



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50950
Title of the Manuscript:	Techniques of Mining and Land Grabbing: Destruction of Agricultural Activities in Kerta Buana Village, East Kalimantan - Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting paper, although the lack of a clear structure and problems with the language make it quite difficult to understand in parts. Before it can be published, the following steps are required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Revise the paper thoroughly for language use.</li> <li>(ii) Clearly define the research questions in terms of gaps in the knowledge that the current research can try to address.</li> <li>(iii) Explain the data collection method in more detail. Explain why the research took place several years ago and has not been updated since then.</li> <li>(iv) In the findings section, explain to the reader the sequence of issues to be addressed and the logic for the structure of this section.</li> <li>(v) A discussion section is needed, which considers the findings observed in the light of the research questions and what contribution has been made, therefore, to academic knowledge.</li> <li>(vi) The conclusion should include research limitations and suggestions for future research.</li> </ul>	Agree with it and the improvement has been conducted.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	NIL