countries, including in Japan, has used information technology. Disaster management in Japan is under the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transportation (MLIT/Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Information and Technology), which oversees the problem of flooding (irrigation problems), sediment deposits, volcanic eruptions, earthquakes, by using information technology (IT) for reducing the impact of natural disasters in Japan. The infrastructure handled includes rivers, roads, sea and airports, sewage systems and parks. Similar to what already exists in Indonesia, the basic elements of disaster management in Indonesia consist of regulatory aspects, management systems, plans, preparation, emergency response and recovery. Information technology greatly helps disaster mitigation management (Mukhopadhyay, Buddhadev Bhattacherjee. 2015), especially in terms of public awareness and education.

There are five priorities of Hyogo Framework for Action/ HFA 2005-2015 (Apec, 2009): 1) Make Disaster Risk Reduction as Priority; 2) Know The Risk and Take Action; 3)Build Understanding and Awareness; 4) Reduce Risk; 5) Be Prepared and Ready to Act. While

Sendai Declaration for disaster mitigation (2015-2030) stated four important aspects: (1) Understanding disaster risk; (2) Strengthening of risk governance (3) Investment for Resilience; and (4) strengthening of risk management (BNPB:2015).

Flood preparedness needs to be done in stages, starting from prevention, handling during flood (response/intervention), and recovery after flood. These stages are cycles of continuous flood preparedness, including several types of activities as shown in Table 2.

**Table 2 Stages of Flood Preparedness** 

Cycle	Activities
Prevention	Structural strategies
	In-Stream strategies
	. Off-Stream strategies
	Non-structural strategies
	Long-term flood prevention strategies
	. Short-term management strategies of floods
Intervention/R	Notification and information with regard to forecast of floods
esponse	Immediate Reaction and Emergency Support when floods occur
	Handling of the flood
Recovery	Immediate Assistance for Daily Life Needs and Repair of Facilities and Infrastructure
	Post-Flood Mop-up and Reconstruction
	Rehabilitation and Recovery of Physical and Non-Physical Conditions
	Damage/Loss Assessment and Flood Disaster Insurance
	Review of the Causes of Floods

Source: Directorate of Research and Community Service – UI (2006),

Flood prevention activities follow a life cycle, which begins at flooding, then studies it as input for prevention before a flood occurs. Prevention is carried out thoroughly, in the form of physical activities such as the construction of flood control in river areas (in-stream) to floodplain areas (off-stream), and non-physical activities such as land use management to early flood warning systems. The result of Osti and Nakasu (2014) study in Japan showed that 44% human casualties were caused by drowning. Flood mitigation can be done not only by physically protecting buildings through the construction of dams, but also by making appropriate spatial plans.

There are 3 most famous social media in Indonesia: facebook (41 %), WhatsApp (40 %), and Instagram (38 %); (Supratman, 2018). Most of social media users are for gaining information (46 %), virtual communication (25%), on line shopping (15 %), culture adoption (8 %) and others (6%), (Mulawarman and Aldila Dyas Nurfitri, 2017). Social media has a very significant role in disaster mitigation (Lestari, B. Ramadhaniyanto, and D. Wardyaningrum, 2017).

Stakeholders in flood prevention are grouped into three categories: (1) beneficiaries, communities that receive benefits/impacts directly or indirectly; (2) intermediaries, community groups or individuals who can provide consideration or facilitation in flood mitigation, including: consultants, experts, NGOs, and professionals in the natural resources sector; (3) decision/policy makers, institutions authorized to make decisions and legal basis, such as government institutions and water resources councils. The type and level of community participation will differ depending on the type of policy or activity.

Digital era has changed the whole situation of people's lives, even including social life and behaviour (Rahma, 2015). The impact of digital age on the social life of the society today is connected with one's position in the society, his social class and also his social background. Nowadays, there are so many changes in the social aspect of our lives. What's up, Instagram, facebook, line, email are the most popular for dissemination of news, information, images and video (Ministry of Informatic, 2016), so this social media is also possible to support mitigation of flood.

## **Result and Discussion**

As the capital of Indonesia, flood handling in Jakarta involves many agencies, they are: BMKG (Bureau of meteorology, Climatology and geophysics), BNPB(National disaster agency) , BASARNAS (National Search and rescue agency), BPBD (Regional disaster agency), PMI (Indonesian Red Cross), Local governments, and Community Organizations (Youth groups, religious groups, students, and the general public). BPBD is local disaster agency that is organizationally responsible to the governor. Over lapping between agencies always occurs during every flood. There is no coordination. Individual organizations carry out their main duties but do not cooperate with other organizations. As a result flood disaster management has become inefficient and costly. As the institution that is responsible for meteorological and geophysical information, the BMKG only informs about the weather and the possibility of heavy rain, but not details of places/shelters for evacuation. BMKG does not include level of water and flooded area. PMI and BASARNAS carry out almost the same task of helping victims, but there is no mutual coordination, so that information overlaps with the voluntary works carried out by community group and youth organizations. Based on experts interviews involving BNPB, BMKG, PMI, community leaders, and academics; a disaster information center needs to be established that involves all components, to provide and share an official information of flood disaster online. Only information released by this center can be used as a reference. Most respondents (68.9 %) stated that they need official information from the government ,which is distributed digitally, so that the community will quickly access through mobile phone via WA, facebook or instagram to get the latest information about flood.

Based on experts' discussion, there are 8 important aspects that need to be considered in flood control operations, in Jakarta: (A) Facilities; (B) Collaboration; (C) Coordination; (D) information; (E) Public Involvement; (F) Public awareness; (G) Technology; (H) Institution; and (I) Disaster management. The results of SAST analysis showed that Important and certainty aspects based on experts discussion is showed in figure 2.




Figure 2. Certainty and important aspects of flood management in Jakarta

Descriptions:

A : Facilities D : Information G : Technology B : Collaboration E : Public involvement H : Institution

C: Coordination F: Public awareness I: Disaster Management

The most strategic assumptions (figure 2) are coordination (C) and information (D). These two aspects have the highest level of certainty and importance. Coordination is the biggest problem. Various agencies work with their own methods and expertise, there is no cooperation and certain competency standards that must be possessed; so there are often misunderstandings and even increased property damage that must be saved. This means that coordination is very important aspect. The involvement of various agencies will cause overlap without good coordination. This is confirmed by the opinion of most respondents (78.6 %), that flood handling in Jakarta always overlaps management, rehabilitation and mitigation works from the various institution involved.

Disaster information is a very important component in disaster management, 84.3 % respondents said that they don't know how to save themselves when flooded, only a small percentages (15.7 %) can save themselves because they have information how to save and what to do, information technology is very important for the distribution of disaster information to reduce disaster risk (Vyas and Aneri Desai, 2007).

Other strategic assumptions in flood handling are Facilities (A) and Technology (G). Flood handing requires a lot of equipment such as boats, water suction machines, transport vehicles, communication equipment etc. Without adequate facility and technology support, handling floods is difficult.

Strategic priority based on multi criteria (Economic, Social, Environment and institution) according to ECM analysis results are showed in table 3.

Tabel 3. Strategic priority to flood handling in Jakarta (ECM analysis result)

No	Strategy	Weight	Indikator (1-5)			Total	Ranking	
110	Strategy	(1-5)	Economic	Social	Environment	Institution	Total	Kanking
1	Development of disaster alert groups	(4,1)	(3,2)	(2,9)	(3,8)	(3,3)	568,4	2
2	Public awareness Development	(3,6)	(3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	(3,1)	305,1	5
3	Revitalization of the West and East Flood Canals	(3,6)	(3,4)	(3,4)	(3,4)	(3,4)	327,6	3
4	Public Involvement	(3,5)	(3,3)	(3,5)	(3,5)	(3,5)	305,9	4
5	Development of Disaster Information Center	(4)	(3,6)	(4,2)	(4)	(4)	991,2	1
6	Construction of Flood Control Center	(3,5)	(3,1)	(3,4)	(3,4)	(3,4)	269,9	6

The table above showed that the highest priority of flood handling in Jakarta is the development of Disaster Information Centre (ranking-1), and then development of disaster alert groups. Through this centre all information about flood disaster and the role of each elements can be coordinated: BMKG (National Agency of Metereology and Geophisics), BNPB (National Agency of Disaster Management), BPBD (Local Agency of Disaster Management), BASARNAS (National Agency of Reach and Resceu) and PMI (Indonesian RedCross); community groups and local government can be coordinated. So, the people can receive official information about the flood in a complete and reliable manner. In addition overlapping of works between groups can be avoided. Community involvement is done by utilizing social media. Local leadership have to provide an SOP (standard operating Procedure), how to distribute information about flooding to the community through social Most respondents (87.6%) stated that communication through social media is very effective in disseminating information on disasters faced. The most common social media uses for disater communication are WA (45.4 %), Youtube (32.5 %), Instragram and Line. The use of social media will be very effective if the news delivered is accurate and pictures are attached. Through social media, the public can find out about the current conditions, so that they can quickly anticipate what to do. Broadly speaking, the utilization of information technology in disaster mitigation based on data analysis and experts discussion can be described as follows:




Figure 3. Disaster Information Center Model

Actions that must be taken in this centre include:

- Update information about flood profile and affected area every time
- Distribute information online to affected community, and Disaster alert groups
- Provide direction to disaster alert groups to rescue victims and secure areas with security forces

Disaster alert groups is a flood conscious group that formed in each region under supervision of sub-district in charge. This group consists of young people and some community members who must be trained in disaster management and action to save victims. The trained can be deployed when the annual disaster flood comes. For activities to run optimally, a management organization needs to be developed, taking into account the organization's structure, functions and governance.

Based on the reality, the most crucial phase is the emergency response, including the rescue of goods and the people. Therefore, community groups identified need to be able to contribute their best. So, they have to be trained how to save human lives and help the victims. They also must know how to manage and distribute aid effectively.

## Conclusion

- a) The priority strategy for flood mitigation according to the objective conditions of Jakarta is development of disaster information centre and Formation of Disaster Preparedness team (disaster alert groups), involving all components of society
- b) Community involvement becomes a key success factor in flood disaster mitigation
- c) The use of digital technology through social media will increase the effectiveness of the flow of information about floods, so that people can find out the current situation.
- d). Official information from the government is very important when flooded, to avoid fake news, which actually confuses the public

# **Suggestion:**

- a) It is necessary to map the potential and capability of the community in their respective regions, and to socialize the plan to involve the community in mitigation through the formation of disaster preparedness team.
- b) To avoid overlapping and emerging conflicts from community groups, disaster information centre needs to be formed by involving relevant agencies.

## Reference

- Asfaw,H.W., S. L. First Nation, T.K.McGee, A. C. Christianson. 2019. Evacuation preparedness and the challenges of emergency evacuation in Indigenous communities in Canada: The case of Sandy Lake First Nation, Northern Ontario. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. 34, March 2019, P: 55-63.
- Aminudin. 2013. Siap Siaga Menghadapi Bencana Alam, (edisi 3, Bandung). h. 27.Badan Penanggulangan Bencana Daerah. 2018. Indonesia
- Ahmed, A. 2011. Use of Social Media in Disaster Management. Thirty Second International Conference on Information Systems, Shanghai.
- BNPB. 2015. Renaca Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana 2015-2019. BNPB Pusat, Jakarta. Indonesia
- <u>Chan Ngai Weng.</u> 2010. <u>Sustainable management of rivers in Malaysia: Involving all stakeholders.</u> <u>International Journal of River Basin Management, Volume 3, 2005 Issue 3, p: 147-162.</u>
- <u>Courty</u>, L.G,. <u>Julio César Soriano- Monzalvo</u>. 2019. Evaluation of open- access global digital elevation models (AW3D30, SRTM, and ASTER) for flood modelling purposes. Journal of Flood Risk Management; <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12550">https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12550</a>. **Downloded July 19.**
- D, Chintia. 2010. Tingkat Risiko Banjir ROB di Jakarta Utara. Skripsi Program Studi Departemen Geografi. Depok, Indonesia.
- Departemen Sosial RI. 2018. Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 24 Tahun 2007 Tentang Penanggulangan Bencana, (Jakarta : Pusat Penyuluhan Sosial). h. 3. Indonesia.
- Departemen Sosial RI. 2018. Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 24 Tahun 2007 tentang Penanggulanagan Bencana. h.20, Indonesia.
- Departemen Sosial RI. 2003. Pola Pembangunan Kesejahteraan Sosial (Jakarta: Badan Pelatihan dan Pengembangan Sosial). h. 125. Indonesia.

- Faida, Rahma Eliya. 2015. Sensor Internet dan *Securitization* di Era *Cyberwarefare*: Studi Kasus Tiongkok. Jurnal Hubungan Internasional, 8 (1). Published by Cakra Global Studies, Universitas Airlangga. Surabaya, Indonesia.
- Felício Cassalho, Samuel Beskow, Carlos Rogerio de Mello, Maíra Moura. 2018. Regional Flood Frequency Analysis using L-moments for geographically defined regions: an assessment in Brazil. Journal of Flood Risk Management. First edition April, 2018. DOI 10.1111/jfr3.12453.
- Iftekhar, A,. 2016. Housing and resilience: case studies from the Cook
  Islands, International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment, Vol. 7
  Issue: 5, pp.489-500
- Jian Fang, Jiameng Hu, Xianwu Shi, Lin Zhao. 2019. Assessing disaster impacts and response using social media data in China: A case study of 2016 Wuhan rainstorm. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. 34 March 2019. P: 275-282.
- J. Morris, J. Beedell, T.M. Hess. 2014. Mobilising flood risk management services from rural land: principles and practice. **Journal of Flood Risk Management.** First published: 24 February 2014; p: 50-68.
- Kementerian Sosial RI. 2018. Himpunan Perundang-undangan Penanggulangan Bencana Bidang Perlindungan Sosial. h. 2 Indonesi.
- Kementerian Sosial RI. 2018. Himpunan Perundang-undangan Penanggulangan Bencana Bidang Perlindungan Sosial, h. 25. Indonesia.
- Kementerian Sosial R.I. 2018.Perlindungan Sosial Korban Bencana Alam, h. 4.
- Kementerian Sosial RI.2018.Undang-undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 24 Tahun 2007 tentang Penanggulangan Bencana. Indonesia
- Kodoatie, Robert J; Suharyanto; Sangkawati, Sri; dan Edhisono, Sutarto. 2002. Pengelolaan Sumber Daya Air dalam Otonomi Daerah. CV Andi, Yogyakarta.
- Mulawarma, Aldila Dyas Nurfitri. 2017. Perilaku Pengguna Media sosial Beserta Implikasinyam ditinjau dari Psikologi Terapan. Buletin Psikologi, 25 (1) p: 36-44.
- Mukhopadhyay,B., Buddhadev Bhattacherjee. 2015. Use of information technology in emergency and disaster management. <u>American Journal of Environmental Protection</u>, 4(2), April 2015, p: 101-104
- Nahar, Lailan. 2016. Kebijakan dan Manajemen Publik: Studi Deskriptif tentang Strategi Badan Penanggulangan Bencana Daerah Kabupaten Pasuruan dalam Penanggulangan Bencana Kekeringan Di Wilayah Kabupaten Pasuruan. Vol 4, No. 2.
- Nugroho, M.D.A., B. Sudarsono dan B. Sasmito. 2014. Identifikasi Zona Rawan Banjir Menggunakan Sistem Informasi Geografis (Studi Kasus: Sub DAS Dengkeng). Jurnal Geodesi Undip. 3(1), 2014, p: 36-50.
- Pusat Data, Informasi dan Hubungan Masyarakat Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana (BNPB). 2016. Mencegah Bencana Banjir Jakarta.
- R. Osti ,T. Nakasu. 2014. Lessons learned from southern and eastern Asian urban floods: from a local perspective. Journal of Flood Risk Management. First published: 24 February 2014; p: 22-35.
- Rosidie, A. 2013. Banjir: Fakta dan Dampaknya, Serta Pengaruh dari Perubahan Guna Lahan. Jurnal Perencanaan Wilayaah dan Kota, 24(3), P: 241-249.
- Rum Sri G. 2010. Pemetaan Kelembagaan dalam Kajian Lingkungan Hidup Strategis DAS Bengawan Solo Hulu. Vol. 4, No. 2.
- Sekertariat Badan Kordinasi Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana dan Penanggulangan

- Pengungsi. 2018.Panduan Pengenalan Karakteristik Bencana dan Upaya Mitigasi di Indonesia, h. 3.
- Shaliha, A.K. 2015. Pola adaptasi Masyarakat terhadap Banjir di Masyarakat, Skripsi.(Semarang: Universitas Negeri Semarang Fakultas Ilmu Sosial Jurusan Geografi) h. 19.
- Suryowati, Estu. 2017. Sepanjang 2017, BNPB Mencatat 2.175 Kejadian Bencana di Indonesia. Jakarta: Kompas.com. (05 Desember 2017).
- Ujoh, F. (2019). Information for Adaptation and Response to Flooding, Multi-Stakeholder Perspectives in Nigeria. Climate. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7040046">https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7040046</a>
- Velev,D and Plamena Zlateva.2018. C. Washington, The Role of Social Media During Natural Disasters, <a href="http://crystal">http://crystal</a> washington.com/the-role-of-social-media-during-natural-disasters Yandragautama. 2011. Analisis Rawan Bencana, h. 15.
- Yatim, Riyanto. 2001. Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan, (Surabaya: Cetakan ke-II SIC, 2001).hlm. 23.
- Yunida, Reni. 2017. Dampak Bencana Banjir Terhadap Kondisi Sosial Ekonomi Masyarakat Di Kecamatan Batu Benawa Kabupaten Hulu Sungai Tengah, Kalimantan Selatan. JPG (Jurnal Pendidikan Geografi). Vol 4 No 4 Juli. Hal 42-52.
- Yuwono, Arief. 2014. Pedoman penilaian dampak sosial ekonomi perubahan iklim. Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup.