



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50950
Title of the Manuscript:	Techniques of Mining and Land Grabbing: Destruction of Agricultural Activities in Kerta Buana Village, East Kalimantan - Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting paper, although the lack of a clear structure and problems with the language make it quite difficult to understand in parts. Before it can be published, the following steps are required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Revise the paper thoroughly for language use. (ii) Clearly define the research questions in terms of gaps in the knowledge that the current research can try to address. (iii) Explain the data collection method in more detail. Explain why the research took place several years ago and has not been updated since then. (iv) In the findings section, explain to the reader the sequence of issues to be addressed and the logic for the structure of this section. (v) A discussion section is needed, which considers the findings observed in the light of the research questions and what contribution has been made, therefore, to academic knowledge. (vi) The conclusion should include research limitations and suggestions for future research. 	Agree with it and the improvement has been conducted.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NIL