



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_50761
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR JEBBA, KAINJI AND SHIRORO HYDRO POWER SCHEMES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Is permission taken from the three power plants considered in this work to publish this paper, as a comparative analysis. If not taken, pl take it.	The data was freely available to the public and it's still expected to be freely available to the public. Permission was informally granted for non-political and non-commercial uses. Also, ownership of the plants changed shortly after obtaining these data. The change of ownership adversely affected the applicability of other studies performed with the data.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The data authors are comparing belongs to year 2010. With this old data, the analysis made may not be useful for any power plants or the nation. I think the performance of the compared power plants may be different now after 9 years. I think if authors give some comparative analysis with the recent data it may be used to improve the plants efficiency. Any way these are my general remarks. Work is good but may not be used. As a academic work this article may be accepted.	Thanks.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	