



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_50948
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Aloe vera In Reducing Formaldehyde Levels On Indian Mackerel Fish (<i>Rastrelliger kanagurta</i>) During Cold Temperature Storage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract was poorly written from the aim of the study. The abstract should be written in simple past tenses. The introduction was short and more recent materials should be added to improve the manuscript. The data and information provided by the manuscript were not separated and analysis of variation was not included. The authors should work on anova and separation of means. The English Language presentation needs improvement.</p> <p>The author should re-write the abstract. In the current form the manuscript is not suitable for publication</p>	<p>Abstract already rewritten with simple past tense. The introduction already added with most recent materials.</p> <p>Formaldehyde levels, pH can't be analysed with statistical test because method that used in this research was experimental with 5 treatments and data obtained in duplo not a Completely Randomized Design (doesn't have a repetition so couldn't work on anova), only analysed in descriptive.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The data and information provided by the manuscript were not separated and analysis of variation was not included. The authors should work on anova and separation of means</p>	<p>Formaldehyde levels, pH can't be analysed with statistical test because method that used in this research was experimental with 5 treatments and data obtained in duplo not a Completely Randomized Design (doesn't have a repetition so couldn't work on anova), only analysed in descriptive.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	