



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJEBA _50928
Title of the Manuscript:	PROFITABILITY ANALYSIS OF BRRI DHAN 29 IN SOME SELECTED AREAS OF BANGLADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This study also identified some problems faced by the farmers in producing BR-29 Boro rice. These were low price of output, scarcity and high wage rate of human labour, high irrigation cost, Lack of credit facilities etc. Therefore, more research and extension suggested solving the farmer's problems in order to increase production of Boro rice and to ensure food security in Bangladesh	I think, the Tabular presentation of the problems is right. The problems are highlighted and severity of the problems is understood from the Tabular presentation.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	