



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_50828
Title of the Manuscript:	NEONATAL BLADDER OUTLET OBSTRUCTION WARRANTING EARLY CIRCUMCISION
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- The pathological diagnosis of the excised prepuce should be provided if possible.	The prepuce was not sent for pathologic diagnosis. It is a cultural practice that the prepuce of a circumcised child be handed over to the parents.
Optional/General comments	- Introduction is too long with some overlap to Discussion. - Some grammar and spelling errors should be corrected prior to publication. - Figures are in poor resolution.	Introduction has been modified. Grammatical and spelling errors have been corrected. Higher resolution pictures are not available hence the use of the ones presented here.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	