



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_51162
Title of the Manuscript:	ENROLMENT OF RURAL FARMERS' CHILDREN INTO SCHOOLS IN IBADAN OYO STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract is one of the important parts of study, since it can encourage the potential readers to read your paper. To have a better gestalt or a big picture of the study, it usually contains background, method, results, and conclusion. - In line 38, you referenced "(Austin <i>et al.</i>, 2000).", since this is its first time mentioning of this reference, it should come with complete names of the authors. Please look below for that: (Austin, & Bruch, 2000). This is a rule for all first-mentioning references, however, for the rest of the mentioning of this reference you can use et al. - No need for titles to print all in capital letters. Example: RESULT AND DISCUSSION. "Result and Discussion" - Tables are in different style of writing and did not have consistency. If you are using APA style of writing, such as Table 4, you need to keep that for all other tables. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. With reference to your review on the Abstract, the necessary corrections were addressed. The important parts mentioned in your review have been added. 2. Line 38 has been corrected according to the law of first mentioning. E.g. (Austin, & Bruch, 2000). 3. Headings of the results and discussion aspect of the article have been corrected as stated in your review in the format suggested; Result and Discussion. 4. All the tables 1-4 have been adjusted and corrected in APA style as stated in your review.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The previous concern is correct for list of your references too. The reference list should follow the APA style 6th version. There are some errors that needs to be revised. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. My references have been corrected in the format of APA 6th ed. referencing style.
Optional/General comments		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I do appreciate your thorough review of this research paper. Thank you very much.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>