



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50702
Title of the Manuscript:	Physician Burnout and Associated Factors: Orthopaedics vs Anesthesia
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Immediately after the abstract, about 4-8 keywords should be given. Use of abbreviations should be avoided, only standard abbreviations, well known in the established area may be used, if appropriate.</p> <p>References: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID). Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles – not as per journal guidelines</p> <p>Line 31: What do the numbers mention indicate?</p> <p>Tables & figures should be placed inside the text. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text. Table 5-11: absent. No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text. Table 2 not clear as to which field is represented – orthopaedics or anaesthesiology</p>	<p>All corrections have been made in the manuscript</p> <p>Correction effected in the MS</p> <p>Done</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	