



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_51196
Title of the Manuscript:	PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS OF NIGERIAN ECONOMY FROM 2006 – 2017
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is poorly written. The author misses very key issues <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No literature at all, see section 2. A scholarly work without literature is like a human being without backbones 2. No contribution to the body of knowledge 3. Conclusion is flaw. 4. No policy implication and recommendations 5. Results are not supported by any previous works or theoretical backups 6. No data sources and description of dataset 7. In general, the paper is not suitable for publication 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I have reviewed seven literatures in this study, (see section 2) 2. The study has a significant contribution to knowledge (see section 5) 3. The conclusion have been updated (see section 5) 4. The study has a policy implementation and recommendations (see section 5) 5. The result have at least one support from previous works (see section 5) 6. The study now have a source of data and description (see section 3.1) 7. The manuscript have been totally overhauled
Minor REVISION comments	non	
Optional/General comments	non	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	