



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50702
Title of the Manuscript:	Physician Burnout and Associated Factors: Orthopaedics vsAnesthesia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Corrections have been done in the Manuscript. Citation number should be put before the pull stop throughout this article. Don't use we/ our like words with in the reserch article. Conclusion should be mentioned as separately should be written. Table setting has been done. Future suggestion, ideas and limitation of his study Grammar should be re-checked throughout the article.	Table one has been named Limitations and ideas for further study are included in conclusion
Minor REVISION comments	References are not enough. More than 50 references are important to maintain the standard of the research paper. If possible. References should be increased.	Thank you. In order to save room for discussing our findings, several references were excluded.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	