



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_50812
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF COPPER, ZINC AND ALUMINIUM AS ELECTRODES IN THE PREPARATION OF STARCH-BASED POLYELECTROLYTE
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled "A comparative study of copper, zinc and aluminium as electrodes in the preparation of starch-based polyelectrolyte" presents the results of coagulation effectiveness of starch-based polyelectrolyte. The work is correctly done and provides some interesting results. However the manuscript should be written more clearly.	Thank you The manuscript has been modified
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	