SCIENCEDOMAIN

Journal Name:	**Archives of Current Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ACRI_50761**
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR JEBBA, KAINJI AND SHIRORO HYDRO POWER SCHEMES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Under the Abstract, no need to write ...In this paper.... 2. The Fig.4 is not discussed anywhere in the paper. It should be included. 3. Statistical analysis of the data should be included in the paper. 4. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the paper. 5. References are not written in a specified format. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the references.	**1. Done.** 2. Done. 3. Beyond the scope of the work. Shall be explored in an extended future version. Thanks. 4. Ok. 5. Ok.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	