

1                   **RELATING FINANCIAL LEVERAGE TO CORPORATE**  
2                   **PERFORMANCE: A CASE OF CEMENT MANUFACTURING**  
3                   **FIRMS IN NIGERIA.**  
4  
5

6    **ABSTRACT**

7    The study evaluated the effect of leverage financing on performance of quoted cement  
8    manufacturing firms in Nigeria for the period 2006-2017. There are four (4) cement  
9    manufacturing firms in Nigeria studied out of eight (8) manufacturing cement firms. Purposive  
10   sampling technique were used in selecting the four (4) cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria  
11   out of the eight (8) cement manufacturing firms quoted in the Nigerian Stock Exchange  
12   (NSE).The main objective of the study is to investigate the effect of financial leverage on  
13   corporate performance of cement firms in Nigeria. The analytical tool adopted was ordinary least  
14   square (OLS) simple and multiple regressions. Findings of the study showed that Debt Ratio and  
15   Debt to Equity Ratio has negative insignificant effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of quoted  
16   cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria. On the other hand Interest Coverage Ratio (ICR) has  
17   positive and insignificant effect on return on assets of quoted cement firms in Nigeria. This  
18   implies that increase in Debt Ratio and Debt to Equity Ratio decreases ROA, while increase in  
19   ICR increases ROA of cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The study therefore  
20   recommended that the corporate managers in Nigeria should be encouraged to use more long  
21   term debt in their financing than relying more on short term credits, since increase in ICR  
22   increases ROA of cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

23    Keywords: leverage; debt ratio; debt equity ratio; return on asset; interest coverage ratio.  
24  
25  
26

27                   **1. INTRODUCTION**

28    Financial decision making is very relevant for the profitability of any firm. These include long-  
29    term financing and short-term financial decisions. The long-term decisions are mode of capital  
30    sourcing and dividend decisions while the short term financing decisions involve liquidity  
31    decisions. Thus, the financial manager is responsible for determining the optimal mix of debt and  
32    equity that will ensure maximization of shareholders' wealth (Maina & Kondongo, 2013). Firm's

33 performance and profitability is very important in any economy, among them are; first the profits  
34 to the firm mean income to the shareholders and hence spillover impact and multiplier impact for  
35 individual, households and the economy in general. Secondly the corporate taxes that the  
36 government will earn will enable the implementation of infrastructural projects and social  
37 welfare programs. Thirdly when firms are profitable it means they can attract more investors and  
38 hence raising large capital for bigger and high returns projects. Leverage refers to the use of debt  
39 in financing a firm. Leverage ratios measure the extent to which debt has been used to finance  
40 the activities of a firm. Lenders are interested in this category of ratios because a firm that has  
41 been making much use of borrowed funds in financing its activities would have outstanding  
42 debts to settle from its financial resources Anyanwaokro , (2008).

43 Hence, the optimal capital structure decision seems to be relevant to finance managers and board  
44 of directors; because, such decision on capital structure might lead to increase profitability and  
45 shareholders' wealth maximization. Thus, most finance managers believe that the use of  
46 financial leverage is like a 'double-edged sword' because it can either magnify the firm's  
47 potential gains or losses. This was corroborated by the works of Alajekwu (2014) on effect of  
48 financial leverage on corporate performance of manufacturing firms in Nigeria. It is a recognized  
49 theoretical fact that the primary motive of a firm in using financial leverage is to boost the  
50 shareholders' return under favorable economic conditions. This is based on the assumption that  
51 fixed-commitment financing can be obtained at a cost lower than the firm's rate of return on net  
52 assets UbesieM.C, Maduka F.I. and Udaya L. K., (2016).

53 Financial leverage is a measure of how much firm uses debt and equity to finance its assets. As  
54 debt increases, financial leverage increases. Consequently, the firms with the higher leverage  
55 should be the most encouraged to improve their performance. However, on the other side, a  
56 higher leverage means higher agency costs because of the diverging interests between debt  
57 holders and shareholders (equity holders). This principled threat suggests that leverage can  
58 significantly affect the value of firms in a negative or in a positive way, since it magnifies returns  
59 and risk. However, cement firms would achieve good financial performance if their financial  
60 factors are strictly governed by either the companies or the government. It is only when the  
61 financial factors are well taken care of that the financial leverage will have positive effect on  
62 financial performance of cement firms in Nigeria can be completely realized. In the advanced  
63 countries such as United States and France among others, the "ease of doing business" captured  
64 by many other variables including the interest (lending) rate has remained impressive. The

65 interest rate in those countries has remained within single-digit limit. With the interest rate  
66 remaining low, firms including cement firms can easily secure low-cost loans which will help  
67 them for better performance.

68  
69 Nigerians have lamented the hardship being posed to them by the skyrocketed increase in price  
70 of cement. In many parts of the world, pressure on the price of cement has been attributed to  
71 various sources of energy for different stages of production and transportation of cement to end  
72 users. Energy sources such as petrol, diesel, electricity and coal have direct impact on the market  
73 price of cement; any change in price of any of these may affect the price of cement. Recent study  
74 of Cement industry shows that cost of energy accounts for 50 per cent cost of production. The  
75 following have been highlighted as the causes of high price of cement in Nigeria: Huge supply  
76 gap of cement where demand is higher than supply is a factor that may force up the price of  
77 cement, too many middle men in the supply and distribution of cement, unstable power supply  
78 which leads to over dependence on expensive alternative fuel which accounts for about 50 per  
79 cent of total cost of production, hoarding of cement by marketers to sustain importation, huge  
80 cost of transportation of cement from factory to end-users vis-à-vis poor distribution network of  
81 some cement companies, sheer monopoly of production and importation of cement by a few  
82 players, rise in prices of other raw materials may lead to high cost of cement, unfavorable  
83 government policy on production and importation, high capital involved in setting up more  
84 cement factories may lead to the supply gap of cement and lastly high tax burden also impact on  
85 price of cement. Pan African Capital Research, (2011). However, with the experience of high  
86 cost of production, high interest rate charge and mix others, financial managers of cement firms  
87 might take advantage of available credit and tax shield to enhance their firm's assets  
88 (performance) and this might decrease the financial performance of cement firms in Nigeria  
89 when compare with foreign companies or firms performance. It is acknowledge that indicators of  
90 the financial leverage are; Debt which is used to measure a company's ability to handle its  
91 obligations, Debt to Equity measures the proportion of debt and equity in financing a company's  
92 assets. Also Interest Coverage determines a firm's ability to pay interest on outstanding debt.  
93 While performance indicator is Return on Assets which measures efficient management is using  
94 its assets to generate earnings. The problem of the study is to investigate the impact of financial  
95 leverage on Returns on Assets of cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

96

### 97 **1.3 Objectives of the Study**

98 The broad objective of this study is to investigate the impact of financial leverage on corporate  
99 performance of cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The specific objectives of the study are:-

- 100 i. To evaluate the effect of Debt Ratio (DR) on Return on Assets (ROA) of the cement  
101 manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
- 102 ii. To ascertain the effect of Debt-Equity Ratio (DER) on Return on Assets (ROA) of the  
103 cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
- 104 iii. To investigate the effect of Interest Coverage Ratio (ICR) on Return on Assets (ROA) of the  
105 cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

106

### 107 **1.4 Research Questions**

- 108 i. To what extent does Debt-Ratio (DR) impact on Return on Assets (ROA) of the  
109 cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria?
- 110 ii. To what degree does Debt-Equity Ratio (DER) affect Return on Assets (ROA) of  
111 the cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria?
- 112 iii. By how much does Interest Coverage Ratio (ICR) affect Return on Assets  
113 (ROA) of the cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria?

114

115

### 116 **1.5 Statement of Hypotheses**

117 The following hypotheses in null form guided the study:

- 118 **1.** Debt ratio (DR) has no positive effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the cement  
119 manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
- 120 **2.** Debt-Equity Ratio (DER) has no positive effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the  
121 cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
- 122 **3.** Interest Coverage Ratio (ICR) has no positive effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the  
123 cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

124

125 The study of this kind will prove to be beneficial to the various stakeholders of the Nigerian  
126 corporate world and to the academia in the following manner. The result of the study will be of  
127 benefit to corporate decision makers in Nigeria as it harps on the benefits/costs of their financing  
128 decision on their firms. This is made necessary given that a better understanding of the

129 benefits/costs of financial leverage, enhances the performance of quoted companies in Nigerian.  
130 Securities holders in Nigeria – whether equity, debt or hybrid; this study will be of benefit, as it  
131 will enlighten them better on their value added, in the performance of their firms of choice. They  
132 could begin to relate performance of quoted companies in Nigerian with the financing structures  
133 of their target companies. Further still, this study has the ability to enlighten the various  
134 stakeholders of their stakes and share of the pie, in the event of failure. The various policy  
135 makers of the Nigerian corporate jurisdiction could benefit immensely from this study, since  
136 both the characteristics of the financial structure of Nigeria’s quoted firms, and the impact of it  
137 on their performance/value will be empirically determined. It will enhance their policy decisions  
138 geared towards improving the productivity and profitability of the private sector. As earlier  
139 noted, this study is geared towards adding to the body of literature on the study of corporate  
140 financing in the Nigerian jurisdiction, and therefore bound to instigate further empirical search  
141 on the subject matter; even as it will give some empirical impetus to existing notions about the  
142 financing patterns and their impacts on Nigerian quoted firms. The study focused on the impact  
143 of financial leverage on corporate performance of four (4) cement manufacturing firms in  
144 Nigeria quoted in Nigerian Stock Exchange namely; Lafarge cement (WAPCO) plc, Dangote  
145 cement plc, Ashaka cement plc, Cement Company of Northern Nigeria plc .Data were drawn  
146 from their website, Nigerian Stock Exchange, Fact Book and their annual report for (12) years  
147 from 2006 to 2018. The variables for financial leverage are Debt Ratio (DR), Debt Equity Ratio  
148 (DER) and Interest Coverage Ratio (ICR), while Return on Asset (ROA) is a proxy for corporate  
149 performance. Aside the introduction section, the rest of the paper is divided into four sections.  
150 Section two presents a review of related literature, section three contains the methodology for the  
151 study, and section four has the analyses of data while section five concludes.

## 153 **2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

154  
155 This section provides the theoretical framework of the study, the impact of Financial Leverage  
156 on firm, profitability, the Conceptual Framework, empirical review and the summary of the  
157 literature review.

### 158 159 **2.1 Conceptual Framework**

160 Fraenkel and Wallen (2000) articulated that the greatest study information through the problem  
161 statement in perspective of a theoretical context or conceptual. An explanation of this context  
162 adds to a research information in at least two means as it identifies research study variables, and  
163 association among the study variables. This study tries to examine the impact of financial  
164 leverage on profitability of cement companies listed in the Nigeria stock exchange. The  
165 conceptual framework of this study spells out the relationship between profitability which will be  
166 measured by firm size, growth and productivity. Profitability = Return on Equity(ROE)=Net  
167 profit /Total Equity while the independent variables of the study will be financial leverage which  
168 will be measured as the ratio of total debt to total assets.

169 The term 'Leverage' may be defined as the percent of change in one variable by the percent of  
170 change in some other variable or variables. In the field, finance management, the term leverage is  
171 used to describe the firm's ability to use fixed cost assets or funds; the former is popularly  
172 known as 'Operating Leverage' and the latter is known as 'Financial Leverage'.

173  
174 The interest coverage ratio is used to determine a firm's ability to pay interest on outstanding  
175 debt. The greater the multiple, the less risk to the lender and typically, if the company has a  
176 multiple higher than one, it is considered to have enough capital to pay off its interest expenses.  
177 It is expected that a company should cover interest and fixed charges by at least a factor of two,  
178 or even more ideally, 3:1, if not, its ability to meet interest payments may be questionable.

179  
180 Interest Coverage Ratio = 
$$\frac{\text{Earnings Befort Interest and Taxes (EBIT)}}{\text{Interest Expenses (IE)}}$$

181  
182 Debt Equity Ratio is the measure of a company's financial leverage calculated by dividing its  
183 total debts by stockholders' equity. It indicates what proportion of debt to equity the company is  
184 using to finance its assets. The extent to which a firm uses debt funding or financial leverage has  
185 implications for the firm. By raising funds through debt, shareholders are able to maintain  
186 control without having to increase investment. Enekwe (2014) submits that debt to equity ratio is  
187 a financial ratio indicating the relative proportion of equity and debt used to finance a company's  
188 assets which is an indicator of the financial leverage. It is equal to total debt divided by  
189 shareholders' equity.

190 Debt to Equity Ratio = 
$$\frac{\text{Total Liabilities}}{\text{Total Equity}}$$



222 This is an indicator of how profitable a company is relative to its total assets. Return on Asset  
223 (ROA) is calculated by dividing a company's annual earnings by its total assets.

$$224 \quad \text{ROA} = \frac{\text{NetIncome}}{\text{TotalAsset}}$$

225  
226 Emekekwue (2008) defines Return on Assets (ROA) as a ratio which seeks to measure the  
227 amount of profit generated from the entire assets of the firm.

$$228 \quad \text{It is expressed as} = \frac{\text{Profit Before Tax}}{\text{Total Asset}}$$

229 Enekwe, C. Agu, C. and Eziedo, K., 2014, in citing Khalaf (2013) opine that Return on Assets  
230 (ROA) is a dependent variable. It is the quotient of dividing profit after tax by total assets. Ekwe  
231 and Duru (2012) opined that return on assets (ROA) was used as dependent variables, because it  
232 is an indicator of managerial efficacy. Lazaridis and Trynidis (2006), Delof (2003), Falope and  
233 Ajilore (2009), Singh and Pandy (2008) and Karaduman et al (2011) agree that the formula for  
234 Return on Assets (ROA) is expressed as Profit before Tax divided by Total Assets.

$$235 \quad \text{Return on Assets} = \frac{\text{Profit Before Tax}}{\text{Total Asset}}$$

236

## 237 **2.2 Theoretical Framework**

238 This section covers the theories that support the impact of financial feverage on firms  
239 profitability. These theories include: Modigliani-Miller theorem, Pecking Order Theory and  
240 Trade-off Theory.

241 The theory of Trade-off was propounded by Modigliani and Miller (MM) in 1958 as asserted in  
242 Pratheepkanth(2011) The theory assumed that a business's value is distinct from its debt and  
243 equity mix of financing but ignoring issues that play a positive role in determining the best  
244 capital structure such as corporate taxes. Consequently, Modigliani and Miller (1963) cited in  
245 Khalid (2012) reaffirmed that corporate taxes are significant characteristic of capital  
246 structure. The theory suggested that, there is an optimal capital structure that maximizes the value  
247 of a firm in balancing the costs and benefits of an additional unit of debt. These are characterized  
248 by models of trade-off which allow the bankruptcy costs to exist. The bankruptcy costs of debt  
249 are the increased costs of financing with debts instead of equity. The trade-off theory of capital  
250 structure refers to the idea that a company chooses how much debt and equity finance to use by  
251 balancing the costs and benefits. Trade-off theory assumes that there are benefits to leverage  
252 within a capital structure up until the optimal capital structure is reached. Akinmulegun (2012)

253 With the assumption of Trade-off theory that there are benefits to leverage within a capital  
254 structure up until the optimal capital structure is reached as a result the research anchored her  
255 work on Trade-off theory.

256  
257 Secondly, the Pecking Order theory This theory postulate that the cost of financing increases  
258 with asymmetric information and that financing comes from three sources namely, internal  
259 funds, debt and new equity, also companies prioritize their sources of funding, first internal that  
260 is, equity financing, secondly debt and raising new equity as its last resort. The theory in its view  
261 in asymmetric information that managers know more about their companies prospect, risks and  
262 value more than the outside investors, Brealey, Myers and Allen, (2008). Myers, (1984)  
263 anchored in line with the theory that, most firms prefer the Pecking Order Theory for their  
264 investment. By virtue of the theory, the management prefers ready fund for investment first in  
265 their activity and if the fund is not available they use debt and finally benefit from external share  
266 Gweyi and Karanja, (2014).

267 The result of Pecking Order of financing is as follows: an internally generated fund first is  
268 followed by respectively low-risk debt financing and share financing. In Myers and Majluf  
269 model (1984), outside investors rationally discount the firm's stock price when managers issue  
270 equity instead of riskless debt. To avoid this discount, managers avoid equity whenever possible.  
271 The Myers and Majluf model predicts that managers will follow a pecking order, using up  
272 internal funds first, then using up risky debt, and finally resorting to equity. In the absence of  
273 investment opportunities, firms retain profits and build up financial slack to avoid having to raise  
274 external finance in the future Gweyi and Karanja, (2014).

275

276

### 277 **2.3: Empirical Review**

278 This section discusses the empirical studies in relation to the two main variables of this study  
279 which are financial leverage and profitability of listed firms. It consists of both local and global  
280 studies as follows:

281 Enekwe and Eziedo(2014)wrote on the effect of financial leverage on financial performance.  
282 Gweyi and Karanja, (2014) Their main objective of this study is to determine the effect of  
283 financial leverage on financial performance of the Nigeria pharmaceutical companies over a  
284 period of twelve (12) years (2001 – 2012) for the three (3) selected companies. Their work

285 employed three (3) financial leverage for the independent variables such as: debt ratio (DR);  
286 debt-equity ratio (DER) and interest coverage ratio (ICR) in determining their effect on financial  
287 performance for Return on Assets (ROA) as dependent variable. They used secondary data  
288 obtained from the financial statement. Descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation and regressions  
289 were employed and used for this study. The results of the analysis showed that debt ratio (DR)  
290 and debt-equity ratio (DER) have negative relationship with Return on Assets (ROA) while  
291 interest coverage ratio (ICR) has a positive relationship with Return on Assets (ROA) in Nigeria  
292 pharmaceutical industry.

293 Rondk (2015) investigated the impact of capital structure on firm performance: evidence from  
294 companies listed on Iraq Stock Exchange for the period 2009-2013. Multiple regression data  
295 analysis was used in his study to analyse the impact of the company's capital structure on its  
296 financial performance by employing 40 companies (18 manufacturing, 7 services, 10 tourism, 5  
297 agriculture) listed in Iraq stock market. The research used three measures for financial  
298 performance namely, Return on equity (ROE), and Return on assets (ROA), as dependent  
299 variables and leverage (which is short term debt/total assets) as an independent variable. The  
300 results of the study demonstrate that short term debt ratio (DR) has a negative effect on return on  
301 assets (ROA) and short term debt ratio (DR) has a positive and significant effect on return on  
302 equity (ROE). Significant determinant of return on equity (ROE) is asset turnover because it has  
303 a positive and significant effect in the model. The results are different from both return on assets  
304 (ROA) and return on equity (ROE).

305 Robert and Mohamed (2015) investigated the relationship between financial leverage and the  
306 financial performance of listed firms in Kenya. They use annual data for the period 2007 – 2011.  
307 Using various panel procedures, the study finds that financial leverage significantly, and  
308 negatively, affects ROA of listed firms in Kenya. Also, financial leverage has negatively  
309 insignificant effect on ROE. Secondly, because the performance of firms depends on other things  
310 than just their financial leverage, they control for the effects of those other variables by including  
311 them in their models. In this respect, the findings suggest that asset tangibility and ownership  
312 concentration are important determinants of performance measured in terms of Tobin's Q.

313 Waqas & Mobeen, (2014) investigated the Impact of liquid ratios, solvency ratios and  
314 profitability. In their paper, they applied regression model and correlational analysis. Solvency  
315 ratio (Debt Ratio, Debt-Equity Ratio and Interest Cover Ratio) has negative and highly  
316 significant impact on the ROA and ROE. It means that debt to equity ratio increases then

317 performance decreases. It is also concluded that liquidity (Current Ratio and Quick Ratio) has  
318 high positive effect over Return on Assets of sector (i.e. if liquidity Rate is increased, ROA will  
319 also be increased with greater effect and vice versa). Suppliers check the solvency position of the  
320 companies before delivering the goods. The investors are also interested in solvency position  
321 how much the company is risky. Liquidity, solvency and profitability are closely related because  
322 one increases the other decreases.

323 Ubesie, Maduka and Udaya (2016) in their study evaluated the effect of capital structure on  
324 financial performance of quoted cement companies in Nigeria for the period 2006-2015. The  
325 main objective of their study was to investigate the effect of financial leverage on corporate  
326 performance of some cement firms in Nigeria which were Dangote cement, Lafarge Cement,  
327 Ashaka cement and Cement Company of Nigeria. The methodology adopted was the fixed effect  
328 econometric panel regression model. Overall, the findings of the study showed that debt ratio has  
329 no significant effect on return on asset of quoted cement companies in Nigeria. Debt equity ratio  
330 has negative significant effect on return of assets of quoted cement companies in Nigeria.  
331 Interest coverage ratio has positive and significant effect on return on assets of quoted cement  
332 companies in Nigeria. The study therefore recommended that the regulators and operators of the  
333 market for corporate finance, like the CBN, SEC, and NSE should collaborate to develop the  
334 capital market in Nigeria to enable quoted companies in Nigeria access long term debt.

335  
336 Chinaemerem and Anthony (2012) investigated the effect of capital structure portfolio on  
337 financial performance of Nigerian firms using 30 listed non-financial firms on the Nigerian  
338 Stock Exchange for a span of 7 years from 2004- 2010. Panel data for the chosen companies  
339 were examined using ordinary least squares method of approximation. The findings indicate that  
340 company's capital structure represented by debt ratio has negatively significant association with  
341 the firm's financial performance surrogated by Return on Assets and Return on Equity.

342  
343 Gweyi and Karanja, (2014) investigated the effect of financial leverage on financial performance  
344 of deposit taking Sacco in Kenya. The sample data was extracted from 40 Savings and Credit  
345 Co-operative Societies (Saccos) registered by Sacco Society Regulatory Authority extended from  
346 the period 2010 to 2012. The secondary data used for analysis was collected from the financial  
347 statements of the various deposit taking Saccos. Two basic approaches descriptive and analytical  
348 design were adopted. The results show perfect positive correlation between debt equity ratio

349 (DER) with return on equity (ROE) and profit after tax at 99% confidence interval and a weak  
350 positive correlation between debt equity ratio with return on assets and income growth.

351 Naveed, Malik, Muhammad and Naqvi (2014) investigated the impact of working capital on the  
352 corporate performance in the cement, chemical and engineering sectors of Pakistan. They  
353 obtained data from the annual reports issued by the companies during 2007-2011. To verify the  
354 relationship between the measures of working capital and profitability, regression models were  
355 used. The results show that average collection period and operating cycle were positive whereas  
356 average age of inventory was negatively related to the return on equity (ROE). Firm size was  
357 positive whereas leverage is negatively related to the return on equity (ROE). Average payment  
358 period is negative whereas cash conversion cycle is positively and significantly related with  
359 return on equity. The results indicate that working capital management influences the firms'  
360 profitability.

361  
362 Nawaz A., Atif S. and Aamir F.S., (2015) investigated the impact of financial leverage and  
363 Profitability of cement sector operating in Pakistan. The sample size for 18 firms for six (6) years  
364 consists of 108 observations. They used Ordinary Least Square model on the data to establish a  
365 causal relationship between the variables. The researchers found that financial leverage has a  
366 statistically significant inverse impact on profitability at 99% confidence interval.

367  
368  
369 Soumadi and Hayajneh (2012) investigated the relationship between capital structure and  
370 corporate performance of Jordanian shareholdings firms. The study used multiple regression  
371 models by Ordinary least squares (OLS) to establish the link between capital structure and  
372 corporate performance of firms over a period of 5 years. The results showed that capital structure  
373 was associated negatively and statistically with the performance of the firms in the sample.  
374 Another finding from the study was that there was no significant difference in the impact of  
375 financial leverage between high financial leverage firms and low financial leverage firms in their  
376 performance. The study also concluded that the relationship between capital structure and firm  
377 performance was negative for both high growth firms and low growth firms.

378  
379 Suhaila (2014) investigated the effect of liquidity and leverage on financial performance of  
380 commercial state corporations in the tourism industry in Kenya. The study adopted descriptive

381 research design where data was retrieved from the Balance Sheets, Income Statements and Notes  
382 of ten (10) Commercial State Corporations in the tourism industry in Kenya during the study  
383 period 2008-2012. A regression model was used to assess the impact of liquidity and leverage on  
384 financial performance measured with profitability. A positive relationship was found to exist  
385 between tourism industry liquidity and profitability of Commercial State Corporations in the  
386 tourism sector in Kenya.

387  
388 Tale (2014) investigated the relationship between capital structure and financial performance of  
389 non-financial firms listed at the Nairobi securities exchange in Kenya. The study used a  
390 descriptive survey. The population of the study consisted of all the 40 nonfinancial firms listed  
391 and duly registered with capital market authority of Kenya. Secondary data used was obtained  
392 mainly from the annual audited and published books of accounts, financial statements and the  
393 NSE. Data analysis was done using regression analysis model. However, the results showed that  
394 there was a negative relationship between financial performance and the size and growth of the  
395 firm.

396  
397 Al-Taani (2013) investigated the relationship between capital structure and firm performance:  
398 Evidence from Jordan. The study showed that firm's working capital management policy,  
399 represented by financial leverage and firm size have significant relationship to firm's  
400 performance in respect to net income however found no significant impact on Return on equity  
401 (ROE) and return on assets (ROA). The firm size had the potential to influence the firm's  
402 financial performance in form of the preference of capital structure mix.

403 Nwude, Itiri, Agbadua and Udeh (2016) investigated on the impact of debt structure on the  
404 performance of Nigerian quoted firms. They conducted it using 12-year annualized panel data  
405 spanning the period 2001-2012 for cross sectional analyses of 43 firms from different sectorial  
406 classifications. Their study employed three regression estimations (Pooled OLS, Fixed Effects  
407 and Random Effects) as a result of unobserved heterogeneity in the dataset. The outcome from  
408 their regression estimations showed that debt structure (Short term Debt Ratio, Long term Debt  
409 Ratio, Total Debt Ratio, Firm's Size and Firm's Age) has negative and significant impact on the  
410 performance (ROA) of Nigerian quoted firms within the period under review. The study  
411 concludes that debt structure contributes negatively to performance of Nigerian quoted firms;  
412 thereby agree with pecking order theory.

### 413 3. METHODOLOGY

414 The study adopts *ex-post facto* research design (after the fact research) which uses data that are  
415 already in existence. The study was carried out in Nigeria, on cement manufacturing firms  
416 quoted in Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). Data for the study were collected from the annual  
417 reports and accounts of quoted cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria as documented on  
418 Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) yearly Fact Book. The population of this research study  
419 comprises of eight (8) cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria, as at 31st December 2018. The  
420 emphasis on cement manufacturing firms quoted on the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE), is based  
421 on the premise that they are under obligation by law to file their annual reports periodically.  
422 Only cement manufacturing firms with data needed for the variables of this study were chosen  
423 and as result, the researcher selected four (4) cement manufacturing firms quoted on the Nigerian  
424 Stock Exchange, which constitute the sample of this study. Purposive sampling technique was  
425 used to select: Lafarge Cement(WAPCO) plc, Dangote Cement plc, Ashaka Cement plc and  
426 Cement Company of Northern Nigeria plc. Purposive sampling method involved the analyst to  
427 judgmentally sample the population items and as to which items constitutes a representative  
428 sample while relying particularly on data availability.

#### 429 Model Specification

430 To pursue the broad objective of this study which is to investigate the impact of financial leverage  
431 on corporate performance of cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria, we adopt the Panel Least  
432 Squares which follows either the Random Effects Model or the Fixed Effect Model.

#### 433 Cross Sectional Random Effects

434 The random effect model will be of the form specified below:

$$435 Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta x_{it} + w_{it}, w_{it} = \varepsilon_{it} + \mu_{it}$$

436 where:

437  $\varepsilon_{it}$  measures the random deviation from the global or common intercept term  $\alpha$ , subscript “*it*” represents  
438 the combination of individuality and time.

439  $\mu_{it}$  = the regular error term

440 In substituting our parameters into the random effect model it will appear thus:

$$441 ROA_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 DR_{it} + \beta_2 DERS_{it} + \beta_3 ICR_{it} + (\mu_i + \varepsilon_{it})$$

442 Where y = Return on Assets (firms performance proxy for Dependent Variable)

443 x = Debt Ratio, Debt Equity Ratio, and Interest Cover Ratio

444 **Fixed Effect Model**

445  $Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta x_{it} + \lambda_i + v_{it}$

446  $\lambda_i$  is a time-varying intercept that captures all of the variables that affect  $Y_{it}$  that vary over time but are  
447 constant cross sectionally (Brooks, 2014)

448 In substituting our parameters into the fixed effect model the model appears thus:

449  $ROA_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 DR_{it} + \beta_2 DERS_{it} + \beta_3 ICR_{it} + \lambda_i + v_{it}$

450 **Where:**

451 Where  $y$  = Return on Assets (firms performance proxy for Dependent Variable)

452  $x$  = Debt Ratio, Debt Equity Ratio, and Interest Cover Ratio

453 **The Hausmann Test**

454 The choice of either of the Models is a function of the Hausmann Test conducted on the panel regression  
455 results. This test as shown in Asteriou and Hall (2007) looks like this:

456  $H_{stat} = (\beta^{FE} - \beta^{RE})' [Var(\beta^{FE}) - Var(\beta^{RE})]^{-1} (\beta^{FE} - \beta^{RE}) \sim X^2(k)$

457 The Hausmann test represents a distance measure with an  $H_o$  that the Random Effects (ECM) are better,  
458 efficient and consistent and an  $H_1$  that the Fixed Effects (LSDV) are better, more efficient and consistent.

459  
460 **4. Results**

461 **4.1 Data presentation**

462 In this section of the work, the collected data were analyzed and interpreted in line with the aim  
463 of the study which is to determine the impact of leverage finance variables on the financial  
464 performance of cement firms in Nigeria. The study used the data of four cement firms audited  
465 annual reports of 2006 to 2017.

466

467

468

469

470 **Table 1** presents values for leverage finance variables and return on assets of the four cement  
471 firms Nigeria.

YEARS	FRIMS	DR	DER	ICR	ROA
2006	LAFCEM PLC	47.6000	90.8397	9.6396	52.2248
2007	LAFCEM PLC	35.1608	54.2276	13.9300	70.4693
2008	LAFCEM PLC	34.5035	52.6800	53.2009	61.1535

2009	LAFCEM PLC	49.8520	99.4096	1.3696	21.2584
2010	LAFCEM PLC	59.2409	145.3439	2.2410	12.0593
2011	LAFCEM PLC	63.2540	172.1388	2.0741	10.6045
2012	LAFCEM PLC	54.9807	122.1270	5.5146	25.3822
2013	LAFCEM PLC	42.0508	72.5648	9.3146	40.8226
2014	LAFCEM PLC	19.4872	19.4872	22.2013	48.3146
2015	LAFCEM PLC	20.6338	20.6338	52.5758	39.2861
2016	LAFCEM PLC	36.7382	58.0734	3.7399	10.0701
2017	LAFCEM PLC	57.0299	132.7199	1.1467	2.0200
2006	DANG CEMENT PLC	71.2990	248.4205	21.3891	16.5007
2007	DANG CEMENT PLC	65.5326	190.1293	2.5994	8.8941
2008	DANG CEMENT PLC	69.3680	226.4556	26.6038	14.4652
2009	DANG CEMENT PLC	48.2230	93.1363	15.9003	39.5174
2010	DANG CEMENT PLC	47.3911	90.0818	34.8540	52.4523
2011	DANG CEMENT PLC	44.5125	78.0543	21.3611	51.2968
2012	DANG CEMENT PLC	33.9422	51.3900	12.1294	65.1978
2013	DANG CEMENT PLC	30.2927	43.5499	17.5632	80.3531
2014	DANG CEMENT PLC	33.7228	50.8814	9.3734	65.5711
2015	DANG CEMENT PLC	33.4375	50.2347	7.1328	58.6621
2016	DANG CEMENT PLC	28.3138	54.3332	5.7240	41.7635
2017	DANG CEMENT PLC	38.4662	62.5691	11.2393	55.1797
2006	ASHAKACEM PLC	36.9384	58.7364	185.9215	72.5674
2007	ASHAKACEM PLC	51.8129	107.3939	0.0000	21.8180
2008	ASHAKACEM PLC	48.9152	95.6780	0.0000	28.0183
2009	ASHAKACEM PLC	48.7040	94.9399	0.0000	10.6115
2010	ASHAKACEM PLC	42.5913	74.1895	1.9696	36.6410
2013	ASHAKACEM PLC	30.0511	42.9614	19.8046	14.0407
2014	ASHAKACEM PLC	28.3324	39.5330	22.1336	25.9110
2015	ASHAKACEM PLC	24.6687	32.7470	31.6752	18.4855
2016	ASHAKACEM PLC	26.7130	36.4498	122.0455	13.3593
2017	ASHAKACEM PLC	28.3358	40.2477	7.6912	10.6689
2006	CCNN PLC	80.8536	422.2922	5.6287	0.1610
2007	CCNN PLC	65.4749	189.6442	10.3773	2.8811
2008	CCNN PLC	54.7925	121.1895	4.0652	34.8828
2009	CCNN PLC	56.9826	132.4363	9.4364	41.4787
2010	CCNN PLC	55.6216	127.3597	7.9291	29.2439
2011	CCNN PLC	52.2420	109.3891	28.9377	34.8908
2012	CCNN PLC	53.4925	115.0191	6.6016	71.8506
2013	CCNN PLC	44.9837	81.7643	7.3444	41.9977
2014	CCNN PLC	40.1416	67.0610	7.9955	39.1006
2015	CCNN PLC	40.8361	69.0219	4.0849	22.1304
2016	CCNN PLC	42.6203	74.2778	19.8101	20.3881
2017	CCNN PLC	41.5295	71.0264	17.4944	41.0606

472

473

**Source: Authors computation from annual report and account.**

474

#### **4.2 Basic Statistical Properties**

475

To show the basic statistical characteristics of the series under, the basic descriptive statistics are

476

presented below in table 2.

477

478

479 **Table 2: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

	LOGROA	LOGICR	LOGDR	LDER
Mean	3.193724	2.377688	3.752060	4.381774
Median	3.552109	2.265880	3.774050	4.332608
Maximum	4.386431	5.225325	4.392640	6.045697
Minimum	-1.826351	0.136888	2.969758	2.969758
Std. Dev.	1.110193	1.106234	0.328346	0.624329
Skewness	-2.362193	0.209353	-0.355827	0.146759
Kurtosis	10.61038	3.132694	2.634292	3.244709
Jarque-Bera	153.7891	0.345651	1.227036	0.279900
Probability	0.000000	0.841284	0.541443	0.869402
Sum	146.9113	102.2406	172.5948	201.5616
Sum Sq. Dev.	55.46378	51.39770	4.851510	17.54042
Observations	46	43	46	46

480 **Source: Authors' Computation**

481

482 The descriptive statistics in Table 2 above contains the mean, median and mode for the series. Kurtosis  
 483 and skewness respectively shows the degree of peakedness and symmetry of the series. The dispersion  
 484 in the series is also shown using standard deviation.

485 In an attempt to confirm the degree and significance of the linear association among the variables  
 486 under study, table 3 contains the correlation matrices of the proxies for return on assets and all the  
 487 leverage indicators. As can be seen a number of the variables share positive and significant linear  
 488 association while others share negative and significant linear association.

489 **Table 3: CORRELATIONAL ANALYSES**

Correlation			
t-Statistic			
Probability	LOGROA	LOGICR	LOGDR
LOGICR	0.329657	1.000000	
	2.235814	-----	
	0.0309	-----	
LOGDR	-0.445697	-0.438845	1.000000
	-3.188007	-3.127190	-----
	0.0027	0.0032	-----
LDER	-0.538623	-0.422977	0.984050
	-4.093383	-2.988911	35.42017
	0.0002	0.0047	0.0000

490 **Source: Authors' Computation**

491

## 492 4.2 Test of Hypotheses

493 The panel least squares were used in the test of hypotheses of the four cements firms. One of the  
 494 major benefits from using panel data as compared to cross-section data on individuals is that it

495 enables us to control for individual heterogeneity. Not controlling for these unobserved  
 496 individual specific effects leads to bias in the resulting estimates.

497 In arriving at a decision, the following steps were taken; (i) the hypotheses were restated in null  
 498 forms, (ii) the decision criterion or criteria were stated, (iii) the presentation of the Eviews result,  
 499 and (iv) the selection of the more efficient model is done following the Hausmann Test results  
 500 (v) the null hypothesis is rejected based on the decision criterion or criteria.

501

502 **Panel Estimates**

503 The results of the panel regression following the fixed effect framework is presented in table 4  
 504 below:

**Table 4: FIXED EFFECT ESTIMATES**

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-6.452073	3.283471	-1.965016	0.0574
LOGICR	0.116091	0.126444	0.918129	0.3648
LOGDR	9.382828	1.944610	4.825045	0.0000
LDER	-5.888687	0.990551	-5.944857	0.0000
Effects Specification				
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)				
R-squared	68%			
Adjusted R-squared	62%			
F-statistic	10.83970	Durbin-Watson stat	1.66321	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

505 **Source: Authors' Computation**

506

507 The results of the panel regression following the random effect framework is presented in table 4  
 508 below:

509 **Table 5: RANDOM EFFECT ESTIMATES**

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-7.108221	3.200231	-2.221159	0.0322
LOGICR	0.141579	0.117986	1.199969	0.2374
LOGDR	9.574637	1.914683	5.000639	0.0000
LDER	-5.926449	0.981448	-6.038476	0.0000
Effects Specification				
			S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random			0.544081	0.3749
Idiosyncratic random			0.702540	0.6251

Weighted Statistics			
R-squared	0.635114	Mean dependent var	1.213283
Adjusted R-squared	0.607045	S.D. dependent var	1.144825
S.E. of regression	0.689333	Sum squared resid	18.53201
F-statistic	22.62753	Durbin-Watson stat	1.112765
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		
Unweighted Statistics			
R-squared	0.536620	Mean dependent var	3.212414
Sum squared resid	25.35856	Durbin-Watson stat	0.813208

510 **Source: Authors' Computation**

511 The determination of the appropriate model between the fixed and random effect follows the  
 512 Hausmann test results presented in table 6 below:

513 Table 6: **HAUSSMANN TEST RESULTS**

Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	1.547426	3	0.6714

Cross-section random effects test comparisons:

Variable	Fixed	Random	Var(Diff.)	Prob.
LOGICR	0.116091	0.141579	0.002067	0.5751
LOGDR	9.382828	9.574637	0.115497	0.5725
LDER	-5.888687	-5.926449	0.017953	0.7781

514 **Source: Authors' Computation**

515  
 516 Given that the Pvalue of the Hausmann Chi-square Statistic (67%) is greater than 5% and the standard  
 517 null hypothesis which follows that Random Effect is better than fixed effect; we cannot reject the null  
 518 hypothesis. This evidently leads to the conclusion that the random effect model is a preferred model to  
 519 the Fixed Effect model.

520

#### 521 **4.2.1 Test of Hypothesis One**

##### 522 **Step One: Restatement of Hypothesis in Null and Alternate Form**

523 **H<sub>0</sub>:** Debt ratio (DR) has no significant effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the cement  
 524 manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

525 **H<sub>1</sub>:**Debt ratio (DR) has significant effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the cement  
 526 manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

##### 527 **Step two: Decision Rule/criteria**

528 Reject  $H_0$  if the t-statistics  $> 2$ , probability of t-statistics  $< 0.05$ ; otherwise refuse to reject  $H_0$ .

529

530 **Step Four: Decision**

531 Table 5 shows that the coefficient of LOGDR 9.57 and the t-statistics of  $5.006 > 2$  and the  
532 probability value of  $0.0000 < 0.05$  and significant at 5% critical value. Thus, the study rejects the  
533 null hypothesis which state that Debt ratio (DR) has no significant effect on Return on Assets  
534 (ROA) of the cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

535

#### 536 **4.2.2 Test of Hypothesis Two**

537 **Step One: Restatement of Hypothesis in Null and Alternate Form**

538  $H_0$ : Debt-Equity Ratio (DER) has no significant effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the cement  
539 manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

540  $H_1$ : Debt-Equity Ratio (DER) has significant effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the cement  
541 manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

542 **Step two: Decision Rule/criteria**

543 Reject  $H_0$  if the t-statistics  $> 2$ , probability of t-statistics  $< 0.05$ ; otherwise refuse to reject  $H_0$ .

544 **Step Three: Presentation of Panel Regression Result**

545 **Step Four: Decision**

546 Table 5 shows that the coefficient of LOGDER -5.92 and the t-statistics of  $6.03 > 2$  and the  
547 probability value of  $0.0000 < 0.05$  and significant at 5% critical value. Thus, the study rejects the  
548 null hypothesis which state that Debt Equity ratio (DER) has no significant effect on Return on  
549 Assets (ROA) of the cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

#### 550 **4.2.3 Test of Hypothesis Three**

551 **Step One: Restatement of Hypothesis in Null and Alternate Form**

552  $H_0$ : Interest Coverage Ratio (ICR) has no significant effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the  
553 cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

554  $H_1$ : Interest Coverage Ratio (ICR) has significant effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the  
555 cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

556 **Step two: Decision Rule/criteria**

557 Reject  $H_0$  if the t-statistics  $> 2$ , probability of t-statistics  $< 0.05$ ; otherwise refuse to reject  $H_0$ .

558 **Step Four:** Decision

559 Table 5 shows that the coefficient of LOGICR 0.14 and the t-statistics of  $1.199 < 2$  and the  
560 probability value of  $0.2374 > 0.05$  and non-significant at 5% critical value. Thus, the study  
561 refuses to reject the null hypothesis which state that Interest Coverage Ratio has no significant  
562 effect on Return on Assets (ROA) of the cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

## 563 **DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

564 In case of this study, the researchers found out that some researchers have found insignificant  
565 relationship between financial leverage and performance and a significant relationship between  
566 the two but varying extent. Such studies include: in Nairobi(Kaumbuthu, 2011) found a negative  
567 association between equity to debt ratio (EDR) and Return on equity (ROE).in Jordan (Al-  
568 Shamaileh & Khanfar, 2014),in Pakistan (Nawaz, Salmani & Shamsi, 2015) who found that  
569 financial leverage has a statistically significant inverse impact on profitability at 99% confidence  
570 interval; in Tehran, Iran on the relationship among financial leverage and profitability (Fengju,  
571 Fard, Maher and Akhteghan,2013).Other examples include (Banchuenvijit2011) in Thailand and  
572 (Srivastava,2014) in India who established a positive relationship amid financial leverage and  
573 profitability. The association between the two types of leverage is also demonstrated in several  
574 studies in Africa such as: Enekwe, Agu and Eziedo (2014) in Nigeria, (Ubesie M.C, Maduka F.I.  
575 and Udaya L. K., 2016) found that debt Ratio and Debt equity Ratio (DER) and have negative  
576 significant effect on ROA, while Interest coverage ratio (ICR) has positive and significant effect  
577 on (ROA) of quoted cement companies in Nigeria

## 578 **5. CONCLUSION**

579 The study evaluated the effect of leverage financing on performance of quoted cement  
580 companies in Nigeria for the period 2006-2017. The research findings from this findings of the  
581 study showed that Debt ratio (DR) has an significant effect on the Return on assets (ROA) of  
582 cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria; Debt Equity Ratio (DER) has significant effect on the  
583 Return on assets (ROA) of cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria and that Interest coverage  
584 ratio (ICR) has an insignificant effect on the Return on assets (ROA) of cement manufacturing  
585 firms in Nigeria.

586 Overall the study has shown that quoted cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria are highly  
587 levered and employ more of long-term liabilities. As such in the case of higher debt, financial

588 performance will rise correspondingly. The reason behind this may be due to the high interest of  
589 shorter term sources of fund. The study has also shown that leverage financing has a statistical  
590 significant effect on the corporate performance of cement manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The  
591 study on the other hand, concludes that financial leverage may constitute a major determinant of  
592 financial performance (across all the measures of performance) of quoted cement manufacturing  
593 firms in Nigeria. To address the above findings of the study, the following actions are  
594 recommendations are made, which will enable the quoted cement firms to improve corporate  
595 performance through effective use of financial leverage in their firms. It is expected that Cement  
596 firms in Nigeria should endeavor to guard their Debt cost because it impacts their firms' ROA if  
597 it is high, then the financial managers of cement firms should depend on their internal sources of  
598 financing in order to increase their financial performance. Also, the results on Debt Equity ratio  
599 has confirmed that Debt Equity ratio impacts the financial performance of the firms, hence  
600 Financial managers of cement firms should take advantage of available credit and tax shield  
601 advantage to enhance the firm's financial performance (ROA). It is expected that the financial  
602 managers of cement firms should monitor the interest charged on debt financing to avoid  
603 liquidation of the cement firms.

604 It is our belief that this study adds to knowledge by suggesting that Government should support  
605 sectors by giving out policy that will guard against cost levied on debt.

606 Lastly, it has been brought out that financial leverage can enhance firms assets in Nigeria by  
607 monitoring the interest charged on debt financing; this finding can play a role in reengineering  
608 how firms manage their leverage properties locally and internationally.

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619 **References**

- 620 Abel Ebel Ezeoha (2008). Firm size and corporate financial leverage choice in a developing  
621 economy: Evidence from Nigeria.  
622
- 623 Akinmulegun, S.O (2012). The effect of financial leverage on corporate performance of some  
624 selected companies in Nigeria. *Canadian Social Science* 8(1): 85 – 91.  
625
- 626 Al-Shamaileh, M. and Khanfar S. (2014). The Effect of the Financial Leverage on the  
627 Profitability in the Tourism Companies (Analytical Study- Tourism Sector- Jordan).  
628 Business and Economic Research. Vol.4, No. 2. 251 – 264.  
629
- 630 Al-Taani, K. (2013). The Relationship between Capital Structure and Firm Performance:  
631 Evidence from Jordan. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 1 (3), 41- 45.  
632
- 633 Asteriou D and Hall S. G (2007) *Applied Econometrics* (New York), Palgrave MacMillan
- 634 Banchuenvijit, W. (2011). Determinants of Capital Structure of Listed Companies in  
635 Thailand. University of the Thai Chamber of Commerce.  
636
- 637 Boachie, R. Boachie, R. Ezidisi, E. Nyanese, E. and Gyabeng, S. (2013). The Impact of Leverage  
638 on Firms Profitability; Evidence from Quoted Banks on the Ghana Stock  
639 Exchange. Christian Service University College.  
640
- 641 Brooks C (2014). *Introductory Econometrics for Finance* (New York) Cambridge University Press 3rd  
642 Edition
- 643 Chinaemerem, O.C., & Anthony, O. (2012). Impact of Capital Structure on the Financial  
644 Performance of Nigerian Firms. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*  
645 (OMAN Chapter), 1 (12), 43- 61.  
646
- 647 Ekwe, M.C and Duru, A.N (2012). Liquidity Management and Corporate profitability in Nigeria  
648 ESUT Journal of Accountancy 3(1): 22 – 28.  
649
- 650 Emekekwe, P.E (2008). *Corporate Financial Management*. 5th Revised ed; Kinshasha: African  
651 Bureau of Educational Sciences.  
652
- 653 Enekwe, C. Agu, C. and Eziedo, K. (2014). The Effect of Financial Leverage on Financial  
654 Performance: Evidence of Quoted Pharmaceutical Companies in Nigeria. *IOSR Journal*  
655 *of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF)*. Volume 5, Issue 3. pp 17-25.  
656
- 657 Falope, O and Ajilore, O.T (2009). Working Capital management and corporate profitability:  
658 Evidence from panel data analysis of selected quoted firms in Nigeria *Research Journal*  
659 *of Business Management* 3(3): 73 – 84.  
660
- 661 Fengju, X. Fard, R. Maher, L. Akhteghan, N. (2013). The relationship between financial leverage  
662 and profitability with an emphasis on income smoothing in Iran's capital market.  
663 *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*; vol.2, No. 3(s), pp. 156-164,

- 664 Gweyi, M.O and Karanja, J. (2014) Effect of Financial Leverage on Financial Performance of  
665 Deposit Taking Savings and Credit Co-operative in Kenya.*International Journal of*  
666 *Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*.4(2), 176–184  
667
- 668 Kaumbuthu,A.J. (2011) The relationship between capital structure and financial  
669 performance: A Study of Firms listed under Industrial and Allied sector at the  
670 NSE,(MBA Dissertation, university of Nairobi,2011).Retrieved from  
671 <http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke>.  
672
- 673 Maina, L., &Kondongo, O. (2013). Capital Structure and Financial Performance in Kenya:  
674 Evidence from Firms Listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange. Paper Presented at the  
675 Jomo Kenyatta University of Science & Technology Research Conference. Kenya.  
676
- 677 Mapharing M., Biza-Khupe S. and Sekolokwane S. K.( 2016). An Empirical Investigation of  
678 Capital Structure Strategies Adopted by Botswana Firms – An Exploratory  
679 Study.*Archives of Business Research*, 4(5), 34-45.  
680
- 681 Mike Anyanwaokro(2008:422): methods & processes of Bank Management, Revised and  
682 Enlarged.  
683
- 684 Naveed A., Malik, M. S., Muhammad N. and Naqvi H. (2014) Impact of working capital  
685 on corporate performance in cement, chemical and engineering Sectors of  
686 Pakistan. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (OMAN*  
687 *Chapter) 3(7)*.  
688
- 689 Nawaz A., Atif S. and Aamir F.S., (2015) Impact of Financial Leverage on Firms' Profitability:  
690 An Investigation from Cement Sector of Pakistan. *Research Journal of Finance and*  
691 *Accounting 6 (7) 75*.[www.iiste.org](http://www.iiste.org)  
692
- 693 Nwude E. C., Itiri I.O., Agbadua B.O. & Udeh S. N. (2016) The Impact Of Debt Structure On  
694 Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence From Nigerian Quoted Firms. *Asian Economic and*  
695 *Financial Review 6(11): 647-660*  
696
- 697 Ohaegbu, O.K. and Pro.Chike, N. (2015) Effect of Financial Lever on Organizational  
698 Performance of Selected Brewery Firms in Nigeria. *ESUT Journal of Accountancy*,  
699 6(1).<http://www.investopedia.com>  
700
- 701 PanAfrican Capital Research, (2011).[www.panafricancapitalplc.com](http://www.panafricancapitalplc.com)  
702
- 703 PanAfrican Capital Research, (2011).[www.panafricancapitalplc.com](http://www.panafricancapitalplc.com)  
704
- 705 Pratheepkanth, P. (2011) Capital Structure and Financial Performance: Evidence from Business  
706 Companies in Colombo Stock Exchange, Sri-lanka; *Researchers World Journal of Arts*  
707 *Science and Commerce*, II (2): 171-180.  
708
- 709 Rondk S. (2015).The Impact Of Capital Structure On Firm Performance: Evidence From Iraq.  
710 Bahcesehir University, Thesis PP. 44.

- 711 Soumadi, M. &Hayajneh, S. (2012). Capital structure and corporate performance:  
712 Empirical study on the public Jordanian shareholdings firms listed in the Amman  
713 stock market, *European Scientific Journal*, 8(26), 173-189.  
714
- 715 Srivastava, N. (2014). Determinants of leverage of Indian companies: An empirical analysis (A  
716 study of Cement Industry in India). *Merit Research Journal of Art, Social Science and*  
717 *Humanities* 2(2), 021-024.  
718
- 719 Suhaila, A. M. (2014). The effect of liquidity and leverage on financial performanceof  
720 commercial state corporation sin the tourism industry in Kenya,*Unpublished MBA*  
721 *Project*, School of Business, University of Nairobi  
722
- 723 Tale, W. (2014). Relationship between capital structure and performance of nonfinancialfirms  
724 listed at the Nairobi securities exchange, *Unpublished MBAProject*, University of  
725 Nairobi.  
726
- 727 Ubesie M.C, Maduka F.I. and Udaya L. K. (2016)Determinants of Capital Structure on  
728 Corporate Performance of Selected Cement Firms in Nigeria. Department of  
729 Accountancy.*International Digital Organizationfor Scientific Researchjournal of applied*  
730 *sciences* 1(1) 54-67.