



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_51104</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analysis of physiochemical parameters of ground water: A case study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>The result should be analyse using descriptive statistics not t-Test of ANOVA, because:-</b> <b>ANOVA-</b> compares the mean between the groups to determine whether any of those means are statistically significantly different from each other. While t-Test compare if there is a significant variation between means of groups. <b>If you monitored the parameters for dry and wet seasons, then you use t-Test.</b>	<b>Descriptive statistics table has been added and Anova table is removed.</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	See text and affect the corrections in the highlighted areas.	All the highlighted areas are manually corrected as per comments.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Introduction</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Background information provided is relevant,</li> <li>➤ sources of information were adequately acknowledged and</li> <li>➤ objectives provided is relevant</li> </ul> <b>methodology</b> The source of data generation is relevant, and the techniques adopted is recent and appropriate	Positive Comments.



**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issue in this manuscript.