



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_51001
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF PEDAPATIN JAMBAL WITH VARIOUS SALT CONCENTRATIONS ENVIRONMENT CONTROL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Author(s) should enhance the research by exploring more current literatures to increase the volume of the Introduction and spice up the Abstract.</li> <li>Several Authors cited were not REFERENCED, e.g., Rukmiasih et al., 2015; Siswanto et al., 2017, Wijatur 2007; Mardi et al., 2013; Soekarto, 1985; Maisyaroh et al., 2018, etc. Confer Ref. page for more.</li> <li>Authors not CITED in the text, e.g., No. 7. Kailasapathy and Tamang. 2010.</li> <li>The literatures cited in the text were not numbered and not in square bracket [ ]. Such numbering in square bracket, e.g., [1], [12, 5, 17], etc., should be reflected in the Reference page(s) according to the format of this Journal.</li> <li>For clarity separate RESULTS from DISCUSSION</li> <li>The INITIAL(S) of this Author N0, 6. Juharni 2013 should be provided/supplied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More literatures has been added</li> <li>Some references has been added</li> <li>Some citations have been fixed</li> <li>Citation numbering format has been improved</li> <li>Result and Discussion have been separated</li> <li>The author's initials have been provided</li> </ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tables 1 to 4 can be combined. Since they are concerned with the organoleptic attributes.</li> <li>The research appears scanty and not very novel. Boost the work by exploring recent literatures.</li> <li>Re-visit the work to restructure and correct some grammatical errors. Consult the manuscript.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some literatures has been added</li> <li>Some language and grammatical error has been corrected</li> </ul>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	